Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Applied Mathematics Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/aml

Stability analysis of impulsive fractional differential systems with delay

Qi Wang*, Dicheng Lu, Yayun Fang

School of Mathematical Sciences, Anhui University, Hefei, 230039, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 12 May 2014 Received in revised form 22 August 2014 Accepted 22 August 2014 Available online 17 September 2014

Keywords: Impulsive fractional differential systems Finite-time stability Finite delay

1. Introduction

Recently, finite-time stability analysis of fractional differential systems with delay is presented and reported [1,2]. The main approach is generalized Bellman–Gronwall's inequality [3]. For some advances in the control theory of fractional dynamical systems for stability, we refer the reader to [4–12]. For more details of impulsive fractional differential equations with its applications, we refer the reader to [13–23].

Motivated by [1,2], we will investigate the finite-time stability of the following nonautonomous impulsive fractional differential systems

$$\begin{cases} D^{\alpha}x(t) = A_0x(t) + A_1x(t-\tau) + B_0u(t), & t \in J' := J \setminus \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}, J = [0, T], \\ \Delta x(t_k) = C_k x(t_k^-), & k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

In this paper, a class of impulsive fractional differential systems with finite delay is consid-

ered. Some sufficient conditions for the finite-time stability of above systems are obtained

by using generalized Bellman-Gronwall's inequality, which extend some known results.

with it's autonomous type

$$\begin{cases} D^{\alpha}x(t) = A_0x(t) + A_1x(t-\tau), & t \in J' := J \setminus \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}, J = [0, T], \\ \Delta x(t_k) = C_k x(t_k^-), & k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

with initial condition $x(t) = \psi_x(t), t \in [-\tau, 0]$, where D^{α} denotes the Caputo fractional derivative of order $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a state vector, $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is a control vector, A_0, A_1, B_0, C_k are constant matrices of appropriate dimensions, and $\tau > 0$ is a constant time delay. t_k satisfy $t_0 < t_1 < \cdots t_m = T$, $x(t_k^+) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} x(t_k + \epsilon)$ and $x(t_k^-) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^-} x(t_k + \epsilon)$ represent the right and left limits of x(t) at $t = t_k$. Dynamical behavior of system (1.1), (1.2), with a given initial function is defined over time interval $J = [t_0, t_0 + T], J \subset \mathbb{R}$, where quantity T may be either a positive real number or a symbol $+\infty$, so finite-time stability and practical stability can be treated simultaneously. Time invariant sets, used as bounds of system trajectories, are assumed to be open, connected and bounded. Let index " ε " stands for the set of all allowable states of the system and index " δ " for the set of all initial states of the system, such that the set $S_{\delta} \subseteq S_{\varepsilon}$. Let $S_{\rho} = \{x : ||x||_0^2 < \rho\}, \rho \in [\delta, \varepsilon]$,

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 0551 63861307. E-mail address: wq200219971974@163.com (Q. Wang).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2014.08.017 0893-9659/© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.





© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

CrossMark



Applied

where Q will be assumed to be a symmetric, positive definite, real matrix. S_{α_u} denotes the set of all allowable control actions. Let $|x|_{(\cdot)}$ be any vector norm (e.g., $: = 1, 2, \infty$) and $||x||_{(\cdot)}$ the matrix norm induced by this vector. The initial function can be written as: $x(t_0 + \theta) = \psi_x(\theta), \theta \in [-\tau, 0], \psi_x(\theta) \in C([-\tau, 0], R)$, where t_0 is the initial time of observation of the system (1.1), (1.2) and $C([-\tau, 0], R)$ is a Banach space of continuous functions over a time interval of length τ , mapping the interval $[t - \tau, t]$ into R^n with the norm defined in the following manner: $||\psi||_C = \max_{\theta \in [-\tau, 0]} \{||\psi(\theta)||\}$. It is assumed that the usual smoothness condition is present so that there is no difficulty with questions of existence, uniqueness, and continuity of solutions with respect to initial data.

Definition 1.1. System given by (1.2) satisfying initial condition $x(t) = \psi_x(t), t \in [-\tau, 0]$ is finite stable w.r.t. $\{t_0, J, \delta, \epsilon, \tau\}, \delta < \epsilon$ if and only if $\|\psi\|_C < \delta$ implies $|x| < \epsilon, \forall t \in J$, where t_0 denotes the initial time of observation of the system and J denotes time interval $J = [t_0, t_0 + T], J \subset R$.

Definition 1.2. The system given by (1.2) satisfying initial condition $x(t) = \psi_x(t)$, $t \in [-\tau, 0]$ is finite stable w.r.t. $\{t_0, J, \delta, \epsilon, \tau\}$, $\delta < \epsilon$ if and only if $\|\psi\|_C < \delta$ and $\|u(t)\| < \alpha_u$ imply $|x| < \epsilon$, $\forall t \in J$, where t_0 denotes the initial time of observation of the system and J denotes time interval $J = [t_0, t_0 + T]$, $J \subset R$.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some preliminaries. In Section 3, by using the generalized Gronwall inequality, we obtain some results of stability for system (1.1) and (1.2).

2. Preliminaries

Let us recall some definitions of fractional calculus. For more details see [24].

Definition 2.1. The fractional integral of order γ with the lower limit zero for a function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ is defined as

$$I_t^{\gamma} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^t \frac{f(s)}{(t-s)^{1-\gamma}} ds, \quad t > 0, \ n-1 < \gamma < n,$$

provided the right side is point-wise defined on $[0, \infty)$, where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function.

Definition 2.2. The Riemann–Liouville derivative of order γ with the lower limit zero for a function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ is defined as

$${}^{L}D_{t}^{\gamma}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\gamma)} \frac{d^{n}}{dt^{n}} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{f(s)}{(t-s)^{\gamma+1-n}} ds, \quad t > 0, \ n-1 < \gamma < n.$$

Definition 2.3. The Caputo derivative of order γ with the lower limit zero for a function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ is defined as

$${}^{c}D_{t}^{\gamma}f(t) = {}^{L}D_{t}^{\gamma}\left[f(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{t^{k}}{k!}f^{(k)}(0)\right], \quad t > 0, \ n-1 < \gamma < n.$$

Lemma 2.1 ([3] Generalized Gronwall Inequality). Suppose x(t), a(t) are nonnegative and local integrable on $0 \le t < T$ some $T \le +\infty$, and g(t) is a nonnegative, nondecreasing continuous function defined on $0 \le t < T$, $g(t) \le M = \text{constance}$, $\alpha > 0$ with

$$x(t) \le a(t) + g(t) \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} x(s) ds$$

on this interval. Then

$$x(t) \leq a(t) + g(t) \int_0^t \left[\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{(g(t)\Gamma(\alpha))^n}{\Gamma(n\alpha)} (t-s)^{n\alpha-1} a(s) \right] ds, \quad 0 \leq t < T.$$

Corollary 2.1 ([3] Theorem 3.2.). Under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.2, let a(t) be a nondecreasing function on [0, T). Then we have

$$x(t) \leq a(t)E_{\alpha}(g(t)\Gamma(\alpha)t^{\alpha}),$$

where $E_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(k\beta+1)}, z \in \mathbf{C}, \operatorname{Re}(\beta) > 0.$

Similar to the proof of Lemma 2.8 of [25], we have

Lemma 2.2. Let $u \in PC(J, R)$ satisfy the following inequality

$$||u(t)|| \le c_1(t) + c_2 \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} ||u(s)|| ds + \sum_{0 < t_k < t} \theta_k ||u(t_k)||,$$

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8054422

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8054422

Daneshyari.com