Accepted Manuscript

Energy budgeting and carbon footprint of pearl millet – Mustard cropping system under conventional and conservation agriculture in rainfed semi-arid agro-ecosystem

Mukesh Choudhary, K.S. Rana, R.S. Bana, P.C. Ghasal, G.L. Choudhary, Praveen Jakhar, Rakesh Verma

PII: S0360-5442(17)31658-4

DOI: 10.1016/j.energy.2017.09.136

Reference: EGY 11630

To appear in: *Energy*

Received Date: 24 April 2017

Revised Date: 25 September 2017

Accepted Date: 27 September 2017

Please cite this article as: Choudhary M, Rana KS, Bana RS, Ghasal PC, Choudhary GL, Jakhar P, Verma R, Energy budgeting and carbon footprint of pearl millet – Mustard cropping system under conventional and conservation agriculture in rainfed semi-arid agro-ecosystem, *Energy* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.energy.2017.09.136.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Energy budgeting and carbon footprint of pearl millet—mustard cropping
system under conventional and conservation agriculture in rainfed semi-arid
agro-ecosystem

4 Mukesh Choudhary¹*, K.S. Rana, R.S. Bana, P.C. Ghasal, G.L. Choudhary, Praveen Jakhar

5 and Rakesh Verma

6 Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi 110 012, India

7 Abstract

Modern agricultural systems are energy and carbon intensive. Reducing the carbon footprint 8 and increasing energy use efficiency are two important sustainability issues of the modern 9 agriculture. Realizing the implications of energy and carbon use, the present study was 10 conducted to compare pearl millet-mustard production system in conventional and 11 conservation agriculture practices. The results showed that zero tillage with 4 t ha⁻¹ crop 12 residue increased grain yield of pearl millet and mustard by 22.3 and 24.5 % respectively in 13 comparison to conventional tillage without residue which ultimately helped to maintain 14 higher net returns (1270 US\$ ha⁻¹). Mulching of crop residue consumed considerable energy 15 and carbon. It comprised 72.3 to 87.1% of the total energy consumption. Thick residue cover 16 (4 t ha⁻¹) noticed significantly higher energy output and energy intensiveness in both 17 conventional and zero tillage whereas energy-use efficiency (11.5), net energy return (201977 18 MJ ha⁻¹) and energy productivity (0.32 kg MJ⁻¹) was highest under no-residue cover. Carbon 19 foot print value was increased with intensity of residue cover and found least under no-20 residue treatment. Therefore, crop residue should be judiciously used in arid and semi-arid 21 region where livestock mainly depends on it for their fodder requirement. 22

^{*} Corresponding author's E-mail address: selmukesh@gmail.com (Mukesh Choudhary)

¹ Present address: Indian Grassland and Fodder Research Institute, Jhansi, 284 003, India.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8072688

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8072688

Daneshyari.com