Accepted Manuscript

A screening framework for pesticide substitution in agriculture

Maria Magnea Steingrimsdottir, Annette Petersen, Peter Fantke

PII: S0959-6526(18)31308-8

DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.04.266

Reference: JCLP 12847

To appear in: Journal of Cleaner Production

Received Date: 30 November 2017

Revised Date: 8 April 2018

Accepted Date: 29 April 2018

Please cite this article as: Steingrimsdottir MM, Petersen A, Fantke P, A screening framework for pesticide substitution in agriculture, *Journal of Cleaner Production* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.04.266.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



A screening framework for pesticide substitution in agriculture

Maria Magnea Steingrimsdottir^a, Annette Petersen^b, Peter Fantke^{a,*}

 ^aQuantitative Sustainability Assessment Division, Department of Management Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby
^bNational Food Institute, Technical University of Denmark, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby

Abstract

Farmers lack science-based tools to flexibly and rapidly identify more sustainable pesticides. To address this gap, we present a screening-level substitution framework to compare and rank pesticides using a consistent set of indicators including registration, pest resistance, human toxicity and aquatic ecotoxicity impact potentials, and market price. Toxicity-related damage costs and application costs were combined with application dosages to yield total costs per pesticide. We applied and tested our framework in a case study on pesticides applied to lettuce in Denmark. Our results indicate that by ranking pesticides within each target class (e.g. fungicides) the most suitable pesticide can be identified based on our set of indicators. As an example, in the insecticide scenario, pymetrozine performs best with total costs of $23 \in /ha$, while dimethoate and pirimicarb, which are also on the EU candidate substitution list, performed worst. Total costs across considered pesticides range from 23 to $302 \in /ha$. Our framework constitutes an operational starting point for identifying sustainable pesticides by farmers and other stakeholders and highlights (a) the need to consider various relevant aspects influencing the ranking of pesticides and (b) the importance of combining total cost performance per pesticide unit applied with respective application dosage per hectare as both may vary greatly. Fu-

Preprint submitted to Journal of Cleaner Production

^{*}Address correspondence to pefan@dtu.dk

Email addresses: mmste@dtu.dk (Maria Magnea Steingrimsdottir), annp@food.dtu.dk (Annette Petersen), pefan@dtu.dk (Peter Fantke)

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8094538

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8094538

Daneshyari.com