Accepted Manuscript

Relevance and Feasibility of the Existing Social LCA Methods and Case Studies from a Decision-Making perspective

Cleaner Production

Karpagam Subramanian, C.K. Chau, Winco K.C. Yung

PII: S0959-6526(17)32299-0

DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.10.006

Reference: JCLP 10798

To appear in: Journal of Cleaner Production

Received Date: 04 May 2017

Revised Date: 29 September 2017

Accepted Date: 01 October 2017

Please cite this article as: Karpagam Subramanian, C.K. Chau, Winco K.C. Yung, Relevance and Feasibility of the Existing Social LCA Methods and Case Studies from a Decision-Making perspective, *Journal of Cleaner Production* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.10.006

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

| 1 | Relevance and Feasibility of the Existing Social LCA |
|----------|--|
| 2 | Methods and Case Studies from a Decision-Making |
| 3 | perspective |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Karpagam Subramanian ^a , C.K. Chau ^{b, *} , Winco K.C. Yung ^a |
| 6 | |
| 7 | ^a Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, |
| 8 | Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong SAR |
| 9 | ^b Department of Building Services Engineering, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung |
| 10 | Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong SAR; |
| 11 | *Corresponding Author: |
| 12 | E-mail: <u>chi-kwan.chau@polyu.edu.hk</u> |
| 13 | |
| 14 | Abstract |
| 15 | |
| 16 | This paper explores the existing social life cycle assessment (SLCA) literature |
| 17 | (theoretical and case studies) from decision making perspective. In order to tackle this, |
| 18 | a critical review of 90 published work, including journal papers, conference |
| 19 | proceedings and book chapters was undertaken. The selected articles were analyzed |
| 20 | with a focus on methodological framework, boundary scoping, data inventories and |
| 21 | practices. The analysis highlighted the inadequacies in the existing frameworks in terms |
| 22 | of flexibility, conflicts in choosing and defining Area of Protection (AoP). Lack of |
| 23 | consideration of social conditions of stakeholders in no-work and no-use phase, lack of |
| 24 | inclusion of positive impacts, less attention to suppliers and consumers and choice of |
| 25 | subjective indicators are highlighted as some weak portions within the boundary |
| 26 | scoping. Less coverage of contextual and indirect indicators, and absence of |
| 27 | documentation of a link between data collected (subjective indicators) and product |
| 28 | activities are highlighted as a limitation within inventories, and finally within practices, |
| 29 30 | lack of benchmarks is highlighted. This analysis highlighted an important |
| 31 | differentiating factor between the actual definition of sustainability (maintenance of |
| 32 | stocks for future generation) and the most common interpretation in literature as a summation of the three (social, environmental and economic) indices. |
| 33 | summation of the three (social, environmental and economic) indices. |
| 34 | Keywords: Social life cycle assessment, Impact Assessment, Review, Societal LCA, |
| 35 | Case studies, SLCIA methods, decision making |
| 36 | cuse suutes, shelli mettous, ueetston muning |
| 37 | |
| ٥. | |

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8100229

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8100229

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>