ARTICLE IN PRESS

Energy xxx (2013) 1-15

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Energy

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/energy

A two dimensional agglomerate model for a proton exchange membrane fuel cell

Lei Xing ^{a, b, *}, Mohamed Mamlouk ^a, Keith Scott ^a

^a School of Chemical Engineering and Advanced Materials, Merz Court, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 7RU, UK
^b School of Chemical Engineering, Inner Mongolia University of Technology, Hohhot, 010051, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 25 October 2012 Received in revised form 22 June 2013 Accepted 17 August 2013 Available online xxx

Keywords: PEMFC Agglomerate catalyst layer Liquid water Effectiveness factor Modelling

ABSTRACT

A two dimensional steady state and isothermal model of a proton exchange membrane fuel cell is presented. This model is applied to a fuel cell with a counter-flow mode of hydrogen and air along parallel flow channels. In the flow channel and porous media, reactant flow is modelled using the continuity and Navier –Stokes equation. Reactant diffusion and convection are modelled by the Maxwell–Stefan and Navier –Stokes equation, respectively. Water transport is described by the combined mechanism of electroosmotic drag, back diffusion and hydraulic permeation. The catalyst layer is modelled as a sphericalagglomerate structure in which ionomer and liquid water partially occupy the void space to form a socalled carbon–ionomer–liquid water film inside the agglomerate. A mathematical relationship for the variation in film thickness with the current density is also developed. The effect of platinum and carbon loadings on the cell performance and effectiveness are simulated. The fuel cell polarisation curve based on the agglomerate with a film model gives good agreement to experimental data while the agglomerate without a film model overestimates the current density. The modelling results show that the rapid fall in current density at lower cell voltage is due to an increased oxygen diffusion resistance through the film. © 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Proton exchange membrane fuel cells (PEMFCs) are promising candidates for auto motive and small stationary applications due to their high electrical efficiency, power density and durability [1–3]. Although significant improvements with respect of cell performance, stability and cost have been achieved over the past decade, some barriers still hamper the commercial use of PEMFCs. The relatively poor oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) in the cathode catalyst layer (CCL) is one of the biggest obstacles holding back the PEMFC performance [4–11].

Numerous models have been developed to investigate the effect of the CCL on the PEMFC performance, for example, ultra-thin layer [4,8], pseudo-homogeneous [7,11] and agglomerate [6,9] models. The ultra-thin layer model is the simplest model requiring the least computational resource because the catalyst layer is assumed to behaviour as merely an interface between the gas diffusion layer (GDL) and the membrane. This model gives limited insight into the effect of composition on the performance of the catalyst layer. Due to the significant difference between the ultra-thin layer structure and the real three dimensional (3D) structure of the catalyst layer, the ultra-thin layer model typically overestimates the current density output of the PEMFC. An improved model developed by Marr and Li [7] was created based on the work of Bernardi and Verbrugge [5]. This model applied a pseudo-homogeneous thin layer as the cathode catalyst structure in which the CCL composition, such as platinum and ionomer loading, were investigated. This model indicated that good utilisation of the thin layer catalyst layer is difficult to achieve due to the greater ORR rate relative to the oxygen diffusion rate. However, this model, by assuming the void space of the catalyst layer is fully occupied by liquid water, failed to simulate the fuel cell performance accurately under a wild range of operating condition. Broka and Ekdunge [6] compared the pseudohomogeneous film model with the agglomerate model and concluded that the latter gave a superior to represent the CCL than the pseudo-homogeneous film model. Sun et al. [9] developed an improved two dimensional (2D) cross the channel (CTC) sphericalagglomerate model for the cathode of the PEMFC. They showed that in this model both electron and proton transport were important in determining the local cathode overpotential and the electrochemical reaction rate, and that the oxygen transport resistance through the ionomer film surrounding the agglomerates was the main factor controlling the observed limiting current density.



^{*} Corresponding author. School of Chemical Engineering and Advanced Materials, Merz Court, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 7RU, UK. Tel.: +44 (0) 191 222 5207; fax: +44 (0) 191 222 5292.

E-mail addresses: xinglei1314@gmail.com, lei.xing@ncl.ac.uk (L. Xing), mohamed.mamlouk@ncl.ac.uk (M. Mamlouk), k.scott@ncl.ac.uk (K. Scott).

^{0360-5442/\$ -} see front matter © 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2013.08.026

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

L. Xing et al. / Energy xxx (2013) 1–15

Across-sectional area, m ² α_{met} acharge transfer coefficient α_{met} aspecific area, m ⁻¹ α_{met} α_{met} net electro-osmotic drag coefficientaspecific area, m ⁻¹ $\alpha_{hy,0}$ water activityCdimensionless concentration, mol m ⁻³ μ μ viscosity, Pa sDiMaxwell-Stefan diffusion coefficient matrix, m ² s ⁻¹ ρ μ viscosity, Pa sDiMaxwell-Stefan diffusion coefficient matrix, m ² s ⁻¹ ρ ρ density, kg m ⁻³ Eotolune fraction ϵ volume fractionEcell voltage, V δ film thickness, mFFarady's constant, 96,485 C mol ⁻¹ σ conductivity, Ω^{-1} m ⁻¹ HHenry's constant, Pa m ³ mol ⁻¹ ξ stoichiometric flow ratioicurrent density, A m ⁻² ϕ_{h} potential, Vkreaction rate coefficient, s ⁻¹ $\psi_{h_2,0}$ association parameter for water (the value is 2.6)1thickness,m $uperscripts$ Mnmean nolecular weight, for species j, kg mol ⁻¹ SuperscriptsMnmean nolecular weight, gm mol ⁻¹ $uperscripts$ Mnmean nolecular weight, gm mol ⁻¹ $uperscripts$ Mnmean nolecular weight, gm mol ⁻¹ a ndragelectro-osmotic drag coefficient a ndragelectro-osmotic drag coefficient a ndragelectro-osmotic drag coefficient a ndragelectro-osmotic drag coefficient a	Nomenclature		Greek	
ACloss-sectional after, in* a_{met} the decursion for the decursion of the decurs	٨	among anothing all among m ²	α	charge transfer coefficient
u specific area, int c_{h_10} water content C concentration, mol m ⁻³ μ viscosity, Pa s c_r fixed charge concentration in membrane, mol m ⁻³ μ viscosity, Pa s D_{ij} Maxwell-Stefan diffusion coefficient matrix, $m^2 s^{-1}$ μ viscosity, Pa s E^0 potential applied on the electrode, V ϵ volume fraction E_{cell} cell voltage, V δ film thickness, m F_r effectiveness factor η overpotential, V F Farady's constant, $96, 485$ C mol ⁻¹ σ conductive, $\Omega^{-1} m^{-1}$ i current density, $A m^{-2}$ Φ_L Thiele's modulus i_0 exchange current density, $A m^{-2}$ ϕ_L Thiele's modulus i_0 exchange current density, s^{-1} ψ_{H_1O} association parameter for water (the value is 2.6) I thickness, m m^{-2} ψ_{H_1O} association parameter for water (the value is 2.6) I thickness, m m^{-2} eff effective M_n mean molecular weight, for species j , kg mol ⁻¹ O intrinsic m_{p_T} platinum loading, mg cm ⁻² effeff effective n_{m} platinum loading, mg cm ⁻² refreference M_j molecular weight, kg mol ⁻¹ Q anode n_{p_T} platinum loading, mg cm ⁻² refreference n_j pressure, Pa Qg aggagglomerate Q reactant gas flow rates, $m^3 s^{-1}$	A	cross-sectional area, m ²	α_{net}	net electro-osmotic drag coefficient
Cdimensionless concentration k_p nydratule permeabulty, mcconcentration nol m ⁻³ λ water contentcrfixed charge concentration in membrane, mol m ⁻³ μ viscosity, Pa sDijMaxwell-Stefan diffusion coefficient matrix, m ² s ⁻¹ ρ density, kg m ⁻³ E^0 potential applied on the electrode, V ε volume fractionEcell voltage, V δ film thickness, m E_r effectiveness factor η overpotential, VFFarady's constant, 96,485 C mol ⁻¹ σ conductivity, Ω^{-1} m ⁻¹ HHenry's constant, 96,485 C mol ⁻¹ σ conductivity, Ω^{-1} m ⁻¹ icurrent density, A m ⁻² ϕ_L potential, Vkreaction rate coefficient, s ⁻¹ $\psi_{H,0}$ association parameter for water (the value is 2.6)lthickness, m $\psi_{H,0}$ association parameter for water (the value is 2.6)lthickness, m $\psi_{H,0}$ association parameter for water (the value is 2.6)lthickness, m $\psi_{H,0}$ association parameter for water (the value is 2.6)lthickness, m $\psi_{H,0}$ association parameter for water (the value is 2.6)matermean molecular weight, kg mol ⁻¹ $uperscripts$ Mnmolecular weight, kg mol ⁻¹ $uperscripts$ Mnmolecular weight, kg coefficient $uperscripts$ nderageelectro-cosmotic drag coefficient $uperscripts$ Nghydraulic permeation coefficienta anode	a	specific area, m	$\alpha_{\rm H_2O}$	water activity
ccconcentration, mol m - λ water contentcrfixed charge concentration in membrane, mol m - μ viscosity, Pa sDrmaxwell-Stefan diffusion coefficient matrix, m ² s - 1 ρ density, kg m - E^{0} potential applied on the electrode, V ε volume fraction E_{cell} cell voltage, V δ fillm thickness, m E_{r} effectiveness factor η overpotential, V F Farady's constant, 96,485 C mol - 1 σ conductivity, Ω^{-1} m - 1 i current density, A m -2 ϕ_{1} Thiele's modulus i_{0} exchange current density, A m -2 ϕ_{1} Thiele's modulus i_{0} exchange current density, A m -2 ϕ_{1} association parameter for water (the value is 2.6) l thickness, m ψ_{1+0} association parameter for water (the value is 2.6) M_{r} molecular weight for species j, kg mol -1 $V_{H_{10}}$ association parameter for water (the value is 2.6) M_{r} molecular weight for species j, kg mol -1 0 intrinsic m_{rc} carbon loading, mg cm -2refreference M_{r} molar flux of species j, mol m -2 s - 1eqequilibrium n_{driff} back diffusion coefficient a anode n_{driff} back d	C	dimensionless concentration	κ _p	hydraulic permeability, m ²
C_{Γ} μ μ $Viscolity. Pa s$ D_{IJ} Maxwell-Stefan diffusion coefficient matrix, m ² s ⁻¹ ρ density, kg m ⁻³ E^{0} potential applied on the electrode, V ε volume fraction E_{cell} cell voltage, V δ film thickness, m E_{r} effectiveness factor η overpotential, V F Farady's constant, 96,485 C mol ⁻¹ σ conductivity, Ω^{-1} m ⁻¹ H Henry's constant, Pa n ³ mol ⁻¹ ξ stoichiometric flow ratio i current density, A m ⁻² Φ_{L} Thiele's modulus i_{0} exchange current density, A m ⁻² ϕ potential, V k reaction rate coefficient, s ⁻¹ $\psi_{H_{2}O}$ association parameter for water (the value is 2.6) I thickness, m M_{II} molecular weight for species j , kg mol ⁻¹ $Superscripts$ M_{J} molecular weight for species j , kg mol ⁻¹ O intrinsic m_{Pr} platinum loading, mg cm ⁻² effeffectrive M_{II} molar flux of species j , mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ eqequilibrium n_{drag} electro-smotic drag coefficient a anode n_{II} back diffusion coefficient a anode p pressure, Paaggagglomerate Q carbon task, m ³ s ⁻¹ Ccarbon R_{M} membrane resistance, Ω m ⁻² CLcatalyst layer R_{M} membrane resistance, Ω m ⁻² Iionomer r r	С	concentration, mol m	λ	water content
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C _f	fixed charge concentration in membrane, mol m	μ	viscosity, Pa s
E^{o} potential applied on the electrode, V ϵ volume fraction F_{cell} cell voltage, V δ film thickness, m E_r effectiveness factor η overpotential, V F Farady's constant, $Pa m^3 mol^{-1}$ σ conductivity, $\Omega^{-1} m^{-1}$ H Henry's constant, $Pa m^3 mol^{-1}$ ξ stochiometric flow ratio i current density, $A m^{-2}$ Φ_L Thiele's modulus i_o exchange current density, $A m^{-2}$ ϕ_L potential, V k reaction rate coefficient, s^{-1} ψ_{H_2O} association parameter for water (the value is 2.6) l thickness, m M_n mean molecular weight for species j , kg mol^{-1} O intrinsic M_n mean molecular weight, kg mol^{-1} O intrinsic m_{ret} m_{re} platinum loading, mg cm ⁻² effeffective m_{c} carbon loading, mg cm ⁻² effeffective m_{ret} electro-smotic drag coefficient m_{drag} equilibrium n_{drag} electro-smotic drag coefficient a anode p pressure, Paaggagglomerate C Q reactant gas flow rates, $m^3 s^{-1}$ C carbon R idela gas constant, 8.314 J mol ⁻¹ K^{-1} c cathode R_M membrane resistance, Ωm^{-2} L cathode R_M relative humidityGDLgas diffusion layer r radius, mIionomer r <t< td=""><td>D_{ij}</td><td>Maxwell–Stefan diffusion coefficient matrix, m² s⁻¹</td><td>ρ</td><td>density, kg m⁻³</td></t<>	D_{ij}	Maxwell–Stefan diffusion coefficient matrix, m ² s ⁻¹	ρ	density, kg m ⁻³
L_{cell} cell voltage, V δ him thickness, m E_r effectiveness factor η overpotential, V F Farady's constant, 96,485 C mol ⁻¹ η conductivity, Ω^{-1} m ⁻¹ H Henry's constant, Pa m ³ mol ⁻¹ ξ stoichiometric flow ratio i current density, A m ⁻² Φ_L Thiele's modulus i_0 exchange current density, A m ⁻² ϕ potential, V k reaction rate coefficient, s ⁻¹ $\psi_{h_{2,0}}$ association parameter for water (the value is 2.6) l thickness, m $V_{H_{2,0}}$ association parameter for water (the value is 2.6) l thickness, m $V_{H_{2,0}}$ association parameter for water (the value is 2.6) l thickness, m $V_{H_{2,0}}$ association parameter for water (the value is 2.6) l thickness, m $V_{H_{2,0}}$ association parameter for water (the value is 2.6) l thickness, m $V_{H_{2,0}}$ association parameter for water (the value is 2.6) l thickness, m $V_{H_{2,0}}$ association parameter for water (the value is 2.6) l thickness, m $V_{H_{2,0}}$ association parameter for water (the value is 2.6) l thickness, m $V_{H_{2,0}}$ association parameter for water (the value is 2.6) l molecular weight for species j , kg mol ⁻¹ O intrinsic m_{r} platinum loading, mg cm ⁻² s ⁻¹ eqequilibrium n_{drag} electro-osmotic drag coefficient a anode n_{drag} <td>E</td> <td>potential applied on the electrode, V</td> <td>8</td> <td>volume fraction</td>	E	potential applied on the electrode, V	8	volume fraction
E_r effectiveness factor η overpotential, V F Farady's constant, 96,485 C mol ⁻¹ σ conductivity, Ω^{-1} m ⁻¹ H Henry's constant, Pa m ³ mol ⁻¹ ξ stoichiometric flow ratio i current density, A m ⁻² Φ_L Thiele's modulus i_0 exchange current density, A m ⁻² ϕ potential, V k reaction rate coefficient, s ⁻¹ ψ_{H_2O} association parameter for water (the value is 2.6) l thickness, m U_{H_2O} association parameter for water (the value is 2.6) M_n mean molecular weight, for species j , kg mol ⁻¹ $Superscripts$ M_n mean molecular weight, gmol ⁻¹ O intrinsic m_{Pr} platinum loading, mg cm ⁻² effeffective m_{C} carbon loading, mg cm ⁻² refreference N_j molar flux of species j , mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ eqeq n_{drag} electro-osmotic drag coefficientaanode n_{drag} electro-osmotic drag coefficientaanode p pressure, Pa agg agglomerate Q reactant gas flow rates, m ³ s ⁻¹ Ccarbon R ideal gas constant, 8.314 J mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹ ccathode R_M membrane resistance, Ω m ⁻² I ionomer T temperature, Kispecies i u flow velocity, m s ⁻¹ j species i u flow velocity, m s ⁻¹ f paceis i u flow	E_{cell}	cell voltage, V	ð	film thickness, m
F Farady's constant, 96,485 C mol ⁻¹ σ conductivity, $\Omega^{-1} m^{-1}$ H Henry's constant, Pa m ³ mol ⁻¹ ξ stoichiometric flow ratio i current density, A m ⁻² Φ_L Thiele's modulus i_0 exchange current density, A m ⁻² ϕ potential, V k reaction rate coefficient, s ⁻¹ ψ_{H_2O} association parameter for water (the value is 2.6) I thickness, m ψ_{H_2O} association parameter for water (the value is 2.6) M_n mean molecular weight for species j , kg mol ⁻¹ $Superscripts$ M_n mean molecular weight, kg mol ⁻¹ O intrinsic m_{rc} carbon loading, mg cm ⁻² effeffective m_{c} carbon loading, mg cm ⁻² refreference N_j molar flux of species j , mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ eqequilibrium n_{drig} electro-osmotic drag coefficient $Subscripts$ n_{hyd} hydraulic permeation coefficienta anode p pressure, Paaggagglomerate Q reactant gas flow rates, m ³ s ⁻¹ Cc carbon R ideal gas constant, 8.314 J mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹ ccathode R_M membrane resistance, Ω m ⁻² CLcatalyst layer R relative humidityGDLgas diffusion layer r radius, mIionomer r ispecies j N membrane P p prestrue, Ki q species j <	$E_{\rm r}$	effectiveness factor	η	overpotential, V
HHenry's constant, Pa m³ mol ⁻¹ ξstoichiometric flow ratioicurrent density, A m ⁻² $Φ_L$ Thiele's modulusioexchange current density, A m ⁻² $φ$ potential, Vkreaction rate coefficient, s ⁻¹ ψ_{H_2O} association parameter for water (the value is 2.6)lthickness, m ψ_{H_2O} association parameter for water (the value is 2.6)lthickness, m U_{H_2O} association parameter for water (the value is 2.6)lthickness, m 0 intrinsic M_p molecular weight for species j, kg mol ⁻¹ 0 intrinsic m_{Pt} platinum loading, mg cm ⁻² effeffective m_{cc} carbon loading, mg cm ⁻² refreference N_j molar flux of species j, mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ eqeq n_{drag} electro-osmotic drag coefficient $subscripts$ n_{drag} electro-osmotic drag coefficienta n_{drag} electro-osmotic drag coefficienta n_{drag} electro-osmotic drag coefficienta n_{drag} ggagglomerateQreactant gas flow rates, m ³ s ⁻¹ CRideal gas constant, 8.314 J mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹ cRideal gas constant, 8.314 J mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹ cRrelative humidityGDLrrelative humidityGDLrrelative humidityfrspecies iuflow velocity, m s ⁻¹ jvmole volume, m ³	F	Farady's constant, 96,485 C mol ⁻¹	σ	conductivity, Ω^{-1} m ⁻¹
icurrent density, A m ⁻² Φ_L Thiele's modulus i_0 exchange current density, A m ⁻² ϕ potential, Vkreaction rate coefficient, s ⁻¹ $\psi_{H_{20}}$ association parameter for water (the value is 2.6)lthickness, m M_j molecular weight for species j, kg mol ⁻¹ $\mathcal{Superscripts}$ M_n mean molecular weight, kg mol ⁻¹ O intrinsic $superscripts$ M_n mean molecular weight, kg mol ⁻¹ O intrinsic ref m_{Pt} platinum loading, mg cm ⁻² effeffeffective N_j molar flux of species j, mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ eqeqtraction coefficientsubscripts n_{drag} electro-osmotic drag coefficient n_{hyd} hydraulic permeation coefficientaanodeaggagglomerateQreactant gas flow rates, m ³ s ⁻¹ CRideal gas constant, 8.314 J mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹ cRrelative humidityGDLgas diffusion layerIrradius, mIrionomerTtemperature, Kiuflow velocity, m s ⁻¹ jVmole volume, m ³ Mwmass fractionPtkusociationwmast fractionPtVmole relative humidityflow selecies iuflow velocity, m s ⁻¹ jvmole volume, m ³ Mwmas	Н	Henry's constant, Pa m^3 mol ⁻¹	ξ	stoichiometric flow ratio
ioexchange current density, A m ⁻² φpotential, Vkreaction rate coefficient, s ⁻¹ ψ_{H_2O} association parameter for water (the value is 2.6)lthickness, mM _n mean molecular weight, for species j, kg mol ⁻¹ SuperscriptsM _n mean molecular weight, kg mol ⁻¹ 0intrinsicmetricm _t platinum loading, mg cm ⁻² effeffeffectivem _c carbon loading, mg cm ⁻² eqmolar flux of species j, mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ eqequilibriumndtragelectro-osmotic drag coefficientsubscriptsndtragelectro-osmotic drag coefficientanodendtragelectro-osmotic drag coefficientanodendtragelectro-osmotic drag coefficientanodeppressure, PaaggagglomerateQreactant gas flow rates, m ³ s ⁻¹ CcarbonRideal gas constant, 8.314 J mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹ ccatalyst layerRrelative humidityGDLgas diffusion layerrradius, mIionomerTtemperature, Kispecies iuflow velocity, m s ⁻¹ jspecies iVmole value, m ³ Mmembranekmole fractionPtplatinumxmole fractionPt/Cplatinum dispersed carbonssolid phase (electrode)wsolid phase (electrode)	i	current density, A m ⁻²	Φ_{L}	Thiele's modulus
kreaction rate coefficient, s^{-1} ψ_{H_20} association parameter for water (the value is 2.6)lthickness, mSuperscripts M_j molecular weight for species j, kg mol^{-1}Superscripts m_{Pt} platinum loading, mg cm ⁻² effeffective m_C carbon loading, mg cm ⁻² refreference M_j molar flux of species j, mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ eqequilibrium n_{drag} electro-osmotic drag coefficientSubscripts m_{hyd} hydraulic permeation coefficientsubscripts m_{hyd} hydraulic permeation coefficientagg p pressure, PaaggagglomerateQreactant gas flow rates, m ³ s ⁻¹ Ccarbon R ideal gas constant, 8.314 J mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹ Ccathode R_M relative humidityGDLgas diffusion layer r radius, mIionomer T temperature, Kispecies i u flow velocity, m s ⁻¹ Jspecies i w mass fractionPtplatinum x mole rolume, m ³ Mmembrane w mass fractionPt/Cplatinum dispersed carbon x mole fractionPt/Cplatinum dispersed carbon	<i>i</i> 0	exchange current density, A m^{-2}	ϕ	potential, V
lthickness, m M_j molecular weight for species j , kg mol ⁻¹ Superscripts M_n mean molecular weight, kg mol ⁻¹ 0intrinsic m_{Pt} platinum loading, mg cm ⁻² effeffective m_C carbon loading, mg cm ⁻² refreference N_j molar flux of species j , mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ eqequilibrium n_{drag} electro-osmotic drag coefficientSubscripts n_{diff} back diffusion coefficientaanode p pressure, PaaggagglomerateQreactant gas flow rates, $m^3 s^{-1}$ Cc carbon R ideal gas constant, 8.314 J mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹ cc athole R_M membrane resistance, Ω m ⁻² CLc atalyst layerRHrelative humidityGDLgas diffusion layer r radius, mIionomer T temperature, Kispecies i u flow velocity, m s ⁻¹ jspecies i V mole volume, m ³ Mmembrane w mass fractionPtplatinum x mole fractionPt/Cplatinum dispersed carbon	k	reaction rate coefficient, s ⁻¹	$\psi_{ m H_2O}$	association parameter for water (the value is 2.6)
M_j molecular weight for species $j, kg mol^{-1}$ Superscripts M_n mean molecular weight, kg mol^{-1}0intrinsic m_{Pt} platinum loading, mg cm ⁻² effeffective m_C carbon loading, mg cm ⁻² refreference m_{crag} electro-osmotic drag coefficienteqequilibrium n_{drag} electro-osmotic drag coefficientaanode n_{ufiff} back diffusion coefficientaanode p pressure, PaaggagglomerateQreactant gas flow rates, $m^3 s^{-1}$ CcarbonRideal gas constant, 8.314 J mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹ ccathode R_M membrane resistance, Ωm^{-2} CLcatalyst layerRHrelative humidityGDLgas diffusion layerrradius, mIionomerTtemperature, Kispecies i u flow velocity, m s ⁻¹ jspecies i V mole volume, m ³ Mmembrane w mass fractionPtplatinum x mole fractionPt/Cplatinum dispersed carbon	1	thickness, m		
M_n mean molecular weight, kg mol^{-1}0intrinsic m_{Pt} platinum loading, mg cm^{-2}effeffective m_C carbon loading, mg cm^{-2}refreference N_j molar flux of species j , mol m^{-2} s^{-1}eqequilibrium n_{drag} electro-osmotic drag coefficientsubscripts n_{driff} back diffusion coefficientSubscripts n_{hyd} hydraulic permeation coefficienta p pressure, PaaggagglomerateQreactant gas flow rates, m ³ s^{-1}Ccarbon R ideal gas constant, 8.314 J mol ⁻¹ K^{-1}ccathode R_M membrane resistance, Ω m ⁻² CLcatalyst layer R relative humidityGDL gas diffusion layer r radius, mIionomer T temperature, Kispecies i u flow velocity, m s^{-1}jspecies j V mole volume, m ³ Mmembrane w mass fractionPtplatinum x mole fractionPt/Cplatinum	M_j	molecular weight for species j , kg mol ⁻¹	Superscripts	
m_{Pt} platinum loading, mg cm ⁻² effeffective m_{C} carbon loading, mg cm ⁻² refreference N_j molar flux of species j , mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ eqequilibrium n_{drag} electro-osmotic drag coefficientsubscripts n_{diff} back diffusion coefficientsubscripts n_{hyd} hydraulic permeation coefficienta p pressure, PaaggagglomerateQreactant gas flow rates, m ³ s ⁻¹ Ccarbon R ideal gas constant, 8.314 J mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹ ccathode R_M membrane resistance, Ω m ⁻² CLcathode R_M membrane resistance, Ω m ⁻² CLcathode r radius, mIionomer T temperature, Kispecies i u flow velocity, m s ⁻¹ jspecies i V mole volume, m ³ Mmembrane w mass fractionPtplatinum x mole fractionPt/Cplatinum w mass fractionPt/Cplatinum	M_n	mean molecular weight, kg mol ^{–1}	0	intrinsic
$m_{\rm C}$ carbon loading, mg cm ⁻² refreference N_j molar flux of species j , mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ eqequilibrium $n_{\rm drag}$ electro-osmotic drag coefficienteqequilibrium $n_{\rm diff}$ back diffusion coefficient $Subscripts$ $n_{\rm hyd}$ hydraulic permeation coefficientaanode p pressure, Paaggagglomerate Q reactant gas flow rates, m ³ s ⁻¹ Ccarbon R ideal gas constant, 8.314 J mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹ ccathode $R_{\rm M}$ membrane resistance, Ω m ⁻² CLcatalyst layerRHrelative humidityGDLgas diffusion layer r radius, mIionomer T temperature, Kispecies i u flow velocity, m s ⁻¹ jspecies j V mole volume, m ³ Mmembrane w mass fractionPtplatinum x mole fractionPt/Cplatinum dispersed carbon s solid phase (electrode)wward	$m_{ m Pt}$	platinum loading, mg cm ⁻²	eff	effective
N_j molar flux of species j , mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ eqequilibrium n_{drag} electro-osmotic drag coefficient $Subscripts$ n_{diff} back diffusion coefficientaanode p pressure, Paaggagglomerate Q reactant gas flow rates, m ³ s ⁻¹ Ccarbon R ideal gas constant, 8.314 J mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹ ccathode R_{M} membrane resistance, Ω m ⁻² CLcatalyst layerRHrelative humidityGDLgas diffusion layer r radius, mIionomer T temperature, K i species i u flow velocity, m s ⁻¹ j species j V mole volume, m ³ Mmembrane w mass fractionPtplatinum x mole fractionPt/Cplatinum w wass fractionPt/Cplatinum w wass fractionPt/Cplatinum	m _C	carbon loading, mg cm ⁻²	ref	reference
$\begin{array}{cccc} n_{drag} & \mbox{electro-osmotic drag coefficient} & Subscripts \\ n_{diff} & \mbox{back diffusion coefficient} & a & \mbox{anode} \\ n_{hyd} & \mbox{hydraulic permeation coefficient} & a & \mbox{anode} \\ p & \mbox{pressure, Pa} & \mbox{agg} & \mbox{agglomerate} \\ Q & \mbox{reactant gas flow rates, m}^3 s^{-1} & C & \mbox{carbon} \\ R & \mbox{ideal gas constant, 8.314 J mol}^{-1} K^{-1} & c & \mbox{cathode} \\ R_M & \mbox{membrane resistance, } \Omega m^{-2} & CL & \mbox{catalyst layer} \\ RH & \mbox{relative humidity} & GDL & \mbox{gas diffusion layer} \\ r & \mbox{radius, m} & I & \mbox{ionomer} \\ T & \mbox{temperature, K} & i & \mbox{species } i \\ u & \mbox{flow velocity, m s}^{-1} & j & \mbox{species } j \\ V & \mbox{mole volume, m}^3 & M & \mbox{membrane} \\ w & \mbox{mass fraction} & \mbox{Pt} & \mbox{platinum} \\ r & \mbox{nole fraction} & \mbox{Pt} & \mbox{platinum} \\ r & \mbox{mass fraction} & \mbox{pt} & \mbox{pt} & \mbox{platinum} \\ r & \mbox{mole fraction} & \mbox{pt} & \mbox{pt} & \mbox{membrane} \\ r & \mbox{mass fraction} & \mbox{pt} & \mbox{pt} & \mbox{mass fraction} \\ r & \mbox{mass fraction} & \mbox{pt} & \mbox{pt} & \mbox{mass fraction} \\ r & \mbox{mass fraction} & \mbox{pt} & \mbox{pt} & \mbox{mass presed carbon} \\ r & \mbox{ss solid phase (electrode)} \\ W & \mbox{water} \\ \end{array}$	Ni	molar flux of species j , mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	eq	equilibrium
n_{diff} back diffusion coefficientSubscripts n_{hyd} hydraulic permeation coefficientaanode p pressure, PaaggagglomerateQreactant gas flow rates, $m^3 s^{-1}$ Ccarbon R ideal gas constant, 8.314 J mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹ Ccatalyst layer R membrane resistance, Ωm^{-2} CLcatalyst layer RH relative humidityGDLgas diffusion layer r radius, mIionomer T temperature, Kispecies i u flow velocity, m s ⁻¹ jspecies j V mole volume, m ³ Mmembrane w mass fractionPtplatinum x mole fractionPt/Cplatinum dispersed carbon x watersolid phase (electrode)	$n_{\rm drag}$	electro-osmotic drag coefficient		
n_{hyd} hydraulic permeation coefficientaanode p pressure, Paaggagglomerate Q reactant gas flow rates, $m^3 s^{-1}$ Ccarbon R ideal gas constant, 8.314 J mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹ ccathode R_M membrane resistance, Ωm^{-2} CLcatalyst layerRHrelative humidityGDLgas diffusion layer r radius, mIionomer T temperature, K i species i u flow velocity, $m s^{-1}$ j species j V mole volume, m^3 Mmembrane w mass fractionPtplatinum x mole fraction Pt/C platinum dispersed carbon x w wrater s solid phase (electrode)	n _{diff}	back diffusion coefficient	Subscripts	
ppressure, PaaggagglomerateQreactant gas flow rates, $m^3 s^{-1}$ CcarbonRideal gas constant, 8.314 J mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹ ccathodeR_Mmembrane resistance, Ωm^{-2} CLcatalyst layerRHrelative humidityGDLgas diffusion layerrradius, mIionomerTtemperature, Kispecies iuflow velocity, m s ⁻¹ jspecies jVmole volume, m ³ Mmembranewmass fractionPtplatinumxmole fractionPt/Cplatinum dispersed carbon s solid phase (electrode)	n _{hyd}	hydraulic permeation coefficient	a	anode
Qreactant gas flow rates, $m^3 s^{-1}$ CcarbonRideal gas constant, $8.314 J mol^{-1} K^{-1}$ ccathodeR_Mmembrane resistance, Ωm^{-2} CLcatalyst layerRHrelative humidityGDLgas diffusion layerrradius, mIionomerTtemperature, Kispecies iuflow velocity, $m s^{-1}$ jspecies jVmole volume, m^3 Mmembranewmass fractionPtplatinumxmole fractionPt/Cplatinum dispersed carbon s solid phase (electrode)	p	pressure, Pa	agg	agglomerate
Rideal gas constant, $8.314 \text{ J} \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ ccathodeR_Mmembrane resistance, $\Omega \text{ m}^{-2}$ CLcatalyst layerRHrelative humidityGDLgas diffusion layerrradius, mIionomerTtemperature, Kispecies iuflow velocity, m s^{-1}jspecies jVmole volume, m ³ Mmembranewmass fractionPtplatinumxmole fractionPt/Cplatinum dispersed carbonywatersolid phase (electrode)	Q	reactant gas flow rates, $m^3 s^{-1}$	С	carbon
$R_{\rm M}$ membrane resistance, Ω m ⁻² CLcatalyst layerRHrelative humidityGDLgas diffusion layerrradius, mIionomerTtemperature, Kispecies iuflow velocity, m s ⁻¹ jspecies jVmole volume, m ³ Mmembranewmass fractionPtplatinumxmole fractionPt/Cplatinum dispersed carbon s solid phase (electrode)Wwater	R	ideal gas constant, 8.314 J mol $^{-1}$ K $^{-1}$	с	cathode
RHrelative humidityGDLgas diffusion layerrradius, mIionomerTtemperature, Kispecies iuflow velocity, m s ⁻¹ jspecies jVmole volume, m ³ Mmembranewmass fractionPtplatinumxmole fractionPt/Cplatinum dispersed carbonssolid phase (electrode)Wwater	R _M	membrane resistance, $\Omega \text{ m}^{-2}$	CL	catalyst layer
rradius, mIionomerTtemperature, Kispecies iuflow velocity, m s ⁻¹ jspecies jVmole volume, m ³ Mmembranewmass fractionPtplatinumxmole fractionPt/Cplatinum dispersed carbonssolid phase (electrode)Wwater	RH	relative humidity	GDL	gas diffusion layer
Ttemperature, Kispecies iuflow velocity, m s^{-1}jspecies jVmole volume, m ³ Mmembranewmass fractionPtplatinumxmole fractionPt/Cplatinum dispersed carbonssolid phase (electrode)Wwater	r	radius, m	Ι	ionomer
uflow velocity, m s^{-1}jspecies jVmole volume, m³Mmembranewmass fractionPtplatinumxmole fractionPt/Cplatinum dispersed carbonssolid phase (electrode)Wwyter	Т	temperature, K	i	species <i>i</i>
Vmole volume, m³Mmembranewmass fractionPtplatinumxmole fractionPt/Cplatinum dispersed carbonssolid phase (electrode)Wwater	и	flow velocity, m s^{-1}	i	species j
wmass fractionPtplatinumxmole fractionPt/Cplatinum dispersed carbonssolid phase (electrode)Wwater	V	mole volume, m ³	M	membrane
x mole fraction Pt/C platinum dispersed carbon s solid phase (electrode) W water	w	mass fraction	Pt	platinum
s solid phase (electrode)	x	mole fraction	Pt/C	platinum dispersed carbon
W water			s	solid phase (electrode)
			W	water

However, presence of the liquid water was not considered in this model.

Water management is an important issue in PEMFCs that use perfluorinated membrane such as Nafion®. The earliest water management models were developed by Springer et al. [4], Bernardi and Vebrugge [5], and Nguyen and White [12]. The first one dimension (1D) steady state model, developed by Springer et al. [4], described water diffusion coefficients, electro-osmotic drag coefficients and membrane conductivity as function of water content in the Nafion[®] 117 membrane. The model developed by Bernardi and Vebrugge [5] used a porous electrode instead of the ultrathin film electrode which was applied by Springer et al. [4]. Water transport through the membrane was associated with hydraulic pressure and the potential gradients. This model gave comprehensive profiles of concentration, pressures and water velocity through the membrane electrode assembly (MEA) including the anode catalyst layer (ACL), membrane and CCL. Heat management was combined with water management in the model developed by Nguyen and White [12]. The model focused on the effect of inlet gas humidity on the cell performance in which water migration and back diffusion accounted for the net water flux through the membrane. However, the effect of hydraulic permeation through membrane was not included. Ge and Yi [13] developed a 2D steady state model to describe water transport through the membrane with fuel and oxidant gases in co-flow and counter-flow modes. In this model, three water transport mechanisms; electro-osmotic drag, back diffusion and hydraulic permeation were all considered. This so-called combinational model was successfully applied in previous work [14–18]. In recent years, computational fluid dynamic (CFD) model combined with volume of fluid (VOF) and multi-phase mixture (M^2) method were developed for water droplet formation, accumulation and then two phase flow within the GDL [19–22].

Although the previous models of the PEMFC have given several important insights for optimisation of the CCL composition and operating conditions, other additional aspects of the PEMFC should be included to try to improve the simulation of the fuel cell operated under various practical conditions. One of these is to consider the hydrogen oxidation reaction (HOR) at anode into the model simultaneously when simulating the cathode. A second aspect is to develop a relationship between the liquid water film thickness and the current density and fuel cell operating condition, such as temperature and pressure. The aim of this work is to develop a 2D along the channel (ATC) model based on a spherical-agglomerate catalyst structure combined with the water transport mechanism, to investigate the effect of catalyst layer parameters and operating conditions on the liquid water film thickness and the effectiveness factor of the catalyst layer and the performance of the cell. This model can give useful guidance for optimisation of the catalyst layer composition.

Please cite this article in press as: Xing L, et al., A two dimensional agglomerate model for a proton exchange membrane fuel cell, Energy (2013), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2013.08.026

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8079079

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8079079

Daneshyari.com