



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Aerospace Science and Technology

[www.elsevier.com/locate/aescte](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/aescte)


# Control oriented modeling and analysis of centrifugal compressor working characteristic at variable altitude

Dongdong Zhao\*, Zhiguang Hua, Manfeng Dou, Yigeng Huangfu

School of Automation, Northwestern Polytechnical University, China

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 8 March 2017

Received in revised form 11 October 2017

Accepted 4 November 2017

Available online xxxx

### Keywords:

Altitude

Centrifugal compressor

Control

Working characteristics

## ABSTRACT

The centrifugal compressor converting the kinetic energy into the pressure increase has been extensively used for industry applications. Due to its high rotational speed, the volume and weight could be greatly reduced which makes it suitable for in-flight gas compression systems. Combining the high altitude circumstances such as the air density, pressure, temperature, etc., a centrifugal compressor model is first developed in this paper. This model takes the changing properties of the atmosphere, air pressure and air density into account. The working characteristics of the centrifugal compressor at different altitudes are studied. Meanwhile, the effects of the parameter variations on the compressor performance is analyzed. Moreover, dynamics of the air flow and pressure are investigated with varied altitude. A closed loop controller based on super twisting sliding mode approach is proposed to make the mass flow track the reference.

© 2017 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The centrifugal air compressor has been widely used for the gas transport, gas compression and gas injection both in the land and aviation industries. Compared with positive displacement compressors such as scroll and piston compressors, the centrifugal compressor is more compact due to its high rotational speed which makes it more suitable for aerospace applications [1].

To effectively utilize the centrifugal compressor the working characteristic has to be appropriately designed in terms of the air flow, pressure and efficiency. Moreover, the compressor modeling is essential to predict the working performance prior to real applications. However, the accurate prediction of centrifugal compressor working performance is challenging due to the complex aerodynamics and changing environment.

Data fitting methods have been employed to obtain the compressor map based on the input and output data. A static neural network compressor model was designed based on the input-output data fitting in [2]. Surrogate modeling is another data fitting method to predict the compressor performance [3]. These methods heavily depend on the accuracy of measured data and do not consider the variations of inlet conditions such as the temperature

and pressure. In case of environment change the data fitting methods will have discrepancy results. A common approach to estimate the compressor performance is using empirical correlation method which requires details of the compressor geometry [4]. A compressor model was developed using correlation method by experimental data and constructing the map using identification technique [5]. The correlation method has been extensively applied due to its simplicity. However, the correction factors that are defined empirically strongly influence results. A polytropic model was proposed to predict the compressor characteristic by J.M. Schultz [6]. Improved method and corresponding analysis were presented in [7]. Inaccuracies of this method are introduced by neglecting the impact of Mach number and flow coefficient. Focusing on pressure fluctuations within the impeller and diffuser, unsteady Navier-Stokes equations were solved to obtain the gas flow field in the centrifugal compressor [8]. Meanline modeling technique has been used to predict the compressor performance [9]. And the impeller inlet recirculation is considered to improve the accuracy of the pressure and efficiency predictions. M. Casey and C. Robinson proposed to use four nondimensional parameters to characterize the compressor map through algebraic equations [10]. The reference shows that more uncertainty exists in the compressor surge line prediction. And those equations are influenced by the operational conditions. To predict the compressor performance at various operating conditions, an iterative method was proposed by considering

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [zhaodong@nwpu.edu.cn](mailto:zhaodong@nwpu.edu.cn) (D. Zhao).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ast.2017.11.010>

1270-9638/© 2017 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## Nomenclature

$\beta_{1b}$	Blade inlet angle .....	rad	$k_f$	Fluid friction constant.....	$J \cdot (s/kg)^2$
$\beta_{2b}$	Blade outlet angle .....	rad	$L_m$	Length of the manifold .....	m
$\Delta h_f$	Enthalpy changes resulting from the friction losses..	J	$M_a$	Molar mass of the air .....	kg/mol
$\Delta h_i$	Enthalpy change resulting from the incidence losses	J	$M_v$	Molar mass of the vapor .....	kg/mol
$\Delta h_t$	Total enthalpy increase .....	J	$m_{cp}$	Compressor air mass flow.....	kg/s
$\Delta h_{ideal}$	The ideal specific enthalpy.....	J	$m_{out}$	Air mass flow at the outlet of the manifold.....	kg/s
$\eta$	Compression efficiency .....	–	$p$	Pressure in the manifold .....	Pa
$\kappa$	Ratio of specific heats		$p_0$	Air pressure at sea level.....	Pa
$\omega_{cp}$	Compressor rotational speed .....	Pa	$p_h$	Ambient air pressure .....	Pa
$\sigma$	Slip factor.....	–	$p_s$	Pressure at the bottom of the stratosphere.....	Pa
$\tau_c$	Compressor load torque.....	N·m	$p_{cp}$	Compressor air pressure .....	Pa
$\tau_m$	Motor driving torque.....	N·m	$R$	Universal gas constant .....	$J/(mol \cdot K)$
$A_{cp}$	Area of the compressor impeller eye .....	$m^2$	$r_1$	Mean inducer radius.....	m
$c_p$	Air specific heat at constant pressure .....	$J/(kg \cdot K)$	$r_2$	Impeller radius .....	m
$c_v$	Air specific heat at constant volume .....	$J/(kg \cdot K)$	$S$	Opening area of the nozzle.....	$m^2$
$C_d$	Pressure in the manifold .....	Pa	$T_0$	Air temperature at sea level .....	K
$g_0$	Gravitational acceleration constant.....	$m/s^2$	$T_h$	Ambient air temperature.....	K
$h$	Altitude .....	m	$T_s$	Temperature at the bottom of the stratosphere.....	K
$h_0$	Height at the bottom of atmospheric layer .....	m	$V_m$	Volume of the manifold .....	$m^3$
$h_s$	Altitude at the bottom of the stratosphere .....	Pa	$x_v$	Mole fraction of vapor in the air.....	–
$J$	Inertia of the compressor .....	$kg \cdot m^2$	$Z$	Compressibility factor .....	–

the suction parameter impact and gas properties impact in [11] and [12], respectively. The method is applicable to various suction gas properties, such as varied temperature, pressure and gas composition. At the iteration process the determination of the parameters like the compressibility factor of different suction gases is difficult.

Another famous centrifugal compressor model was developed by Greitzer et al. [13]. Experimental validation of the model were conducted [14]. In that model the dynamics of the gas pressure and air flow in the manifold are taken into account, and the model could predict the whole operating working performance including the surge and rotating stall phenomenons. Base on this model Gravadahl et al. presented a complete compressor model using state space equations [15]. And the drive torque is a control parameter in that model which could be used for model based controller design [16]. However, variations of the gas property which strongly influence the compressor working characteristics were not taken into consideration.

Different with the references mentioned above this paper considers the altitude effect on the compressor performance. The air conditions including the temperature, air density and pressure at high altitude are very different with that at the sea level. Based on the Gravadahl's model a variable altitude compressor model is developed considering the suction air properties variations with the altitude change. Meanwhile, the effects of variations of crucial parameters on the compressor performance are studied. Moreover, dynamics of air flow and pressure of the compressor during the altitude change are presented and analyzed.

Appropriate control of the compressor/turbocharger is important for some applications, such as aero engine combustion and fuel cell [17,18]. Governor control of gas turbine was designed including a steady flow controller and transient flow controller in [19]. By linearizing of the system model predictive control was used to control the turbocharger of a diesel engine [20]. However, the variation of the inlet air condition, for example caused by the altitude variation, is not considered. Sliding mode controller is capable to deal with the nonlinearity and disturbance of the system by forcing the sliding mode surface to zero. A first order sliding mode controller was developed to control an open-ended thermoacoustic system, in which a sign control function is appro-

riately designed based on the sliding manifold [21]. In this paper, a second order sliding mode controller is adopted to eliminate the oscillation on the sliding manifold by adding an integral term in the controller.

The structure of this paper is arranged as follows: Section 2 presents the modeling of centrifugal compressor in high altitude, Section 3 gives the compressor performance modeling at different altitudes, with the analysis of the parameter variation effects. Meanwhile the sliding mode control performance is compared with that of a PI controller. Section 4 concludes this paper.

## 2. System modeling

### 2.1. Centrifugal compressor

The centrifugal compressor transforms the kinetic energy from high rotational speed of the air into the increase of the pressure. The characteristic of the centrifugal compressor can be described by the compressor map which gives the relationship of the air pressure  $p_{cp}$ , mass flow  $m_{cp}$  and rotational speed  $\omega_{cp}$ :

$$p_{cp}(\omega_{cp}, m_{cp}) = p_h \cdot \left( 1 + \frac{\eta(\omega_{cp}, m_{cp}) \Delta h_{ideal}}{T_h \cdot c_p} \right)^{\frac{\kappa}{\kappa-1}} \quad (1)$$

where  $p_h$  is the ambient air pressure at the inlet of the compressor,  $\eta(\omega_{cp}, m_{cp})$  is the compression efficiency affected by  $\omega_{cp}$  and  $m_{cp}$ .  $\Delta h_{ideal}$  is the ideal specific enthalpy delivered to the fluid,  $T_h$  is the ambient air temperature,  $c_p$  is the air specific heat at constant pressure,  $c_v$  is the specific heat at constant volume, the ratio of specific heats  $\kappa = c_p/c_v$ . During compression the losses such as the incidence loss and friction loss result in the energy degradation. The actual enthalpy increase of the air is expressed as follows:

$$\eta(\omega, m) \Delta h_{ideal} = \Delta h_t - \Delta h_i - \Delta h_f - \Delta h_{oth} \quad (2)$$

where  $\Delta h_t$  is the total enthalpy increase,  $\Delta h_i$  and  $\Delta h_f$  are the enthalpy changes resulting from the incidence losses and the friction losses, respectively,  $\Delta h_{oth}$  is the sum of other losses, such as the clearance losses, backflow losses, leakage losses, etc. [15]. The following expressions could be used to calculate the fluid enthalpy changes [16]

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8058252>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8058252>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)