#### Energy 72 (2014) 702-716

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Energy

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/energy

### Comparison of closed and open thermochemical processes, for long-term thermal energy storage applications

ABSTRACT



<sup>a</sup> PROMES-CNRS PROcess Materials and Solar Energy, Tecnosud, Rambla de la thermodynamique, 66100 Perpignan, France <sup>b</sup> Université de Perpignan Via Domitia, 52 Av. Paul Alduy, 66860 Perpignan, France

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 22 December 2013 Received in revised form 23 May 2014 Accepted 25 May 2014 Available online 20 June 2014

Keywords: Thermochemical process Closed sorption process Open sorption process Long-term thermal storage Second law analysis

## 1. Introduction/objectives

To limit the global warming and reach the Kyoto objectives, it is necessary to reduce the energy consumption and the greenhouse gas emissions. The residential sector is a key issue. For example, in France, this sector represents 43% of the national energy consumption and 25% of greenhouse gas emissions [1]. The use of renewable energies and in particular solar energy for household applications is a relevant mean to reach this target. In order to maximize the use of solar energy for house heating, it is interesting to utilize the solar energy excess in summer using a long-term storage (3–6 months).

Several studies deal with seasonal storage for residential applications [2–4]. Such storage systems must have on one hand the lowest heat losses between summer and winter, and on the other hand, the smaller volume i.e. the highest energy density. Among available processes, a thermochemical storage takes advantage of its high storage density (about 200–500 kWh m<sup>-3</sup>), and negligible heat losses between the storage and the recovery periods because the energy is stored as a chemical potential and the heat capacity of the components is weak [3]. Therefore, this kind of storage is relevant for seasonal storage for house heating. For comparison

purpose, the energy density of latent storage is about 90 kWh m<sup>-3</sup>, and about 54 kWh m<sup>-3</sup> for sensible storage (water for a  $\Delta$ T of 70 °C and heat losses of 25%) [5].

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

This paper focuses on the study of a solid/gas thermochemical reaction between a porous reactive bed

and vapor. The objective is to determine the operating mode, either closed or open system, that best suits

the requirements of a thermochemical seasonal storage applied to house heating. These two working

modes have been compared thanks to two validated 2D models. This study shows that for the chosen set

of parameters, the two operating modes lead to close global performances (the average specific power is

0.96 and 1.13 W/kg respectively for open and closed operating mode). Thus, the open thermochemical reactor, which presents technical advantages (easier conception and management, lower cost, ...), is a

promising way to implement a thermochemical process as long-term heat storage. Moreover, simula-

tions allow identifying the main limitations for each working mode and the ways to reduce them.

Such a thermochemical storage process involves a reversible chemical reaction between a solid and a gas. This paper focuses on a hydrate/water pair. The synthesis (or hydration) of the solid is exothermic (heating stage), while its decomposition (or dehydration) requires a heat input (storage stage).

Beside the high storage density criteria, a seasonal storage system has also to fulfill requirements about thermal power production for the heat recovery step. Previous works have defined a target value for this thermal power, for an acceptable range of mass of salt (5–10 tons), a typical French climate and an efficient house (Single Family House SFH100 used in IEA (Internal Energy Agency) works) [6,7]. Thus, the average thermal power required for heating is about: 3.5 kW and, the thermal specific power of the salt ranges between 0.3 and 0.7 W kg<sup>-1</sup>. These values are weak compared to those of classical sorption systems (about 10–100 time lower), but a longterm storage system is based on a high amount of reactive salt and a low reaction rate.

For such large thermal storages, the simplest and efficient reactor configuration has to be designed. Several configurations have been proposed for thermochemical storage reactors [8]. According to Processes, materials and solar energy (PROMES) laboratory knowledge [9–11], a fixed bed configuration is chosen and a modular reactor is designed.





<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. E-mail address: mazet@univ-perp.fr (N. Mazet).

Nomenclature		Greek symbols	
		$\Delta G_r$	free Gibbs energy, J mol <sup>-1</sup> s
С	molar heat capacity, J·mol <sup>-1</sup> ·K <sup>-1</sup>	$\Delta h_r^0$	standard enthalpy of reaction, J mol <sup>-1</sup> s
c <sub>m</sub>	heat capacity, J·kg <sup>-1</sup> ·K <sup>-1</sup>	$\Delta s_r^{\dot{0}}$	standard entropy of reaction, J mol <sup><math>-1</math></sup> s K <sup><math>-1</math></sup>
D	thickness of the heat collector, m	ε	porosity
D'	thickness of the mass diffuser, m	$\mu$	air viscosity, Pa·s
$D_{\rm h}$	hydraulic diameter, m	ν	stoichiometric coefficient, mol <sub>G</sub> /mol <sub>s</sub>
Dec	energy density of the reactive bed, $1 m^{-3}$	ρ	density, kg $\cdot$ m <sup>-3</sup>
Decm	mass energy density of the salt, $J \cdot kg^{-3}$	Ω	cross section of the salt bed, m <sup>2</sup>
Der	energy density of the reactor, $J \cdot m^{-3}$	ρ	density, kg · m <sup>-3</sup>
h	molar enthalpy, $J \cdot mol^{-1}$	λ	thermal conductivity, $W \cdot m^{-1} \cdot K^{-1}$
$h_{\rm ech}$	thermal losses coefficient, $W \cdot m^{-1} \cdot K^{-1}$	$\dot{\sigma}$	local entropy production, $W \cdot m^{-3} \cdot K^{-1}$
i i	molar flow, $mol \cdot m^{-2} \cdot s^{-1}$		
k	permeability, m <sup>2</sup>	Indices	
Κ	equilibrium constant	0	dehydrated salt
$k_{\rm cin}$	kinetic constant, s <sup>-1</sup>	1	hydrated salt
L	length of the reactor, m	a	dry air
М	molar weight, kg∙mol <sup>−1</sup>	atm	atmospheric
т	mass, kg	с	heat collector
п	molar density, mol∙m <sup>-3</sup>	dif	diffuser
n	unitary vector normal to the boundaries	eff	effective
Ν	mole quantity of salt, mol	eqLG	liquid/gas equilibrium
'n	sink or source of gas, mol $\cdot$ s $^{-1}$	eqSG	solid/gas equilibrium
р	pressure, Pa	f	at the reaction front
Pm	specific power, W $\cdot$ kg $^{-1}$	G	gas
$\Delta p$	pressure drop across the salt bed, Pa	h	moist air
ġ	sink or source of heat, $J \cdot s^{-1}$	i	inlet of the porous bed
Q	power, W	ini	initial
Q <sub>m</sub>	specific power, W $kg^{-1}$	j	outlet of the porous bed
R	gas constant, J·mol <sup>-1</sup> ·K <sup>-1</sup>	out	outdoor
S	total entropy production, $W \cdot K^{-1}$	S	salt
Т	temperature, K	t	total
$T_{c}$	constraint temperature, K	v	water vapor
u	velocity vector, $\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{s}^{-1}$	Х	reaction advancement
V	flow rate, $m^3 \cdot h^{-1}$	х	x direction
V	volume, m <sup>3</sup>	У	y direction
Χ	reaction advancement	Z	z direction
$y_{v}$	molar water content in the moist air, $mol_v \cdot mol_h^{-1}$		
Ζ	thickness, m	Exponents	
		0	reference

Moreover, for a hydrate/water pair, two operating modes of the thermochemical reactor can be used: closed and open system. In a closed thermochemical system, the salt reacts with pure water vapor at vacuum pressure, while in an open system, the reactive solid bed is crossed by a moist air flow at atmospheric pressure. Many thermochemical systems operate with pure vapor [12–16]. Nevertheless, this kind of working under atmospheric pressure generates strong technological constraints for the thermochemical system design. The open operating mode, at atmospheric pressure, allows to avoid these constraints and it is free of the evaporator/ condenser and the water storage reservoir. Thus it could lead to a simpler and cheaper reactor conception [17].

The feasibility of open thermochemical storage system is currently investigated [11,18–23], and seems promising [24]. However, up to date there is no completed seasonal storage based on a thermochemical process [3,17].

Therefore, the objective of this work is to determine the operating mode, either closed or open, that best suits to thermochemical seasonal storage applied to house heating. These two working modes have been compared due to two 2D models described in this paper. A sensitivity study and a second law analysis allow identifying the main limitations for each working mode and the ways to reduce them. The objective of this paper is to evaluate the performance and limitations of each working mode before setting an experimental bench and performing an experimental study and a thorough validation.

## 2. Principle of solid/gas sorption processes for seasonal heat storage

### 2.1. Solid/gas reaction for seasonal thermochemical storage

A thermochemical system is based on the thermal effect of a monovariant reversible reaction between a solid and a gas:

$$S_0 + \nu G \leftrightarrows S_1 + \Delta h_r^0 \tag{1}$$

The equilibrium conditions ( $p_{eqSG}$ ,  $T_{eqSG}$ ) of the solid/gas reaction follow the Clausius—Clapeyron relation. This relation is obtained by stating that the free Gibbs energy of this transformation is equal to zero at the thermodynamic equilibrium:

$$\Delta G_{\rm r} = \Delta G_{\rm r}^{\rm O} + RT_{\rm eqSG} lnK = \Delta h_{\rm r}^{\rm O} - T_{\rm eqSG} \Delta s_{\rm r}^{\rm O} + RT_{\rm eqSG} lnK = 0$$
(2)

0

~

*K* is the equilibrium constant for the solid/gas reaction. Assuming that the reactive gas behaves as a perfect gas, *K* becomes:

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8077509

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8077509

Daneshyari.com