Journal of Cleaner Production 135 (2016) 1065-1084

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Cleaner Production

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jclepro

Designing integrated local production systems: A study on the foodenergy-water nexus

Melissa Yuling Leung Pah Hang ^a, Elias Martinez-Hernandez ^b, Matthew Leach ^a, Aidong Yang ^{b, *}

^a Centre for Environmental Strategy, University of Surrey, Guildford, GU2 7XH, UK ^b Department of Engineering Science, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX1 3PJ, UK

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 19 January 2016 Received in revised form 30 June 2016 Accepted 30 June 2016 Available online 5 July 2016

Keywords: Local production system Mathematical optimisation Resource consumption Exergy Food-energy-water nexus

ABSTRACT

Centralised production of essential products and services based on fossil fuels and large scale distribution infrastructures has contributed to a plethora of issues such as deterioration of ecosystems, socialeconomic injustice and depletion of resources. The establishment of local production systems that deliver various products for local consumption (e.g. food, energy and water) by making the best use of locally available renewable resources can potentially alleviate unsustainable resource consumption. The main objective of this work is to develop process systems engineering tools combined with the concept of resource accounting using exergy for the design of such local production systems. A general design framework comprising an optional preliminary design stage followed by a simultaneous design stage based on mathematical optimisation is proposed. The preliminary design stage considers each supply subsystem individually and allows insights into the potential interactions between them. The simultaneous design stage yields an optimal design of the local production system and has the capacity to include all design integration possibilities between the subsystems and generate a truly integrated design solution. The proposed methodology, which reflects generalised principles for designing local production systems, has been illustrated through a case study on the integrated design of the foodenergy-water nexus for a designated eco-town in UK. It demonstrates the advantages of an integrated design of a system making use of local resources to meet its demands over a system relying on centralised supplies and a design without considering integration opportunities between subsystems. © 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

With the advent of industrialisation, the supply of energy and materials to meet human needs has been driven primarily by centralised production, harnessing economies of scale, based on fossil fuels and large scale distribution infrastructures. However, continuation of this mode of production coupled with growing population has led to a range of issues such as climate change, energy supply insecurity, deterioration of ecosystems and depletion of resources. Local production systems have been regarded as one possible pathway towards sustainability (Royal Academy of Engineering, 2011). Though the challenges are global, they have local impacts and may affect each local system differently. This calls for the engineering of human-made systems with a focus on the

* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* aidong.yang@eng.ox.ac.uk (A. Yang). new design tools to allow decision makers to explore the roles of local details such as the significance of local resource use and the opportunities for interactions between co-located subsystems. A local production system is defined as a network of heterogeneous processes, integrated in a synergistic manner to achieve a high degree of resource efficiency, potentially leading to improved

rational use of locally available resources. Such systems require

economic viability while preserving the ecosystem (Martinez-Hernandez et al., 2016). It considers all types of production processes that can occur at a local scale for the production of products (e.g. food) and/or services (e.g. heat) to satisfy local demands. While these processes differ in technical natures, they share the following characteristics desirable from sustainability perspectives; it is precisely this set of common characteristics that is to be explored by this work. First of all, these systems offer the possibility to use renewable resources which can be captured or produced locally to meet demands of the local population. They also have the





CrossMark

Nomenclature		$y_{c,s}$	Yield of crop c per season s
		Уг	Yield of livestock <i>l</i>
Sets		RA _{ag}	Amount of residues or manure per unit of agricultural commodity, kg/kg
$a \in A$	Water sources	Ref	COD removal efficiency of treatment plant, %
$ag \in AC$	G Agricultural commodities	RWs	Amount of rainwater collected in season s, t
$b \in B$	Water sinks	SEDWA	Electricity demand for treating unit wastewater, MJ/kg
$b' \in B'$	Regenerator water sinks	SHD ^{WA}	Heat demand for treating unit wastewater, MJ/kg
$c \in C$	Crops	SL	Number of years of service life of storage facility, y
$d \in D$	Food types	t	Time period over which heat is transferred, v
$i \in I$	Nutrient sources	T_{π}^{in}	Inlet temperature of heat source x' before heat
$i' \in I'$	Imported nutrient sources	X	exchange, °C
$i'' \in I''$	Locally produced nutrient sources	Tout	Outlet temperature of heat source x' after heat
$i \in I$	Food sinks	X	exchange. °C
$l \in L$	Livestock	Tin	Temperature of heat sink v before heat exchange. $^{\circ}C$
$0 \in 0$	Operating flows	Tout	Temperature of heat sink v after heat exchange, °C
$r \in \mathbb{R}$	Energy raw material	TD	Minimum temperature difference. °C
$s \in S$	Seasons	TE	Specific cumulative exergy of operating resources per
$x \in X$	Energy sources	12	unit accumulated crop MI/kg
$v \in \mathbf{Y}$	Energy sources	UTD	Upper bound for temperature difference °C
<i>y</i> = 1	Lifergy sinks	Wdem	Water demand of sink h in season s t
Paramet	ers	WC	Amount of water required for agriculture per unit food
char	Conversion factor from crop c to food d	wea	d kø/kø
cfu	Conversion factor from livestock l to food d	WF	Amount of water required per energy produced kg/MI
cod	Maximum allowable COD of water sink $h \neq$ COD/kg	WFG	Amount of wastewater generated per energy
eou _D e.:	Specific cumulative exergy of operating flows o to	1120	produced kg/MI
COJ	nutrient sink i MI/kg or MI/MI	WGP.	Amount of wastewater generated per unit food $d \log kg$
ρ	Specific cumulative every of raw material r for energy		Amount of water required for industrial processing per
C _F	production MI/kg	VVI (J	unit food d kg/kg
PCW	Specific cumulative every of chemicals per unit	nel	Flectrical efficiency of source x for raw material r
L	wastewater MI/kg	nhe	Heat efficiency of source x for raw material r
pelw	Specific cumulative every of electricity per unit	Πx,r	freat enterency of source x for faw material r
L	wastewater MI/kg	Variable	20
ehew	Specific cumulative exergy of heat per unit wastewater	A	Amount of agricultural commodity <i>ag</i> produced during
C	MI/kg	r ug,s	season s t
pie	Specific cumulative every of imported energy MI/MI	А	Amount of crop c locally produced in season s t
eiel	Specific cumulative every of total imported flows for	AC	Amount of crop c accumulated at season s, t
C	producing electricity MI/kg	AC	Amount of crop c accumulated from season s_{-1} t
eihe	Specific cumulative every of total imported flows for	$AR_{c,s-1}$	Amount of rainwater accumulated from season $s=1, t$
C	producing heat MI/kg	AW/	Amount of rainwater available for consumption in
Pel	Specific cumulative every for producing electricity	11005	season s t
c_{χ}	from source v MI/kg	CA	Capital every resources for storage of crop c. CI
he	Specific cumulative every for producing heat from	CA^{rw}	Total capital every resources for rainwater storage CI
c_{χ}	source x $MI/k\sigma$	codu	COD of treated wastewater from treatment plant sink
eimp	Specific cumulative every of imported food d MI/kg	cou _{b',s}	b' in season s g COD/kg
eimp	Specific cumulative exergy of imported nutrient flows	CP.v. a	Heat canacity flow rate of source x' for season s CI/
° _{i',j}	i' to nutrient sink i MI/kg	CI X',S	season
F dem	Flectricity demand at sink v per season s. Cl	CS	Heat canacity flow rate of sink v for season s. Cl/season
Ey,s FLD	Electricity demand per unit food d MI/kg	E F	Amount of electricity from source x exported to grid in
ELD a Fdem	Demand of food d in season s t	±x,gria,s	season s CI
d,s FC	Nominal size of storage facility t	F	Amount of electricity from source x to sink y in season
н.,	Harvest recovery rate of locally produced nutrient	L _{X,Y,S}	s CI
11 ₁ ″	sources i"	FID ^{FD}	Total electricity demand of food processes in season s
Hdem	Heat demand at sink v per season s. Cl	LLDS	CI
H ^{Max}	Maximum heat load in waste heat CI	FLDWA	Total electricity demand of water processes in season s
	Heat demand per unit food d MI/kg	LLDS	CI
I	Land use per unit raw material r from source x ha/MI	Fcrop	Amount of locally produced food <i>d</i> from crop in season
-r,x I ^{agri}	Total amount of agricultural land available ha	* d,s	s t
L^{en}	Land available for energy production ha	F ^{imp}	Amount of imported food d in season s t
M^{Av}	Availability of raw material r in season s MI	d s Flive	Amount of locally produced food d from livestock in
N ^{dem}	Demand of nutrient sink <i>i</i> in season s ko	* d,s	season s t
nC:"	Nutrient content of locally produced nutrient sources	Flocal	Amount of locally produced food d in season s t
	<i>i</i> ["] . kg N	t d,s Hy	Amount of heat from source x to sink y in season s . Cl
	.,	• • x,y,s	. mount of near from source w to shirk y in season 3, dj

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8101386

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8101386

Daneshyari.com