Accepted Manuscript

Recycling, recovering and preventing "food waste": competing solutions for food systems sustainability in the United States and France

Marie Mourad

PII: S0959-6526(16)30153-6

DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.03.084

Reference: JCLP 6933

To appear in: Journal of Cleaner Production

Received Date: 7 October 2015
Revised Date: 3 March 2016
Accepted Date: 9 March 2016

Please cite this article as: Mourad M, Recycling, recovering and preventing "food waste": competing solutions for food systems sustainability in the United States and France, *Journal of Cleaner Production* (2016), doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.03.084.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

2	Word count (article and references): 11,662
4 5	Recycling, recovering and preventing "food waste": competing solutions for food systems sustainability in the United States and France
6 7 8	Marie Mourad ^a
9	Abstract
10	In recent years, a wide range of organizations in developed countries have embarked
11	on efforts to address the economic, environmental and social impacts of "food waste."
12	Based on more than 120 interviews and complementary observations in the United
13	States and France, this paper examines how recent mobilizations impact the way
14	surplus food is actually managed with respect to sustainable production and
15	consumption. This analysis of multiple stakeholders' interests and motives
16	complements a growing literature on food waste prevention and management focused
17	on technical evaluations of "solutions." Recent frameworks on food surplus and waste
18	establish one hierarchy of preferable categories of solutions: first, prevention
19	(reducing surplus at the source), then recovery (reusing for human consumption) and
20	finally recycling (feeding animals, creating energy or compost). Fieldwork results
21	show that actors with different interests in food commodity chains actually develop
22	competing solutions, both within and between three hierarchies based on
23	environmental, social and economic goals. In the long term, the solutions they
24	promote may therefore not achieve "win-win" benefits for all actors and at all
25	scales. Drawing on a distinction between "weak" and "strong" sustainability, this
26	paper argues that "strong" prevention based on holistic changes in the food system is
27	the most sustainable solution to food surplus and waste. It suggests that academics
28	focus on strong food surplus prevention, but also that advocates encourage
29	government and corporate actors to differentiate between weak and strong actions to
30	diffuse strong sustainability across organizations and countries.
31	
32	<u>Keywords</u>
33	Food waste
34	Food surplus
35	Waste prevention
36	Sustainability
37	Recycling
38 39	Re-use
40	^a Center for the Sociology of Organizations; Sciences Po, Paris. 19, Rue Amélie. 75007 Paris
41	France. marie.mourad@sciencespo.fr
42	

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8102142

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8102142

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>