Aerospace Science and Technology ••• (••••) •••-•••



JID:AESCTE AID:4340 /FLA

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Aerospace Science and Technology



www.elsevier.com/locate/aescte

# Low-complexity prescribed performance control for spacecraft attitude stabilization and tracking

Jianjun Luo<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Zeyang Yin<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Caisheng Wei<sup>a,b</sup>, Jianping Yuan<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> National Key Laboratory of Aerospace Flight Dynamics, Xi'an 710072, China

<sup>b</sup> School of Astronautics, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an 710072, China

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 21 November 2016 Received in revised form 16 November 2017 Accepted 4 January 2018 Available online xxxx

Keywords: Attitude control Low-complexity control Prescribed performance control Model-free Estimation-free

#### ABSTRACT

In this paper, the attitude stabilization and tracking control problem is investigated for spacecraft with consideration of unknown dynamics and external disturbance. A low-complexity prescribed performance attitude control scheme is presented to solve this problem. Different from existing works, there are threefold prominent advantages. The first one is the transient performance and the steady performance of the system is guaranteed by a user-defined function rather than depending on repeated adjustment of controller parameters. The second is that no information of the system and external disturbance is necessary in the developed control scheme, which means the method is model-free. Moreover, the developed low-complexity controller is calculated without any time-consuming iterative operations; thus it's significantly advantageous in engineering applications. It is proved that the state variables converge to the prescribed region at a prescribed exponential rate under the proposed control scheme. Four groups of numerical simulations are organized to validate the effectiveness of the method.

© 2018 Published by Elsevier Masson SAS.

#### 1. Introduction

Attitude stabilization and tracking control of spacecraft is very challenging on account of nonlinear and strong-coupled features, and therefore attracts considerable attention. Many significant developments related to attitude control are achieved in recent decades. Among these works, attitude control methods can be classified into two main types. The first type is model-dependent method, which is widely adopted for attitude control in the exiting works. Some typical methods are optimal control method [1–3], robust control method [4,5] and sliding mode control (SMC) method [6-11]. While there are many great developments of model-dependent attitude control methods, four problems are often encountered when applying these methods into practical attitude control systems. The first one is the requirements for precise models and parameters [12]. Control inputs of the modeldependent control methods are usually calculated on basis of the precise models and parameters. As a result, the inertial matrix of spacecraft is assumed to be known or can be estimated in advance. Strong uncertainties existing in parameters, however, always have serious effects on system performance and might even lead to the instability of the control system. The second prominent problem is

E-mail addresses: jjluo@nwpu.edu.cn (J. Luo), yinzy0126@foxmail.com (Z. Yin).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ast.2018.01.002

the high computational complexity of some model-dependent control methods, such as optimal control method and robust control method. The iterative solving process (e.g. solving state-dependent Riccati equation in [1,2] and solving sum of squares programming problem in [5]) costs many valuable system resources and therefore restricts these methods' practical applications. Thirdly, it is costly to acquire accurate angular velocity information in practice as the use of gyroscopes is usually expensive to the system [13]. Without angular velocity information, full-state-based methods such as optimal control method and SMC method are usually ineffective. Last but not least, most of the model-dependent attitude control methods have no ability to simultaneously guarantee the transient and steady-state performance of attitude system with parameter uncertainties and external disturbance. The performance relies on the controller parameters' tuning and cannot be guaranteed theoretically in advance. These four problems are common in future space missions. For instance, the attitude control of a combined spacecraft after capturing a non-cooperative target is challenging as the center of mass and the inertial matrix are always unknown [14]. A low-complexity robust control method is expected to stabilize the combined spacecraft system without the priori knowledge of the system parameters. Besides, the transient and steady-state performance is expected to be guaranteed to satisfy the constraints such as the stabilization time and obstacle avoidance.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding authors at: School of Astronautics, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an 710072, China.

<sup>1270-9638/© 2018</sup> Published by Elsevier Masson SAS.

### ARTICLE IN PRESS

J. Luo et al. / Aerospace Science and Technology ••• (••••) •••-•••

2

51

52

53

54

1 Another type of attitude control methods is model-free method. 2 This type makes use of the output feedbacks in controller de-3 sign without priori knowledge of the real system parameters. The 4 typical representative of model-free method is the Proportional-5 Integration-Derivative (PID) control method. Though PID control 6 method has been proposed for almost one century, its dominance 7 is still obvious now across all kinds of fields of the industry [15]. 8 One of the most significant advantages of PID control method is 9 its low-complexity properties. In the frontier fields, however, PID 10 control method is too simple to achieve high performance. For im-11 proving the performance of PID control method, some PID-based 12 control methods are presented, such as the adaptive PID method 13 [16] and active disturbance rejection control (ADRC) method [15]. 14 With the development of the aerospace technology, new space 15 missions raise high requirements for controller design. PID-based 16 methods are no longer attractive as performance of the systems 17 under these methods are not controllable, which does not sat-18 isfy the high requirement of precision in future space missions. 19 A model-free attitude control method is urgently needed, which 20 not only has no need of the system parameters, but has ability to 21 guarantee the performance of the system.

22 A low-complexity prescribed performance control (LCPPC) 23 method is presented recently, in which the performance of the 24 system is limited by a user-defined function [17-20]. The tran-25 sient performance, including the convergence rate and overshoot, 26 and the steady performance, mainly the stability region, are con-27 strained. Moreover, the method is low-complexity as the controller 28 is calculated without any time-consuming iterative operations. And 29 specially, any system information is unnecessary in this method. 30 Parameters estimation methods, including the least square method 31 [21], neural network algorithm [22] and support vector machine 32 method [23], are also needless in the controller. Thus far, the 33 proposed method is mainly applied to tackle the tracking prob-34 lem of robot joint position to obtain high precision compared 35 with PID-based methods [24–30]. Theoretical analysis in [28] and 36 experimental results in [30] demonstrated the superior tracking 37 performance of the method with respect to model-free PID-based 38 methods. In addition, the method is introduced to position track-39 ing control of nonlinear multi-agent systems [31] and the control 40 problem of large large-scale systems [32,33] and air-breathing hy-41 personic vehicles (AHV) [34,35], and achieved positive results.

42 Motivated by the foregoing discussions, this paper aims at de-43 veloping a low-complexity prescribed performance control method 44 for spacecraft attitude stabilization and tracking problem with un-45 known dynamics and external disturbance. To the authors' best 46 knowledge, there exist few researches reported on the robust 47 model-free attitude control of rigid spacecraft with prescribed 48 transient and steady performance. Compared with the existing 49 methods, the contributions of this study are as follows: 50

- The equations of spacecraft attitude motion are converted into a Lagrangian form by means of differential algebra method in order to combine attitude control system in the modified Rodrigues parameters (MRP) with LCPPC.
- The prescribed performance control scheme developed by Bechlioulis and Rovithakis in [19] is extended to spacecraft with partial-state-based control scheme by means of Tracking Differentiator (TD). For spacecraft, the precise angular velocity is hard to obtain from the measurement devices and therefore the contribution is of practical significance.
- The attitude control scheme in this paper is model-free, estimation-free, adaptation-free and low-complexity, which is different from most of the current attitude control methods. Firstly, any information of the system nonlinearities and external disturbance is unnecessary in the proposed controller. Besides, parameters estimation methods are also needless in

the proposed controller. Lastly, the low-complexity property is realized as the solution of the controller is solved without any complicated iteration process.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives the spacecraft attitude kinematics and dynamics in MRP. Main work of this paper is provided in Section 3, including model transforming, low-complexity prescribed performance controller design and stability analysis. Four groups of numerical simulations are organized in Section 4 to validate the efficiency of the proposed method. Finally, some conclusions are drawn in Section 5.

#### 2. System description

In this section, the attitude of a rigid spacecraft is formulized by MRP for its nonsingularity of attitude representation [36]. The MRP  $\sigma$  is defined by

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \boldsymbol{\Phi} \tan\left(\varphi/4\right) \tag{1}$$

where  $\mathbf{\Phi} \in \mathbb{R}^3$  is the transient Euler axis,  $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$  is the transient Euler angle,  $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & \sigma_2 & \sigma_3 \end{bmatrix}^T \in \mathbb{R}^3$  is the MRP.

Spacecraft attitude kinematics is defined using MRP as

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = \boldsymbol{B}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})\,\boldsymbol{\omega},\tag{2}$$

where  $\boldsymbol{B}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = (1/4) \left( \left( 1 - \boldsymbol{\sigma}^T \boldsymbol{\sigma} \right) \boldsymbol{I}_{3 \times 3} + 2\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^T + 2 \left[ \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\times} \right] \right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\omega} \in \mathbb{R}^3$  is the angular velocity of the spacecraft,  $\boldsymbol{I}_{3 \times 3} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$  is a identity matrix. For any vector  $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 & \gamma_3 \end{bmatrix}^T \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , the operator  $[\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\times}]$  denotes a 3 × 3 skew-symmetric matrix which is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\times} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\gamma_3 & \gamma_2 \\ \gamma_3 & 0 & -\gamma_1 \\ -\gamma_2 & \gamma_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Spacecraft attitude dynamics of a rigid spacecraft is defined by

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}} = -\boldsymbol{J}^{-1} \left[ \boldsymbol{\omega}^{\times} \right] \boldsymbol{J} \boldsymbol{\omega} + \boldsymbol{J}^{-1} \left( \boldsymbol{u} \left( t \right) + \boldsymbol{d} \left( t \right) \right)$$
(3)

where  $J \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$  represents the symmetric positive definite inertia matrix of the spacecraft,  $u \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $d \in \mathbb{R}^3$  are control input and unknown external disturbance, respectively.

To facilitate the controller design, the state variables and the external disturbance are assumed to satisfy the following Assumptions.

**Assumption 1.** Throughout the space mission, attitude MRP  $\sigma$  is measurable and available for the feedback in control design.

**Assumption 2.** The desired attitude MRP  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\sigma}_1 & \tilde{\sigma}_2 & \tilde{\sigma}_3 \end{bmatrix}^T$  and its derivative  $\dot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}}$  as well as the second derivative  $\ddot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}}$  are bounded, continuous with respect to *t* and locally Lipschitz, which means the space mission is expected to generate continuously smooth tracking trajectory for the attitude control system.

**Assumption 3.** The external disturbance d is bounded, and the bound limit is not known in advance.

**Remark 1.** The above assumptions are common and reasonable in the practical spacecraft system.

67

68

69

Please cite this article in press as: J. Luo et al., Low-complexity prescribed performance control for spacecraft attitude stabilization and tracking, Aerosp. Sci. Technol. (2018), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ast.2018.01.002

Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8058067

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8058067

Daneshyari.com