Accepted Manuscript

Multi-objective component sizing for a battery-supercapacitor power supply considering the use of a power converter

Li Sun, Paul Walker, Kaiwu Feng, Nong Zhang

PII: S0360-5442(17)31756-5

DOI: 10.1016/j.energy.2017.10.051

Reference: EGY 11695

To appear in: *Energy*

Received Date: 10 January 2017

Revised Date: 2 October 2017

Accepted Date: 13 October 2017

Please cite this article as: Sun L, Walker P, Feng K, Zhang N, Multi-objective component sizing for a battery-supercapacitor power supply considering the use of a power converter, *Energy* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.energy.2017.10.051.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Multi-Objective Component Sizing for a Battery-Supercapacitor Power Supply Considering the Use of a Power Converter

Li Sun, Paul Walker, Kaiwu Feng, and Nong Zhang

1 *Abstract*-- Owing to a lack of power density of conventional batteries, the onboard energy storage systems of an electric vehicle has 2 to be oversized to compensate worst-case load condition, which is sub-optimal as it induces a heavy penalty on overall system weight 3 and cost. One solution to overcome this limitation is to hybridize it with supercapacitors in order to boost its power performance via a 4 power converter. This paper presents a multi-objective optimization problem over the parameters of such hybrid energy storage 5 systems, with the aims to solve two conflicting objectives – cost and total stored energy in the hybrid energy storage system, under a set 6 of pre-defined design constraints. An algorithm is first developed to find all feasible solutions to the problem. Two popular design 7 examples are then tested differentiating Lithium Iron Phosphate based batteries from Lithium Manganese Oxide / Nickel-Cobalt-8 Manganese based batteries. A Pareto frontier is recreated for each example and an ξ-constraint method is finally adopted to choose the 9 best member for comparison. This is so far, according to the authors' knowledge, the first reported multi-objective optimal sizing 10 method for an active hybrid energy storage system considering the effect of the power converter to gain a clearer understanding of its 11 impact over various design choices.

12 Keywords—Li-ion batteries; Supercapacitors; DC-DC converters; Load leveling; Multi-objective optimization

13

NOMENCLATURE

- 14 BP Battery Pack
- 15 HCR Hybridized Cost Ratio
- 16 HESS Hybrid Energy Storage System
- 17 LiFePO4Lithium Iron Phosphate
- 18 LMO Lithium Manganese Oxide
- 19 MOOP Multi-Objective Optimization Problem
- 20 NCM Nickel-Cobalt-Manganese
- 21 P2W Power-to-Weight (Ratio)
- 22 PC Power Converter

This work was supported by the Automotive Australia 2020 Co-operative Research Centre, Commonwealth of Australia under Grant 4-108.

L. Sun, N. Zhang and P. Walker are with the Centre for Green Energy and Vehicle Innovations, as well as the School of Electrical, Mechanical and Mechatronic Systems, University of Technology, Sydney, Ultimo, NSW 2007, Australia (e-mail: clickler@gmail.com; nong.zhang@uts.edu.au; paul.walker@uts.edu.au).

K. W. Feng is with Qingchi Technology Co., Ltd., Guangdong 518048, Australia, (e-mail: Kirby@qingchi.com).

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8072511

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8072511

Daneshyari.com