



New superstructure-based optimization of property-based industrial water system



Chun Deng^{a,*}, Wei Jiang^{a,1}, Wenjin Zhou^{a,1}, Xiao Feng^b

^a State Key Laboratory of Heavy Oil Processing, China University of Petroleum-Beijing, 18 Fuxue Road, Changping, Beijing, 102249, China

^b School of Chemical Engineering & Technology, Xi'an Jiaotong University, No.28, Xianning West Road, Xi'an, Shaanxi, 710049, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 26 December 2017
Received in revised form
29 March 2018
Accepted 31 March 2018
Available online 5 April 2018

Keywords:

Mathematical programming
Water network
Property integration
Wastewater minimization
Superstructure

ABSTRACT

Traditional industrial water network only consists of water-using processes and water treatment processes. The practical water-using processes may use freshwater, desalted water, condensation water, steam, circulating cooling water etc. and those are typically water utilities. It is not considered in the up-to-date model of industrial water network. To overcome the limitation, this paper proposed a novel superstructure of property-based industrial water system and it consists of water utility, water-using and water treatment sub-systems. The developed mathematical model includes the relevant equations among different water utilities, flowrate and mass balance constraints and property constraints. The water system of a certain coal-based chemical complex in China is optimized to illustrate the proposed model. The results show that the total annualized cost of the water system is reduced from 1.825×10^8 CNY/y (preliminary design) to 1.494×10^8 CNY/y (optimum design) and the flowrate of water resource is decreased from 1369.855 t/h to 1030.498 t/h.

© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The population growth and rapid development of urbanization and industrialization results in the increase of freshwater intake. It is projected that the global water demand will increase by 55% globally between 2000 and 2050, as reported by Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD, 2012). The major increment of water demand will be caused by manufacturing, electricity and domestic. The optimum water management and reutilization is very helpful for water minimization of manufacture industries. Enormous pinch techniques and mathematical programming optimization approaches are proposed for the optimum design of water network.

On the basis of the concept of synthesis of mass exchange network (El-Halwagi and Manousiouthakis, 1989), Wang and Smith (1994) firstly proposed the limiting composite curve and water supply line to locate the flowrate targets (i.e. minimum flowrate of freshwater, regenerated water) and it is considered as pioneering work of water pinch technique. The mass problem table as an alternative technique for flowrate targeting is proposed by Castro

et al. (1999). Considerable contributions, i.e. improved problem table as well as limiting composite curve and water supply line for regeneration recycling (Feng et al., 2007) and regeneration re-use (Bai et al., 2007), zero liquid discharge (Deng et al., 2008), have been addressed. Numerous graphical and tabular water pinch techniques are proposed, i.e. Water Surplus Diagram (Hallale, 2002), Material Recovery Pinch Diagram developed separately by El-Halwagi et al. (2003) and Prakash and Shenoy (2005), Water Cascade Analysis (Manan et al., 2004), Source Composite Curve (Bandyopadhyay et al., 2006), Material Surplus Composite Curve (Saw et al., 2011), Composite Table Algorithm and improved limiting composite curve (Agrawal and Shenoy, 2006) and its extension improved problem table for flowrate targeting water network with multiple water resources (Deng and Feng, 2011) and multiple partitioning interception units (Deng et al., 2016). The progress of the pinch technique for water system optimization is addressed by Foo (2009). However, there are difficulties for pinch techniques to handle the optimum design of water network with multiple impurities or contaminants, and the annualized operating and investment costs as the objectives.

Takama et al. (1980) initially proposed a superstructure of refinery water system which includes water-using units and water-regeneration/treatment units. Huang et al. (1999) improved the previous superstructure proposed by Takama et al. (1980) and it

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: chundeng@cup.edu.cn (C. Deng).

¹ Wei Jiang and Wenjin Zhou contributed equally to this work.

Notation			
<i>Sets and indices</i>			
N _k	set of process water sources	$f_{s,k}$	flowrate from water source <i>s</i> to water sink <i>k</i> , t/h
N _s	set of process water sources	$f_{s,t}$	flowrate from water source <i>s</i> to wastewater treatment unit <i>t</i> , t/h
S _{Ns}	sub-set of N _s	<i>f_e</i>	flowrate of evaporation, t/h
N _t	set of water treatment system	<i>f_w</i>	flowrate of splash loss, t/h
N _p	set of water properties	<i>f_r</i>	flowrate of circulating cooling water, t/h
<i>s</i>	index for water sources	Δt	temperature difference between the inlet and outlet circulating cooling water, °C
<i>k</i>	index for water sinks	f_t^{in}	inlet flowrate of wastewater treatment unit <i>t</i> , t/h
<i>t</i>	index for water treatment system	f_t^{prod}	regenerated water flowrate of wastewater treatment unit <i>t</i> , t/h
<i>e</i>	index for environment	f_t^{resd}	residual water flowrate of wastewater treatment unit <i>t</i> , t/h
<i>p</i>	index for water properties	f_t^{loss}	water loss flowrate of wastewater treatment unit <i>t</i> , t/h
<i>Parameters</i>			
α_{FWS}	freshwater production ratio of FWS	$c_{t,p}^{in}$	inlet property <i>p</i> of wastewater treatment unit <i>t</i> , t/h
α_{PS}	boiler blowdown ratio of PS	$f_{t,k}^{prod}$	regenerated water flowrate allocated from wastewater treatment unit <i>t</i> to water sink <i>k</i> , t/h
α_{DWS}	desalted water production ratio of DWS	$f_{t,t'}^{prod}$	regenerated water flowrate allocated from wastewater treatment unit <i>t</i> to wastewater treatment unit <i>t'</i> , t/h
α_t	production ratio of regenerated water of wastewater treatment <i>t</i>	f_e	water flowrate discharged to MOE, t/h
CN	cycle concentration of cooling tower	$f_{t,e}^{prod}$	regenerated water flowrate from wastewater treatment unit <i>t</i> to MOE, t/h
<i>K</i>	temperature coefficient	$f_{t,e}^{resd}$	residual water flowrate from wastewater treatment unit <i>t</i> to MOE, t/h
$c_{k,p}^{UB}$	upper limit value of water property <i>p</i> of water sink <i>k</i>	$C_{e,p}$	property <i>p</i> of water stream discharged to MOE, t/h
E_{fresh}	unit cost of water resource	$c_{t,p}^{resd}$	property <i>p</i> of the residual water for wastewater treatment unit <i>t</i> , t/h
E_{FWS}	unit treatment cost of FWS		
E_{DWS}	unit treatment cost of DWS		
E_{PS}	unit treatment cost of PS		
E_{CWS}	unit treatment cost of CWS		
E_t	unit treatment cost of WTS <i>t</i>		
E_{MOE}	unit treatment cost of effluent sent to MOE		
AWH	annual working hours		
<i>A_f</i>	annual factor		
<i>Variables</i>			
f_{FWS}^{out}	outlet flowrate of pretreated freshwater, t/h		
f_{FWS}^{in}	inlet water flowrate of FWS, t/h		
$f_{FWS,k}^{FW}$	freshwater flowrate allocated from FWS to water sink <i>k</i> , t/h		
$f_{s,k}^{DW}$	desalted water flowrate allocated from DWS to water sink <i>k</i> , t/h		
$f_{t,k}^{prod}$	flowrate of regenerated water from water treatment unit <i>t</i> to water sink <i>k</i> , t/h		
f_k^{in}	inlet water flowrate of water sink <i>k</i> , t/h		
$c_{k,p}^{in}$	inlet property <i>p</i> of water sink <i>k</i> , t/h		
C_p^{FW}	property <i>p</i> of fresh water, t/h		
C_p^{DW}	property <i>p</i> of desalted water, t/h		
$C_{t,p}^{prod}$	property <i>p</i> of regenerated water, t/h		
$C_{s,p}^{out}$	outlet property <i>p</i> of water source <i>s</i> , t/h		
f_s^{out}	outlet flowrate of water source <i>s</i> , t/h		
		<i>Superscripts/Subscript</i>	
		out	outlet
		in	inlet
		FW	fresh water
		DW	desalted water
		CDW	condensation water
		loss	water loss
		steam	steam
		prod	regenerated water
		UB	upper bound
		resd	residual water
		<i>Abbreviations</i>	
		FWS	fresh water station
		DWS	desalted water station
		CWS	cooling water system
		PS	power and steam system
		WTS	wastewater treatment system
		MOE	municipal wastewater treatment system or the environment

included multiple fresh water sources and water generation and loss. Bagajewicz and Savelski (2001) proposed the linear mathematical models for the design of water system on the basis of the proposed the necessary conditions (Savelski and Bagajewicz, 2000). Gunaratnam et al. (2005) proposed the superstructure of total water network and the water-using system and treatment system

could be optimized simultaneously. Karuppiah and Grossmann (2006) proposed the superstructure of integrated water network and the global optimization strategy was developed. Ng et al. (2009a, b) presented the automated targeting model for the optimization of single-contaminant water network with direct reuse/recycling (Ng et al., 2009a) and single-pass or partitioning

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8095331>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8095331>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)