Accepted Manuscript

Impact of adsorbent characteristics on performance of solid desiccant wheel

K.F. Fong, C.K. Lee

PII: S0360-5442(17)32156-4

DOI: 10.1016/j.energy.2017.12.113

Reference: EGY 12062

To appear in: Energy

Received Date: 27 July 2017

Revised Date: 16 November 2017

Accepted Date: 20 December 2017

Please cite this article as: K.F. Fong, C.K. Lee, Impact of adsorbent characteristics on performance of solid desiccant wheel, *Energy* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.energy.2017.12.113

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

| 1 | Impact of adsorbent characteristics on performance of solid desiccant |
|----|---|
| 2 | wheel |
| 3 | |
| 4 | K.F. Fong*, C.K. Lee |
| 5 | |
| 6 | Division of Building Science and Technology, City University of Hong Kong, Tat Chee |
| 7 | Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China |
| 8 | |
| 9 | *Corresponding author. |
| 10 | Tel: 852-3442-8724, fax: 852-3442-0443 |
| 11 | Email address: bssquare@cityu.edu.hk |
| 12 | Post address: Tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China |
| 13 | |
| 14 | Abstract |
| 15 | |
| 16 | This study was to investigate the impact of the characteristics of adsorbents on the |
| 17 | performance of the desiccant wheel. Since the physical parameters of different wheels are |
| 18 | not identical, the measured results would not truly reflect the sole influence of the adsorbents. |
| 19 | As such, the performances of the desiccant wheels using RD silica gel (SG), AQSOA-Z02 |
| 20 | and CECA-3A were compared through computer modeling. The variation of the absorbent |
| 21 | condition through the entire cyclic humidification/dehumidification process was evaluated in |
| 22 | order to review the role of adsorption isotherms. With the ambient humidity ratio of 16.04 |
| 23 | g/kg, the desiccant wheel using SG, AQSOA-Z02 and CECA-3A would decrease the |
| 24 | humidity ratio of the process air by 33.0%, 22.6% and 18.7% respectively at the regeneration |
| 25 | temperature of 50 °C; while further by 65.2%, 64.5% and 51.1% respectively at 90 °C. |
| 26 | Although AQSOA-Z02 was presented to have higher dehumidification capability from the |
| 27 | adsorption isotherms, it was found that the desiccant wheel using SG would generally have |
| 28 | better performances due to its lower heat of adsorption. CECA-3A was evaluated to have the |
| 29 | lowest dehumidification capability because of narrow moisture uptake range. As a result, it is |
| 30 | essential to appraise the adsorbent performance in a holistic approach. |
| 31 | |
| 32 | Keywords: Solid desiccant wheel; adsorbent; moisture uptake; heat of adsorption; desiccant |
| 33 | dehumidification. |

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8072403

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8072403

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>