ARTICLE IN PRESS

Progress in Nuclear Energy xxx (2017) 1-7

ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Progress in Nuclear Energy



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/pnucene

Waste form evaluation for RECl_3 and REO_x fission products separated from used electrochemical salt

Brian J. Riley ^{a, *}, David A. Pierce ^b, Jarrod V. Crum ^a, Benjamin D. Williams ^a, Michelle M.V. Snyder ^a, Jacob A. Peterson ^a

^a Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA 99354, United States ^b Energy Northwest, Richland, WA 99354, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 13 April 2017 Received in revised form 11 August 2017 Accepted 4 September 2017 Available online xxx

Keywords: Electrorefiner Tellurite glass LABS glass

ABSTRACT

The work presented here is based off the concept that the rare earth chloride (RECl₃) fission products within the used electrorefiner (ER) salt can be selectively removed as RECl₃ (not yet demonstrated) or precipitated out as a mixture of REOCl and REO_x through oxygen sparging (has been demonstrated). This paper presents data showing the feasibility of immobilizing a mixture of RECl₃s at 10 mass% into a 78% TeO₂-22%PbO glass while also showing that this same mixture of RECl₃s can be oxidized to REOCl at 300 °C and then to REO_x by 1200 °C, evolving $Cl_{2(g)}$. When the REO_x mixture is heated at temperatures >1200 °C, the ratios of REO_xs change. The mixture of REO_x was then immobilized in a lanthanide borosilicate (LABS) glass at a high loading of 60 mass%. Both the 78%TeO₂-22%PbO glass and LABS glass systems show good chemical durability. The advantages and disadvantages of tellurite and LABS glasses are compared.

© 2017 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

1. Introduction

Pyroprocessing is one way of reprocessing used nuclear fuel (UNF). In this process, UNF is dissolved in a eutectic salt (e.g., LiCl-KCl) within an electrorefiner and the actinides are separated from the fission products by electrolytic reduction at the cathode. As the fission products get too concentrated in the salt, or to avoid criticality limits, the salt can be replaced to keep the electrorefiner running at full efficiency. At that point, the salt containing fission products can be: (1) directly disposed of in a salt repository, (2) immobilized in a single waste form such as a glass-bonded sodalite ceramic waste form (Frank et al., 2015; Riley et al., 2012a; Vance et al., 2012b), or other type of waste form, or (3) partitioned with each separate fraction being treated separately for immobilization (fission products) or recycling (i.e., Li, Na, K, Cl).

The partitioning process has advantages and disadvantages. The primary disadvantage of partitioning the salt is that it increases the difficulty and cost of the process. However, the biggest advantages to partitioning the salt are that it can significantly reduce the

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: brian.riley@pnnl.gov (B.J. Riley).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pnucene.2017.09.005 0149-1970/© 2017 Published by Elsevier Ltd. volume of waste that requires disposal and portions of the salt can be recycled into subsequent batches of ER salt. For example, simply dechlorinating the waste can reduce the mass of salt requiring disposal by more than half, such as the sol-gel approach to make the silica aluminophosphate (SAP) waste form (Park et al., 2008, 2011) where $Cl_{2(g)}$ is evolved and can be captured on a mordenite or other sorbent.

Another approach to partitioning the salt is to remove the RE fission products so that they can be immobilized in a separate waste form. One way to do this is to render them insoluble so that they precipitate out of solution, which can be achieved by oxygen sparging of the salt melt (Cho et al., 2009) or by precipitation in an aqueous solution by adding KOH (Leturcq et al., 2005). For the approach in the molten salt bath, the RE chlorides (RECl₃) are converted to a mixture of oxides and oxychorides as shown in Reactions (1) and (2), depending on the final oxidation state of the RE (e.g., 3+ or 4+). Data in the literature show incongruent results across the range of RECl₃ compounds evaluated (Castrillejo et al., 2002; Cho et al., 2006, 2009; Hayashi and Minato, 2005; Katayama et al., 1995; Suh and Paik, 1992a, b) where some RECl₃ tend to form oxides (i.e., Y2O3, Pr2O3, CeO2) and some form oxychlorides (i.e., CeOCl, LaOCl, NdOCl, PrOCl, and SmOCl) (Castrillejo et al., 2002; Cho et al., 2006; Hayashi and Minato, 2005) and the stability of each has been linked to the oxide-ion (O^{2-}) activity

Please cite this article in press as: Riley, B.J., et al., Waste form evaluation for RECl_3 and REO_x fission products separated from used electrochemical salt, Progress in Nuclear Energy (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pnucene.2017.09.005

ARTICLE IN PRESS

(Katayama et al., 1995; Suh and Paik, 1992a, b) and oxobasicity of the melts (Castrillejo et al., 2002).

$$\operatorname{RECl}_3 + \operatorname{O}^{2-} \to \operatorname{REOCl} + \operatorname{Cl}_{2(g)} \tag{1}$$

 $2 \text{ REOCl} + O^{2-} \rightarrow \text{RE}_2O_3 + \text{Cl}_{2(g)} \tag{2a}$

 $2 \text{ REOCl} + 2 \text{ } \text{O}^{2-} \rightarrow 2 \text{ REO}_2 + \text{Cl}_{2(g)} + e^- \tag{2b}$

For the aqueous approach, after dissolving an electrochemical salt waste in water, Leturcq et al. (2005) were able to precipitate out the rare earth (RE) fission products and alkaline earth fission products as oxides (i.e., REO_x and AEO, respectively). Then, KOH was added to the solution and the product was recovered through filtration.

The current work discusses the following: (1) an approach to immobilize a mixture of RECl₃ fission products (denoted as ERFP) from an electrorefiner salt in a tellurite glass waste form, (2) production of REOCl, and subsequently REO_x, by heating a mixture of RECl₃ to 300 °C in air and to 1500 °C with hot-stage X-ray diffraction (HS-XRD), and (3) the production of a lanthanide borosilicate (LABS) glass using the same mixture that was used in (1) and (2). For the experiment in (1) to be feasible, this requires that the RECl₃ can be selectively removed from the used salt, which has not yet been demonstrated. The approach listed for (3), could be used to immobilize REO_x at high waste loadings of >60 mass% in the event that (2) and/or oxygen sparging are successful at precipitating the RECl₃ as REO_x or converting them to REO_x through heat treatment of REOCI. Using a LABS glass as a host for radionuclides is not a new concept and has been discussed previously for similar wastes and applications (Choi et al., 2014; Ebert, 2006; Marra and Ebert, 2003; Marra et al., 2006; Mohd Fadzil et al., 2016, 2015; Riley et al., 1999) but was included here for completion of the concept discussed. Characterization of these aforementioned products is also presented.

2. Methods

2.1. $RECl_3 \rightarrow REOCl \rightarrow REO_x$

2.1.1. Conversion of RECl3 to REOCl

The moisture content of each RECl₃·*n*H₂O used in this study was determined using a loss-on-drying approach where masses were recorded for each following heat treatments at 150 °C over the course of 5 d. Then, a mixture of \geq 99.9% RECl₃·*n*H₂O (Alfa Aesar, Ward Hill, MA), while accounting for the water content, was weighed out with a target of 20 g of dehydrated salt with the target composition shown in Table 1. The salts were combined and ground to a fine powder in a DiamoniteTM mortar and pestle (MP100, Products Manufacturing) followed by a heat treatment at 150 °C overnight in a fused quartz crucible. Following this, the mixture was then ground again to a finer powder in the mortar and pestle and heated in air at 300 °C for 2 h in a fused quartz crucible.

Table 1Composition of reduced RECl3 mixture based off anelectrorefiner salt discussed previously (Riley et al.,2015).

Component	Mass%
NdCl ₃	64.71
YCl ₃	16.70
LaCl ₃	7.24
PrCl ₃	6.52
SmCl ₃	4.83

Following the heat-treatment, the product was analyzed with powder X-ray diffraction (P-XRD) and found to be a mixture of REOCI. This powder was then stored in a vacuum desiccator to prevent rehydration.

2.1.2. Conversion of REOCl to REO_x (hot-stage XRD)

HS-XRD was performed on the product produced in section 2.1.1. Here, a small amount of the powdered sample was mixed with ethanol and placed onto a platinum heater strip (sample holder) using a pipet to form a thin, uniform layer. The slurry was allowed to dry on the sample holder and then was mounted in the hot-stage assembly. Compressed air was used as a purge gas, which flowed through the chamber at $\sim 1 \text{ Lmin}^{-1}$ and bubbled through a flask of deionized water. Analysis was performed using a Bruker[®] D8 Advance (Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, WI) equipped with a Cu Ka target at 40 kV and 40 mA. The instrument had a LynxEye™ position-sensitive detector with a range of 3° 20. A P-XRD pattern was collected at room temperature and then the specimen was heated at 100 °C min⁻¹ in 100 °C-increments to temperatures of 300-1200 °C and then in 50 °C-increments from 1200 to 1500 °C, with holds of 1 h at each dwell temperature while scans were collected. Scans were collected at $5-70^{\circ}$ 20 with increments of 0.015° and 2 θ and a 1-s dwell per step. Bruker AXS DIFFRAC^{plus} EVA and TOPAS software programs were used to identify and quantify the crystalline phases, respectively.

2.2. Tellurite glass fabrication

Twenty grams of each tellurite glass shown in Table 2 were batched using high-purity constituents (\geq 99%, Alfa Aesar) that were milled in a Diamonite mortar and pestle. For the 78%TeO₂-22% PbO glass without any other additives, two different batches were made with the same composition, one with high-purity (HP) TeO₂ (99.999%) and one with lower purity (LP) TeO₂ (technical grade) using the same processing conditions and batch sizes. The powders were loaded into a Pt/10%Rh crucible, a lid was placed on top of the crucible, and then this was placed into a furnace (Deltech, Inc., Denver, CO) for melting at various processing temperatures (T_m – see Table 2) for 20 min each. Following the melting process, they were quenched onto an Inconel[®] quench plate. For the 10%ERFP glass, the 10 mass% loading was chosen based on previous studies with other electrochemical salts and the HP TeO₂ additive was used during fabrication (McCloy et al., 2013; Riley et al., 2012b, 2015).

2.3. LABS glass fabrication

For the LABS glass, oxides were batched following the composition listed in Table 3. Oxides and H₃BO₃ were mixed together in an agate milling chamber for 4 min and melted in a Pt/10%Rh crucible

Table 2

Composition of lead tellurite glasses in mass%. *Both the "LP" and "HP" glasses were batched with the same composition, just different TeO_2 purities.

Component	LP and HP* (0% salt)	10%ERFP
TeO ₂	78.00	70.20
РЬО	22.00	19.80
NdCl ₃	0.00	6.48
YCl ₃	0.00	1.67
LaCl ₃	0.00	0.72
PrCl ₃	0.00	0.65
SmCl ₃	0.00	0.48
RECl ₃	0.00	10.00
$T_{\rm m}$ (°C)	700	760

Please cite this article in press as: Riley, B.J., et al., Waste form evaluation for RECl₃ and REO_x fission products separated from used electrochemical salt, Progress in Nuclear Energy (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pnucene.2017.09.005

2

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8084406

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8084406

Daneshyari.com