



Development and recent trends in greenhouse dryer: A review



Sumit Tiwari ^{a,*}, G.N. Tiwari ^a, I.M. Al-Helal ^b

^a Centre for Energy Studies, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, Hauz Khas, New Delhi 110016, India

^b Department of Agricultural Engineering, College of Food & Agriculture Sciences, King Saud University, P.O. Box 2460, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 7 September 2015

Received in revised form

26 June 2016

Accepted 21 July 2016

Keywords:

Deep bed drying

Direct solar dryer

Greenhouse dryer

Indirect solar dryer

Thin layer drying

ABSTRACT

Food is necessity for human being. As the world population is increasing, it is very difficult to fulfil everyone's need of food. One of the alternatives of this problem is the preservation of crops, vegetable and fruits when it is available in abundant amount. Drying is one of the best methods to preserve agricultural products for long time but it requires lot of energy. As availability of electricity per capita in developing and under developed countries is very less, thus the electricity uses for heating purpose cannot be economically and environmentally justified option. So entrapment of thermal energy from solar radiation may be the best option for drying. Solar energy can be utilized for drying in different ways namely open sun drying and closed drying (direct and indirect). Open sun drying have various disadvantages like contamination of dust particles, bacteria in crop, decolouration of the product after drying etc. To overcome these problems greenhouse drying or closed drying has been developed. This review is an attempt to explore different types of drying systems was developed across the world. Further different thermal modelling, mathematical modelling and performance evaluation on the basis of characteristic curve have been discussed. One of the thermal modelling has been discussed in detail to evaluate heat transfer coefficient, heat absorbed and moisture evaporate with experimental validation to give practical exposure to the researchers.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Contents

1. Introduction	1048
2. Types of solar drying	1050
2.1. Thin layer solar drying	1050
2.2. Deep bed solar drying	1051
3. Types of solar driers	1051
3.1. Open sun drying	1052
3.2. Passive solar dryer	1052
3.3. Active solar dryer	1052
3.3.1. Direct solar dryer	1052
3.3.2. Indirect solar Dryer	1054
3.3.3. Mixed mode dryer	1054
4. Thermal modelling	1054
5. Performance evaluation	1055
5.1. Without load condition	1055
5.2. With load condition	1055
6. Conclusion	1059
7. Recommendations	1059
Acknowledgement	1063
References	1063

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: tiwsumit@hotmail.com (S. Tiwari).

Nomenclature

Q_c	useful energy collected	I	available solar energy falling on the collector,
α	absorptivity of the absorber plate	τ	transitivity of the glazing
U	overall heat loss coefficient	T_f	average fluid temperature in the collector
T_a	ambient temperature	η	collector efficiency
M_a	air flow rate	TR	temperature rise
C_p	specific heat	h_i	convective heat transfer coefficient on the inner layer
T_o	temperature in mixing chamber	T_i	initial temperature
$IA_{c,eff}$	effective total radiation	T_{m1}	mean temperature in bottom compartment
A_c	surface area of collector	h_o	outer convective heat transfer coefficient
F_n	fraction of solar radiation on north wall	F_j	fraction of solar radiation on jaggery
α_j	absorptivity of jaggery	I_i	intensity on greenhouse wall
A_i	area of greenhouse wall	τ_i	transitivity of greenhouse wall
M_j	mass of jaggery	C_j	specific heat of jaggery
T_j	jaggery temperature	h_c	convective heat transfer coefficient of crop
T_r	room temperature	A_j	area of jaggery
$P(T_j)$	vapour pressure at jaggery temperature	$P(T_r)$	vapour pressure at greenhouse air temperature
γ_r	relative humidity in greenhouse	$h_{g\infty}$	convective heat transfer coefficient greenhouse floor to ground,
α_g	ground absorptivity	$T_{y=0}$	temperature of surface of floor of greenhouse,
T_∞	ground temperature	h_{gr}	convective heat transfer coefficient greenhouse floor to room
A_g	ground area	C_d	coefficient of discharge
A_v	area of vent	ΔH	difference in pressure head
g	gravity	U_i	overall heat loss from greenhouse wall
ΔP	difference in vapour pressure	h_c	convective mass transfer coefficient due to moisture evaporation in crop drying
L_c	characteristic length	K_v	thermal conductivity of humid air
$P(T_p)$	partial vapour pressure of moist air at crop surface temperature	$P(T_e)$	partial vapour pressure of moist air above crop surface
γ_e	relative humidity of moist air above crop surface	λ	latent heat of vaporization
A_r	area of tray	t	time interval
MR	moisture ratio	k, n	drying coefficient or empirical coefficient
a, b, c	constant	β'	coefficient of thermal expansion = $(1/(T+273))$
μ_v	dynamic viscosity	ρ_v	density
V_v	wind velocity	T_{fi}	initial fluid temperature

1. Introduction

Food is one of the basic needs of human being after air and water. The imbalance between food production and immediate consumption is the biggest hurdle in mitigating this problem. The problem of this imbalance can be solved by two methods;

- i) Increasing food supply and
- ii) Controlling population growth.

But both of the solutions require a considerable amount of capital and time to fulfil the objective. Esper and Muhlbauer have given a third and most viable solution to the world's food problem and this is to reduce the food loss. They found many advantages of closed solar drying over open sun drying like improvement in product quality on the basis of colour, texture and taste, no contamination by insects, microorganism and mycotoxin, decrement in drying time up to 50%, reduction of the drying and storage losses, considerable increase in life of the products [1]. Yaldiz et al. concluded that solar dryers can reduce crop losses and improve the product quality significantly when compared to the traditional methods of drying such as sun/shade drying. The food loss occurs due to various reasons in developing countries such as improper cultivation and fertilization, lack of suitable technology, improper

transportation, lack of marketing channels, high post-harvest losses, etc. The food loss occurs from 10% to 40% of total production due to above stated reasons. The food preservation is the only technique to reduce the post-harvest food losses [2]. Drying is the method that is being adopted since many centuries for food preservation. The major advantage of drying food products is the reduction of moisture content to a safe level that allows extending the life of dried products. The removal of water from foods provides microbiological stability and reduces deteriorative chemical reactions.

Drying is a process of moisture removal from a product which involves both heat and mass transfer. There are four major drying techniques, namely: open air sun drying, firewood/fuel drying, electrical drying and solar drying [3–5]. The open sun drying is a traditional method practiced widely in the rural areas often yields poor quality since the produce is not protected against dust, rain and wind, rodents as well as other domestic animals while drying. As a result, these produce are often contaminated with microorganisms and disease causing germs. Additionally, the drying time required for a given commodity can be quite long and result in post-harvest losses.

A portable direct type solar dryer working under natural convection solar dryer has been designed by Othieno [6]. It has rectangular shaped with blackened interior surfaces and transparent

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8113182>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8113182>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)