Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijggc



# A mass transfer model of absorption of carbon dioxide in a bubble column reactor by using magnesium hydroxide slurry



L. Cheng<sup>a</sup>, T. Li<sup>a</sup>, T.C. Keener<sup>a,\*</sup>, J.Y. Lee<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Environment Engineering Program, School of Energy, Environmental, Biological, and Medical Engineering, The University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH 45221, USA

<sup>b</sup> Chemical Engineering Program, School of Energy, Environmental, Biological, and Medical Engineering, The University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH 45221, USA

## ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 18 December 2012 Received in revised form 12 May 2013 Accepted 15 May 2013 Available online 11 June 2013

*Keywords:* Bubble column reactor CO<sub>2</sub> scrubbing Mass transfer coefficient Magnesium hydroxide

## 1. Introduction

The impact of  $CO_2$  emissions on climate change has been widely discussed and increasing public awareness. According to the U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA)'s annual report, fossil sources still account for 80% of today's world energy demand, which contributes to a large quantity of  $CO_2$  emissions (EIA, 2011). Carbon capture and sequestration (CCS) is regarded as one of the approaches to manage the levels of anthropogenic  $CO_2$  emitted into the atmosphere. Post combustion absorption technologies represent one of the most commercially ready technologies (Wang et al., 2011).

Solvent selection is the critical consideration in postcombustion absorption, and many research efforts have been made in the study areas of solvents improvement (Gonzalez-Salazar et al., 2012), process simulation (Mathias et al., 2010), modeling and optimization (Lawal et al., 2012), reaction kinetics in the process of absorption and desorption (Mores et al., 2012). Monoethanolamine (MEA), ammonia, potassium carbonate ( $K_2CO_3$ ) and ionic liquid are the most widely studied scrubbing solvents. MEA is one of the earliest solvents that have been studied for CO<sub>2</sub> removal originally in the field of purification of natural gas, the major concern of which includes corrosivity and toxicity of solution itself and intensive energy consumption in the regeneration process. It has

#### ABSTRACT

A bubble column reactor was tested and evaluated for carbon dioxide removal from flue gases by using magnesium hydroxide slurry. The study showed that a high  $CO_2$  removal efficiency could be achieved. An absorption model was developed for system analysis and  $CO_2$  removal data analysis. The overall mass transfer coefficients for  $CO_2$ -H<sub>2</sub>O and  $CO_2$ -Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O systems have been calculated. The chemistry of the system, temperature effects, and changes of equilibrium constants also have been discussed.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

been reported that >90% of  $CO_2$  removal efficiency can be achieved at MEA to  $CO_2$  ratio of 2:1 or even 1:1 when other amine such as DEA is used (Al-Baghli et al., 2001; Galindo et al., 2012). Hammond et al. estimated that MEA process will consume at least 20% and 30% of the total electricity production in the 500 MW natural gas fired plant and coal fired plant, respectively (Hammond and Ondo Akwe, 2007). Zahra et al. found that increasing the lean solution concentration can lower the energy demand for the desorption step, but will consequently increase the corrosivity of the solution and the solvent depletion rate (Abu-Zahra et al., 2007). Rochelle calculated out that the minimum work requirement for separate 90% of  $CO_2$ via MEA process is 0.11 megawatt-h per metric ton of  $CO_2$  (Rochelle, 2009).

Ammonia solution has been considered as a substitute solvent for amine solutions due to its lower cost and corrosivity. However, in addition to the high volatile nature of ammonia, the lack of a method to separate ammonia from CO<sub>2</sub> after thermal decomposition of ammonium bicarbonate also hinders the ammonia scrubbing technique from being applied in practice (Huang and Chang, 2002). There are two major ammonia processes based on the operation temperature: the chilled process has operation temperature ranged from 2 to 10 °C which can prevent the ammonia escape, but brings the scale and plugging problem because of the precipitation of ammonia carbonate salts (Kozak et al., 2009; Darde et al., 2010; Valenti et al., 2012); another ammonia process has the temperature ranged from 25 to 40 °C, this could not only prevent the precipitation of carbonate salts but also increase the absorption reaction activity (Bai and Yeh, 1997). Powerspan together

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 513 556 3676; fax: +1 513 556 4162. *E-mail address:* tim.keener@uc.edu (T.C. Keener).

<sup>1750-5836/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jiggc.2013.05.018

Notation	
a	surface area per unit volume $cm^2/cm^3$
и 4.	surface area of bubble $cm^2$
Λb Λ/	surface area of particle $cm^2$
л С	surface area of particle, cill interfacial con of CO in the liquid mol/ $cm^3$
$C_{Ai}$	$conc. of CO_2$ in the bulk liquid mol/cm <sup>3</sup>
$C_{AT}$	equilibrium concentration of total dissolved carbon
$C_{eq}$	mol/cm <sup>3</sup>
C	equilibrium concentration of $Mg(OH)_{a}$ , mol/cm <sup>3</sup>
$C_{s}$	concentration of $Mg(OH)_{c}$ in liquid mol/cm <sup>3</sup>
С <u>в</u> П.,	liquid diffusivity of the dissolved $CO_{\rm c}$ cm <sup>2</sup> /s
$D_{Al}$	liquid diffusivity of the dissolved magnesium ions
DBI	cm <sup>2</sup> /s
Dco in sir	diffusivity of CO <sub>2</sub> in the air, $cm^2/s$
	diffusivity of $\Omega_{2}$ in the water $cm^{2}/s$
	Henry's law constant atm $cm^3/mole$
Here	Effective Henry's law constant
и к	dissociation constant of water mol/cm <sup>3</sup>
K <sub>W</sub>	dissociation constants for reactions (12) and (13)
<b>K</b> <sub>1</sub> , <b>K</b> <sub>2</sub>	mol/ $cm^3$
Kc	overall mass transfer coefficient mol/cm <sup>2</sup> -s-atm
$k_c = k_a$	gas-side mass transfer coefficient mol/cm <sup>2</sup> -s-atm
kA.	gas side mass transfer coefficient for $(\Omega_2 \text{ mol}/\text{cm}^2 \text{-}$
na ig	s-atm
kA	liquid-side mass transfer coefficient for CO <sub>2</sub>
na n	mol/ $cm^2$ -s-atm
$k_1 = k_1$	liquid-side mass transfer coefficient mol/cm <sup>2</sup> -s-
KL KI	atm
k.	dissolution mass transfer coefficient for $Mg(OH)_2$ .
3	cm/s
k <sub>BI</sub>	liquid side mass transfer coefficient for Mg <sup>2+</sup> , cm/s
L	height of liquid, cm
$n_n$	number of particles of $Mg(OH)_2$ in container
$p_A$	concentration of $CO_2$ in the bulk gas, atm
$p_{Ai}$	interfacial concentration of CO <sub>2</sub> in the gas, atm
pavg	average CO <sub>2</sub> partial pressure in bubble, atm
$p_0$	inlet CO <sub>2</sub> partial pressure in the gas stream (bubble),
-	atm
p <sub>eq</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub> partial pressure at equilibrium, atm
p <sub>in</sub> , p <sub>out</sub>	inlet and outlet gas pressure
$Q_g$	volumetric flow rate of the gas, cm <sup>3</sup> /s
Q <sub>in</sub> , Q <sub>out</sub>	inlet and outlet gas flow rate, cm <sup>3</sup> /s
R	gas constant, atm-cm <sup>3</sup> /mol-K
$r = r_A$	absorption rate, mol/cm <sup>3</sup> -s
S	column cross-sectional area, cm <sup>2</sup>
Т	temperature, K
t	time, s
$\Delta t_i$	time interval
и	superficial gas velocity, cm/s
$V_{\rm L}$	volume of liquid (without bubbles), cm <sup>3</sup>
<i>x</i> <sub>0</sub>	liquid film thickness, cm
x	distance of dissolved CO <sub>2</sub> travel from gas–liquid
	interface to the reaction zone, cm
$x_{\rm direction}$	x direction of the column
Yin,i, Yout,i	inlet and outlet CO <sub>2</sub> volume fraction at during time
	interval <i>i</i>
Ζ	normalized column height, from 0 to 1
$\varphi$	cnemical enhancement factor
0	nim tnickness around the solid particle, cm
0gas film	gas mm tnickness, cm
ðliquid film	liquid film thickness, cm

with NETL successfully demonstrated 90% CO<sub>2</sub> removal efficiency at experiment conditions of 54 °C, 5 s gas residence time, and liquid to gas ratio of 65 gpm/1000 acf (McLarnon and Duncan, 2009). Yeh et al. found that in the rich solution, product NH<sub>4</sub>HCO<sub>3</sub> requires the least energy to be regenerated, overall, the energy requirement in the regeneration step is 62% less than the MEA desorption step (Yeh et al., 2005; Versteeg and Rubin, 2011).

K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> solution has wide applications in the natural gas or synthetic gas sweetening processes due to the high reaction activity under high CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressures. However, when CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure decreases to the power plant flue gas level, the absorption rate is significantly decreased. Piperazine (PZ) is one of the wildly studied chemicals added into the solution in order to increase the absorption reactivity. It is reported that PZ has the advantage of faster absorption rate and larger CO<sub>2</sub> capacity than MEA (Oexmann et al., 2008). It is also more resistant to oxidative and thermal degradation than MEA and ammonia (Cullinane and Rochelle, 2004; Oexmann and Kather, 2009; Gouedard et al., 2012). The energy consumption of the process mainly depends on the overall concentration of PZ and K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, while the absorption kinetic mainly depends on the formation and concentration of PZ (Mudhasakul et al., 2013). Cullinane et al. showed that the solution consists of 20% K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and 5% PZ has comparable absorption rate as 23% MEA solution; while the solution consists of 36% K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and 11% PZ has up to 5 times faster rate than 30% MEA solution (Tim Cullinane et al., 2005). In addition, the PZ-K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> process has an average energy saving of 35% compared with MEA process (Tim Cullinane et al., 2005). However, the price of PZ is 3-5 times higher than MEA, and only bench scale and small pilot scale tests have been performed.

Besides the selection of solvent, the configuration mass transfer device is also an important factor that influences the performance of the capture of CO<sub>2</sub>. Bubble contactors in which the gas is dispersed in the liquid and/or solid phases in the shape of bubbles are frequently used in the chemical industry as absorbers (Álvarez et al., 2008). They provide several advantages such as high heat and mass transfer rates, compactness, low maintenance and operating cost. Mass transfer is one of the main topics of recent research with bubble column (Behkish et al., 2002, 2007; Maalej et al., 2003). Table 1 summaries the literature findings of bubble column mass transfer studies.

Scientifically,  $CO_2$  can be separated from a gas mixture by all the methods that are being studied, while the challenge lies in practical feasibility and ultimately the cost. In this study, we proposed to use magnesium hydroxide (Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub>) as the absorbent, which is environmentally friendly and regenerable. And the bubble column reactor was selected as the mass transfer device in which the mass transfer coefficient was calculated for both water and Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub> slurry.

The purpose of this study is to investigate the chemical reaction mechanisms and the mass transfer phenomena in the absorption process, and to develop a bubble column absorber model to assist in the understanding of the mass transportation of  $CO_2$  removal within a gas–liquid–solid system.

# 2. Model development

The primary goal of this modeling work is to help understanding the mass transfer phenomenon of  $CO_2$ -Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O system in the bubble column reactor, and to calculate the overall mass transfer coefficient  $K_G$ , which is a key design parameter for FGDC absorption unit.

 $CO_2$ -Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O system is a gas–liquid–solid reaction system. The  $CO_2$  in the gas phase need to be dissolved in the water in order to react with magnesium ions, which are dissolved from magnesium hydroxide particles. The whole scrubbing process may be

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8092042

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8092042

Daneshyari.com