ARTICLE IN PRESS



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Aerospace Science and Technology



www.elsevier.com/locate/aescte

Attitude controller design for reusable launch vehicles during reentry phase via compound adaptive fuzzy H-infinity control

Qi Mao^a, Liqian Dou^{a,*}, Qun Zong^a, Zhengtao Ding^b

^a School of Electrical and Information Engineering, Tianjin University, Tianjin, 300072, China ^b School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, The University of Manchester, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 22 May 2017 Received in revised form 8 September 2017 Accepted 7 October 2017 Available online xxxx

Keywords: Reusable launch vehicle Attitude control Fuzzy logic system H-infinity control Reentry phase

ABSTRACT

In this paper, the attitude control problem of reusable launch vehicles (RLVs) during reentry phase is investigated by using compound adaptive fuzzy H-infinity control (CAFHC) strategy in the presence of parameter uncertainties and external disturbances. Firstly, the control-oriented attitude model is established by a model transformation based on the six-degree-of-freedom (6-DoF) dynamic model of the RLV. Secondly, a novel attitude control scheme is developed and the control strategy consists of two parts to achieve a stable and accurate attitude tracking during reentry flight process. An attitude tracking controller is designed utilizing adaptive fuzzy H-infinity control approach combined with an identification model to improve the attitude tracking performance in the interior of fuzzy approximation region of attitude angle. Next, an attitude stabilization controller based on boundary adaptive technique is employed to assure the robustness of the closed-loop system in the exterior of fuzzy approximation region of attitude angle. Furthermore, the stability of the closed-loop system is guaranteed within the framework of Lyapunov theory and the attitude tracking error converges to a small neighborhood around origin. Finally, the simulation results are presented to demonstrate that the effectiveness of the proposed control scheme for reentry RLV, and its tracking performance performs better than the other control method.

© 2017 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

With the aim to develop a more cost-effective and reliable approach to the space, reusable launch vehicles (RLVs) have attracted intensive research interest in the field of aerospace engineering [1][2][3]. Mainly due to the unique advantages in reusability, flexibility as well as low operational cost, RLVs have a good prospect in aerospace activities and broad applications in civilian and military fields [4]. Whereas the philosophy sounds interesting and attracting, a major challenging problem posed in flight missions is that of atmospheric reentry. During the reentry phase, the altitude and velocity of the vehicle vary rapidly and drastically as a RLV goes through a wide range of flight envelope. At the same time, RLVs are subject to the poor flight conditions, severe parameter uncertainties and unknown external disturbances [5][6][7]. Thus, the reentry attitude control of RLVs is still a challenging problem [8], and it is critical for the RLV to track the expected commands rapidly and accurately.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ast.2017.10.012

1270-9638/© 2017 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

In the recent past, a variety of control approaches have been proposed for designing the flight controllers of the vehicle. In the early studies, the flight control problem was investigated based on a linearized model of aerocrafts [9][10], whereas it is unsuitable for highly nonlinear and multivariable model of the RLV. Then, gain scheduling (GS) [11][12][13] as a popular control approach was applied for flight control system design. Although gain scheduling control method is verified to be effective to solve some control issues for reentry vehicles, the system robustness and global stability cannot be guaranteed especially under the circumstance of abrupt change of the control parameters. In the work of [14], model predictive control (MPC) method was combined with feedback linearization to develop a controller for reentry vehicle. After that, the trajectory linearization control (TLC) [15][16][17] scheme was developed to improve the nonlinear performance of GS in flight control system for aircrafts. But the robust performance of this approach is finite as the tracking dynamics are linearized by the trajectory linearization controller. Analogously, dynamic inversion (DI) technique [18][19][20] was proposed to cope with the flight control problem of a reusable launch vehicle. However, the drawback of this strategy is poor in robustness for parameter uncertainties and modeling errors if improperly designed. Further,

Please cite this article in press as: Q. Mao et al., Attitude controller design for reusable launch vehicles during reentry phase via compound adaptive fuzzy H-infinity control, Aerosp. Sci. Technol. (2017), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ast.2017.10.012

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: maoqi1118@tju.edu.cn (Q. Mao), douligian@tju.edu.com

⁽L. Dou), zongqun@tju.edu.cn (Q. Zong), zhengtao.ding@manchester.ac.uk (Z. Ding).

2

3

Δ

5

6

7

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Q. Mao et al. / Aerospace Science and Technology ••• (••••) •••

Lam and Krishnamurthy et al. have investigated an adaptive controller using the state dependent Riccati equation (SDRE) approach [21] to a twin-rotor aircraft, but it is difficult for SDRE to deal with the control system with a high order. In [22][23], backstepping methodology was implemented to design the flight control system of the vehicle. Nevertheless, it is worth noting that the external disturbance was not taken into consideration in [22]–[23].

8 For the purpose of designing an attitude controller with a good 9 robust performance, Shtessel et al. [24][25] have explored the ap-10 plication of sliding mode control (SMC) scheme to attitude control 11 system of RLVs. SMC technique is well known as a robust method 12 to design control law for uncertain system. However, the boundary 13 values of model uncertainties and external disturbances ought to 14 be known a prior and it is hard to satisfy this requirement in prac-15 tical experiment. In [26][27], robust control approach was used to 16 tackle the flight control issue in the presence of hard constrains, 17 model uncertainties and external disturbances for RLVs. Similarly, 18 Jee and Yalagach et al. have addressed H-infinity control strategy 19 [28] to design flight control system under the highly uncertain-20 ties and changing dynamics of aerodynamic coefficients for the 21 RLV during reentry process. However, robust control scheme can-22 not achieve a good tracking performance easily since the robust 23 controller is designed in the worst case of the control system. And 24 then, adaptive control [29][30] technique was employed to com-25 bine with robust control method in order to compensate for its 26 shortcomings and improve the system tracking performance. Re-27 cently, fuzzy logic system (FLS) [31][32][33][34] was applied to 28 design the attitude controller of reentry vehicle for its good ap-29 proximation to model uncertainties and unmodeled dynamics. This 30 control approach is an efficient way to cope with the model uncer-31 tainties and external disturbances even if the boundaries of uncer-32 tainties and disturbances are unknown. Moreover, FLS are always 33 combined with other control strategies, such as adaptive control 34 [35], robust control [36], fault-tolerant control [37] and so on, with 35 the goal to improve the tracking control performance of the flight 36 control system and achieve a good robustness simultaneously. Be-37 sides, adaptive fuzzy control method is often combined with robust 38 or H-infinity control method in many applications [38][39][40].

39 In this research, we will further focus on the attitude controller 40 design problem of RLVs during its reentry phase where param-41 eter uncertainties and external disturbances are considered, and 42 propose a compound adaptive fuzzy H-infinity control (CAFHC) 43 strategy. Wherein, the fuzzy logic system is introduced to approxi-44 mate the uncertainty term, and the H-infinity controller is adopted 45 to compensate for fuzzy modeling errors and the external distur-46 bances. However, the introduction of H-infinity control term would 47 degrade the approximation capability of FLS, which would further 48 weaken the tracking performance of reentry RLV. In order to avoid 49 the problem of "approximation capability weakening", a novel con-50 trol scheme is developed and this control strategy mainly consists 51 of two parts. An attitude tracking controller is designed utiliz-52 ing adaptive fuzzy H-infinity control approach combined with an 53 identification model to improve the attitude tracking performance, 54 while an attitude stabilization controller based on boundary adap-55 tive technique is employed to assure the system robustness and 56 the boundedness of approximation error. Moreover, the stability 57 analysis is carried out to demonstrate that the proposed strategy 58 can guarantee the semi-global stability of the closed-loop system. 59 Finally, the simulation results of 6-DoF dynamic mode for the RLV 60 are presented to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed control 61 strategy.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. The 6-DoF dynamic model and the control-oriented attitude model of reentry RLV are stated in Section 2. Next the attitude controller design strategy via CAFHC approach is developed, followed by the stability analysis of the closed-loop control system in Section 3. After that



Fig. 1. The complete application model of RLV.

the numerical simulations applying the proposed control scheme are conducted, and the simulation results and discussions are presented in Section 4. Finally, the conclusion of the paper is drawn in Section 5.

2. Problem formulation

In this section, the 6-DoF dynamic model of the RLV within its reentry phase is described, and the control-oriented attitude model is derived by a model transformation to design the attitude controller.

2.1. 6-DoF dynamic model of RLV

For the sake of simplicity, it is reasonable to assume that the impact of Earth's rotation on the flight control system is not taken into consideration in this work [5], and RLV is regarded as an unpowered rigid body flight vehicle during its reentry phase. The complete application model of RLV is given as depicted in Fig. 1. Generally, the 6-DoF dynamic model of RLV can be separated into the 3-DoF translational kinematic equations, and the 3-DoF rotational kinematic equations, which can be derived based on Ref. [41][42][43].

The 3-DoF translational kinematic equations are stated by six first-order equations as follows:

$$\dot{x} = V \cdot \cos \gamma \cdot \cos \chi$$

$$\dot{y} = V \cdot \cos \gamma \cdot \sin \chi$$

$$\dot{z} = V \cdot \sin \gamma \tag{1}$$

$$\dot{V} = \frac{1}{m} \left(-D - mg \sin \gamma \right)$$
¹¹³
¹¹⁴
¹¹⁵

$$= q - \tan \beta (p \cos \alpha + r \sin \alpha)$$

$$+\frac{1}{mV\cos\beta}\left[-L+mg\cos\gamma\cos\mu\right]$$
117
118
119

$$\dot{\beta} = p \sin \alpha - r \cos \alpha + \frac{1}{mV} [Y + mg \cos \gamma \sin \mu]$$
(2) 120
121

where *x*, *y* and *z* denote the location of RLV referenced to the flight-path coordinate frame. *V* represents the flight velocity, and γ , χ and μ are the flight path angle, heading angle and bank angle, respectively. α , β are the angles of attack (AOA) and sideslip angle respectively. *p*, *q* and *r* are the roll, pitch and yaw rates, respectively. *L*, *D* and *Y* are aerodynamic lift, drag and side forces as described in (6).

The 3-DoF rotational kinematic equations are described by six first-order equations as follows:

$$\dot{p} = (c_1 r + c_2 p)q + c_3 \bar{L} + c_4 N$$

132

116

ò

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8058205

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8058205

Daneshyari.com