



An experimental investigation of loop seal clearings in SBLOCA tests



Yeon-Sik Kim^{*}, Seok Cho

Thermal Hydraulics Safety Research Division, Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute, 1045 Daedeokdaero, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 305-353, Republic of Korea

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 14 May 2013

Received in revised form 11 September 2013

Accepted 11 September 2013

Available online 10 October 2013

Keywords:

ATLAS

Integral effect test

PWR

Loop seal clearing

SBLOCA

ABSTRACT

An investigation of the loop seal clearing (LSC) in a small-break loss-of-coolant accident (SBLOCA) for direct vessel injection (DVI) line and cold leg (CL) breaks was performed. The behavior of an LSC appears to be closely related to the break location and break size. In the tests of SBLOCAs, a loop seal or cross-over leg (COL) in the broken loop was cleared first, and the number of loop seals cleared was dependent on the break size. The larger the break size was, the more the loop seals or COLs that were cleared. The location of the LSCs appeared to have a consistent behavior under each scenario. In the SBLOCA tests, the downcomer water level just before an LSC was a very important parameter to the peak cladding temperature (PCT). The initiation of an LSC might not be related to the existing flooding condition, but to the magnitude of the pressure difference between the reactor upper head and downcomer, which is sufficient to push the upflow leg of a COL. The sustaining of an LSC without refilling was evaluated using the test data and existing flooding conditions.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

ATLAS, the acronym for advanced thermal-hydraulic test loop for accident simulation, is a thermal-hydraulic integral effect test facility for evolutionary pressurized water reactors (PWRs) of an advanced power reactor of 1400 MWe (APR1400) and an optimized power reactor of 1000 MWe (OPR1000). The reference plant of ATLAS is the APR1400, which is an advanced power reactor developed by Korean industry and has a rated thermal power of 4000 MW and a loop arrangement of 2 hot legs and 4 cold legs for the reactor coolant system (RCS), as shown in Fig. 1. ATLAS also incorporates some specific design features of the Korean standard nuclear power plant, the OPR1000, such as a cold-leg injection mode for high and low pressure safety injections. ATLAS can be used to investigate the multiple responses between systems for a whole plant or between the subcomponents in a specific system during anticipated transients and postulated accidents.

ATLAS was designed according to the well-known scaling method suggested by Ishii and Kataoka (1983) to simulate various test scenarios as realistically as possible (for more detailed information, refer to Kim et al. (2008)). It is a half-height, 1/288-volume scaled test facility with respect to the APR1400. The main motive for adopting the reduced-height design is to allow for an integrated annular downcomer where the multidimensional phenomena can be important under certain accident conditions with DVI operation. According to the scaling law, the reduced height scaling has time-reducing results in the model. For a one-half-height

facility, the time for the scaled model is $\sqrt{2}$ times faster than the prototypical time. The friction factors in the scaled model are maintained the same as those of the prototype. The hydraulic diameter of the scaled model is maintained the same as that of the prototype to preserve the prototypical conditions for the heat transfer coefficient.

During a certain class of SBLOCA in a PWR like an advanced power reactor of 1400 MWe (APR1400), the steam volume in the reactor vessel upper plenum and/or upper head may continue expanding until steam blows liquid out of the U-shaped pump suction cold leg, called a COL or loop seal (LS), opening a path for the steam to be relieved from the break. In the cold leg injection (CLI) design, some portion of this steam might be condensed in the CL in contact with the emergency core coolant (ECC) before being relieved from the break. The steam volume expansion in the reactor vessel upper plenum and/or upper head would involve concurrent, manometric liquid level depressions in the loop seal downflow leg and in the reactor core. A schematic illustration for a loop seal clearing phenomenon in a conventional PWR can be seen in Kukita et al. (1990).

In general, a typical sequence of events (SOEs) of SBLOCAs in PWRs consists of five phases: a blowdown, natural circulation or pressure plateau, loop seal clearance, boil-off, and core recovery (Cho et al., 2011). The duration of each phase depends on the break size and performance of the ECC system. Among the five phases, the loop seal clearing in SBLOCAs is an important phenomenon that governs the whole thermal-hydraulic behavior of the primary system. This paper presents an interpretative description, especially on the LSC behavior, of the test results obtained at the ATLAS facility.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +82 42 868 2868; fax: +82 42 861 6438.

E-mail address: yskim3@kaeri.re.kr (Y.-S. Kim).

Nomenclature

| | |
|---------|--|
| ANS | american nuclear society |
| APR1400 | advanced power reactor with 1400 MWe |
| ATLAS | advanced thermal-hydraulic test loop for accident simulation |
| CCFL | counter-current flow limit |
| CL | cold leg |
| CLI | cold leg injection |
| COL | cross-over leg |
| CPT | counter-part test |
| DC | downcomer |
| DCUH | between downcomer and upper head |
| DP | differential pressure or DP transmitter |
| DVI | direct vessel injection |
| ECC | emergency core cooling |
| HL | hot leg |
| ID | identification |
| IET | integral effect test |
| IL | intermediate leg |
| KAERI | Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute |
| LPP | low pressurizer pressure trip |
| LS | loop seal |
| LSC | loop seal clearing |
| LSR | loop seal refilling |
| LSTF | large-scale test facility |
| MARS | multi-dimensional analysis of reactor safety |
| M/P | ratio of the model to prototype |
| MSIS | main steam isolation signal |
| MSSV | main steam safety valve |
| OPR1000 | optimized power reactor with 1000 MWe |
| PCT | peak cladding temperature |
| PT | pressure transmitter |
| PWR | pressurized water reactor |
| PZR | pressurizer |
| RCP | reactor coolant pump |

| | |
|--------|--------------------------------------|
| RCS | reactor coolant system |
| ROSA | rig of safety assessment |
| SBLOCA | small break loss of coolant accident |
| SG | steam generator |
| SIP | safety injection pump |
| SIT | safety injection tank |
| SOE | sequence of event |
| UH | upper head |

Symbols

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| C | constant for flooding condition, e.g., 0.7–1.0 |
| C_w | wall friction factor, e.g., 0.008 |
| D | diameter (m) |
| ΔP | pressure difference (kPa) |
| g | gravitational acceleration, e.g., 9.80 m/s ² |
| ΔH | height difference (m) |
| h_{FG} | latent heat of vaporization (kJ/kg) |
| Δh_{in} | core inlet subcooling (kJ/kg) |
| j | mass flux (m/s) |
| m | constant for mass transfer between two-phases |
| \dot{m} | mass generation rate (kg/s) |
| N_B | bond number, defined as Eq. (4) |
| Ku | Kutateladze number, defined as Eqs. (3) or (6) |
| Q | core decay power (kW) |
| ρ | density (kg/m ³) |
| σ | surface tension (N/m) |

Superscript

| | |
|---|-------------------------|
| * | dimensionless mass flux |
|---|-------------------------|

Subscripts

| | |
|-----|-----------------|
| G | gas or steam |
| L | liquid or water |

2. Description of test facility related to the loop seal clearance

For an understanding of the loop seal clearing phenomena, the arrangements of loop seal, downcomer, and core in a relatively vertical elevation are important, and govern the characteristics of the loop seal clearing or refilling. Fig. 2 shows the overall arrangements of the COL or LS, downcomer, core, and safety injection nozzles of the ATLAS facility (hereinafter, the U-shaped pump suction cold leg is called COL in this paper). The center line of the horizontal pipe of COL is above 1.344 m from the bottom of the active core, whose total height is 1.905 m. The ATLAS facility also simulates the core bypass of the reference plant by two bypasses, e.g., downcomer vs. upper head (DC–UH) and downcomer vs. hot leg (DC–HL) bypasses, as shown in Fig. 2. All the flow control valves on each bypass lines allow bypass flowrates of about 0.5% and 1.4% of the total core flowrate, respectively, during single-phase steady-state operation. There are two types of safety injection nozzles in ATLAS, e.g., DVI and CLI. The location of the DVI nozzle is above 1.501 m from the centerline of the CL (or hot leg) on the reactor vessel, and that of the CLI nozzle is on the top of the CL downstream of the reactor coolant pump discharge. In a real plant, the DVI nozzles are for an APR1400, and the CLI nozzles, for an OPR1000. Planar arrangements for the main loop pipings and safety injection nozzles are shown in Fig. 3.

The design of the primary pipings for the ATLAS facility was based on a scaling analysis preserving the local phenomena, e.g.,

two-phase flow patterns, flooding conditions, and volume ratio. For the design of horizontal piping diameters, e.g., CL, hot leg (HL), and horizontal section of the COL, the preservations of Froude number (Taitel and Dukler, 1976) and flooding condition (Ohnuki et al., 1988) were adopted between the reference and model designs. For the design of vertical piping diameters, e.g., COL downflow and upflow pipings, a flooding condition using Wallis correlation (Wallis, 1969) was adopted. For the design of all horizontal piping lengths, a global scale for volume, e.g., 1/288, was applied to preserve the volume ratio between the APR1400 and ATLAS. In addition, for the design of all vertical piping lengths, a global scale for height (or length), e.g., 1/2, was applied. The diameters of the primary pipings for APR1400 and ATLAS are summarized in Table 1.

3. Test conditions and procedure

All the SBLOCAs for DVI line and CL breaks were performed according to the following test procedure. Basically, the test conditions for the SBLOCA tests were determined by a pre-test calculation with a best-estimate thermal hydraulic code. First, a transient calculation was performed for the DVI line or CL break of the reference plant, APR1400, to obtain the reference initial and boundary conditions. A best-estimate safety analysis methodology, which is now commonly accepted in the nuclear community, was applied to the transient calculation of the APR1400. The

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8069792>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8069792>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)