



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Aerospace Science and Technology

www.elsevier.com/locate/aescte


Numerical evaluation of station-keeping strategies for stratospheric balloons

Sai Sudha Ramesh^{a,*}, Ma Juanli^b, Lim Kian Meng^c, Lee Heow Pueh^c, Khoo Boo Cheong^a

^a Temasek Laboratories, National University of Singapore, 117411, Singapore

^b Department of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering, Ryerson University, M5B 2K3, Canada

^c Department of Mechanical Engineering, National University of Singapore, 119260, Singapore

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 15 September 2017

Received in revised form 26 April 2018

Accepted 8 July 2018

Available online xxxxx

Keywords:

High altitude balloon

Stratosail

Dual-balloon

Station-keeping

Altitude control

Force coefficients

ABSTRACT

The trajectory control of stratospheric balloons poses a great challenge, given their importance in scientific explorations and military applications. This has led to the investigation of several trajectory control methods, which aim to retain the balloon system within a specific region. In particular, the use of a control device tethered to the main balloon is required to provide sufficient lateral forces to counteract the air drag on the main balloon. The present study evaluates the performance of two kinds of balloon systems namely, the dual-balloon and balloon-stratosail systems that have a control device tethered to the main balloon. The study compares their performances in the context of realistic wind conditions, and presents the best working range for each of the system, which may be useful in improvising the design of control devices for future applications.

© 2018 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Stratospheric balloons that are designed to float in the stratosphere at altitudes ranging from 18 km to 35 km play a key role in numerous applications, such as scientific exploration of the atmosphere, astrophysical observations from above the atmosphere, and defense operations. These high altitude balloons are known to be cost-efficient, easily deployable and retrievable compared to satellites. However, unlike satellites which can be controlled to travel along a specific path, single balloons drift freely with the prevailing winds, offering little or no control over trajectories. Despite their advantages over satellites, trajectory control and station-keeping of these balloons pose a great challenge. This has led to the investigation of several trajectory control methods, which aim to retain the balloon system within a specific region. Trajectory control and station-keeping of high-altitude balloons offer several advantages such as increased flexibility on flight operations, flight avoidance to uncooperative countries, and planning of appropriate landing site to ensure safe descent of payload in sparsely populated regions [1].

The key factor that aids in trajectory control is the availability of favorable wind speed and directions at altitudes close to the desired float altitude of the balloon. By changing the float altitude,

one can steer the balloon to a desired location. Several methods were employed to achieve altitude changes, such as the venting of buoyant gas, dropping of ballast, and alternate filling/emptying of high-pressure ambient air (air-ballast) [1]. However, from a practical viewpoint, such altitude variations should be effected over small ranges, where the air density variations are less. Furthermore, the use of pressurized air-ballast system is limited by factors such as the power required to pump air into the high pressure chamber, difficulty of pumping air at very low pressure, and additional mass of the pumping equipment.

Other methods employed to achieve trajectory control include propeller-driven airships, use of drag chutes on tethers, and lift-generating devices such as a wing attached to a long tether [1]. Of these methods, the use of propeller driven airships are restricted to low altitudes (<21 km) as they require large propeller size at high altitudes, which renders them impractical. Akita [2] investigated the feasibility of sea-anchored stratospheric superpressure balloon that has the capability for long-duration flights, fixed-point observations, and flexible launch windows. However, the feasibility of sea-anchored balloon depends significantly on the vertical wind speed gradient, and requires the velocity of the jet stream to be sufficiently low.

E. van Wynsberghe and Turak [3] proposed the use of electrohydrodynamic (EHD) thrusters to overcome stratospheric winds, and maintain lateral station-keeping in superpressure balloon platform that can be deployed to an altitude of 25 km. The study also suggested the use of wireless power transmission from the ground

* Corresponding author at: Temasek Laboratories, National University of Singapore, T-Lab Buildingal, 5A, Engineering Drive 1, #09-02, Singapore 117411.

E-mail address: tslssr@nus.edu.sg (S.S. Ramesh).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ast.2018.07.010>

1270-9638/© 2018 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1 station to meet the continual supply of power needed to oper- 67
2 ate the on-board propulsion system, which is crucial for station- 68
3 keeping. Although their study demonstrated the feasibility of using 69
4 EHD thrusters for station-keeping, a major challenge to its imple- 70
5 mentation could be the lower air density at higher altitudes, which 71
6 limits the availability of air particles that are needed for efficient 72
7 operation of the thrusters. Fesen and Brown [4] proposed a sys- 73
8 tem (i.e. a 'tug' vehicle connected to the balloon) that utilizes the 74
9 stratospheric wind shear to achieve station-keeping. 75

10 Among the various strategies for station-keeping mentioned 76
11 earlier, the use of aerodynamic device tethered to the main balloon 77
12 has been shown to provide the best and efficient solution, since it 78
13 exploits the natural stratospheric wind shear to provide the later- 79
14 al control forces on the balloon, thereby demanding less energy 80
15 for its operation [5]. A comprehensive account of the capabilities 81
16 of stratospheric balloons along with a tethered guidance system 82
17 (called as StratoSat system) is given by Pankine et al. [6]. The 83
18 study mentions the pioneering work of Aaron et al. [1], Nock et al. 84
19 [5] who explored the aerodynamic system performance, and concep- 85
20 t of operations related to the stratosail balloon guidance system 86
21 (BGS) (developed by Global Aerospace Corporation [7]). They esti- 87
22 mated the drift velocity of the balloon-stratosail system by iterat- 88
23 ing over the force balance equation (i.e. balancing of aerodynamic 89
24 drag forces on the balloon and the stratosail), and demonstrated 90
25 the capability of the BGS in control implementation. 91

26 Fu et al. [8] also developed a trajectory control strategy for the 92
27 balloon-stratosail system by adjusting the sideslip and azimuth 93
28 angles. Zhu et al. [9] studied the effects of gravity, aerodynamic 94
29 force and tensile force of the tether on the stratosail. They calcu- 95
30 lated the inclination angle, deviation angle and tensile force of 96
31 the tether at different attitude angles of the stratosail in accord- 97
32 ance with moment-equilibrium principles. Xu and Huo [10] 98
33 used a six degree of freedom (DOF) dynamic model of the strato- 99
34 spheric satellite (StratoSat) system to model the trajectory along 100
35 a constant latitude. They also deduced a three subsystem model 101
36 from the 6-DOF model to develop a sequential nonlinear control 102
37 strategy that was robust to uncertainties in balloon-sail param- 103
38 eters, and provided the desired trajectory track [11]. Zheng et 104
39 al. [12] controlled the longitudinal drift of a novel stratospheric 105
40 satellite which consists of two motor-driven propellers attached to 106
41 the main helium balloon. The stratospheric satellite was modeled 107
42 using Newton-Euler equations. The latitudinal orbit keeping was 108
43 achieved using path-following controllers for both straight-line and 109
44 circular-arc paths based on the theories of vector field and sliding 110
45 mode control. 111

46 Meng et al. [13] developed a novel dynamic model of the 112
47 stratospheric satellite that included thermal effects to describe the 113
48 balloon system's thermodynamic and kinetic characteristics. The 114
49 stratospheric satellite system was controlled by adjusting the TCS 115
50 rudder angle. In their work, stratospheric balloon thermodynamic 116
51 models were used to calculate the temperature of the balloon film 117
52 and the internal helium, followed by the calculation of equilib- 118
53 rium point of the satellite and implementation of control laws 119
54 for adjusting trajectory. Further, the thermal effects on the balloon 120
55 launch time were discussed. Other studies pertaining to thermal 121
56 influence on stratospheric balloon ascent rates can be found in Shi 122
57 et al. [14] and Liu et al. [15]. While the aforesaid studies discuss 123
58 trajectory control of balloon during its flight using control devices, 124
59 several studies focus on the prediction of balloon trajectories that 125
60 might be subjected to various uncertainties. Sóbester et al. [16] 126
61 described a new balloon flight simulation model, that took into 127
62 account a range of environmental, physical, and operational uncer- 128
63 tainties to generate a predicted trajectory. Lee and Yee [17] also 129
64 considered various uncertainties using a Monte Carlo simulation 130
65 that enabled better prediction of balloon trajectories. 131
66

A majority of the studies mentioned so far have focused on 67
long duration flights employing trajectory control systems that re- 68
strict latitudinal excursions [10–13]. On the other hand, some ap- 69
plications involving military surveillance over a specific region, or 70
countries that restrict free balloons flying over their territory, may 71
require the balloon trajectory to be within a specified radius with 72
respect to the launch location. In order to meet such a stringent 73
trajectory criterion, the balloon may be flown for a shorter dura- 74
tion (say few days to a week) during a favorable time-window of 75
the year, and then recovered safely for future missions. 76

77 Considering the stringent trajectory control criterion, and the 78
shorter flight duration, it is then important to study the perfor- 79
mance of balloons in combination with a trajectory control device 80
subjected to realistic wind conditions, and thereby identify fa- 81
vorable float altitudes associated with a particular time-window, 82
which helps in maintaining the smaller trajectory radius. The 83
present study evaluates the station-keeping performance of two 84
kinds of balloon systems namely, dual-balloon (DB) and balloon- 85
stratosail (BS), for a wide range of realistic wind conditions. The 86
former system uses a secondary spherical balloon as a control de- 87
vice, while the latter deploys a stratosail [7]. In this study, the 88
drift velocity of the balloon system is computed by considering 89
the dynamic equilibrium of the drag forces acting on the balloon 90
and the control device. Further, the drag coefficients required for 91
computing the aerodynamic forces on the stratosail for various 92
orientations (yaw and roll) is obtained from computational fluid 93
dynamic (CFD) simulations. The present work is different from that 94
of Nock et al. [6] which studies long duration flights of a balloon- 95
stratosail system along constant latitude. Furthermore, their study 96
assumes the balloon flight at a fixed altitude of 35 km, and the 97
BGS wing assembly (stratosail) at a 20 km altitude. On the other 98
hand, the present study simulates balloon flights with reasonable 99
altitude variations (<500 m), based on an altitude control algo- 100
rithm, and uses wind speed values predicted by a reduced order 101
model. 102

103 For a realistic projection of the balloon system's flight path, the 104
input wind conditions are obtained from a reduced order model 105
which enables a compact representation of a large weather dataset. 106
In the present study, we use such a model based on the proper 107
orthogonal decomposition (POD) method. The details of the POD 108
model are presented in reference [18], and is based on weather 109
dataset obtained from Singapore meteorological station for a pe- 110
riod spanning from January 2012 to May 2015 (i.e. 3 years and 5 111
months). This period was sufficient to cover one complete cycle of 112
the quasi-biennial oscillation phenomenon (QBO), and enabled the 113
development of a Fourier prediction model for the stratospheric 114
winds. For further details on the POD based Fourier prediction 115
model, readers are referred to [18]. 116

117 Having obtained the realistic wind parameters using an ap- 118
propriate model, the objective is to compare two kinds of tra- 119
jectory control devices as mentioned earlier, and bring out the 120
best range of operating altitudes and wind conditions for a given 121
time-window of the flight. These results will assist in real-time 122
trajectory simulations, or preliminary design of trajectory control 123
devices. In view of the objectives, the manuscript has been orga- 124
nized into six sections including the introductory section. Section 2 125
presents a brief description of the two kinds of balloon systems, 126
and the method for evaluating the force coefficients associated 127
with each balloon-system. Section 3 presents the simplified model 128
used for simulating the trajectory of the balloon systems. Section 4 129
discusses the methodology used to obtain the optimal orientation 130
of stratosail with respect to the relative wind, which results in 131
minimum drift velocity of the balloon system. Several numerical 132
cases are presented in Section 5 to demonstrate the performances 133
of the two systems for different wind conditions, followed by Sec-

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8057263>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8057263>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)