



A comprehensive review on biomass cookstoves and a systematic approach for modern cookstove design



Milind P. Kshirsagar^{a,*}, Vilas R. Kalamkar^b

^a St Vincent Pallotti College of Engineering and Technology, Nagpur, Wardha road, Maharashtra, India

^b Visveswaraya National Institute of Technology, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 14 May 2013

Received in revised form

25 September 2013

Accepted 19 October 2013

Available online 22 November 2013

Keywords:

Biomass cookstoves

Improved cookstoves

Efficiency

Emissions

Testing protocols

Design considerations

Systematic approach.

ABSTRACT

Improved biomass cookstoves has been a topic of research for more than 40 years, but still 2.6 billion people cook over an open biomass fire. A large volume of information on the biomass cookstoves though widely scattered, is available in the literature. This paper gives a comprehensive review of the available literature on biomass cookstoves. The review covers a detailed discussion on various aspects of biomass cookstoves: historic overview, performance characteristics, cooking accessories, testing protocols, barriers to dissemination and adoption, and future pathways. In addition, comparison of energy and emissions performance for different biomass cookstoves is given. Data is obtained from reliable sources, arranged logically, plotted carefully, and analyzed to draw some interesting conclusions. Learning from the review and comparison made, is used to propose a novel “Systematic Approach for Modern Cookstove Design”.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Contents

1. Introduction	581
2. Biomass cookstoves	582
2.1. Historic overview of biomass cookstoves	582
2.1.1. Early history (time immemorial–1950)	582
2.1.2. The recent past (1950–2000)	582
2.1.3. The new millennium (2000–to date)	582
2.2. Classification of biomass cookstoves	583
2.2.1. Traditional versus improved and advanced cookstoves	583
2.2.2. Natural draft versus forced draft cookstoves	583
2.2.3. Direct combustion type versus gasifier type cookstoves	585
2.2.4. Mono-function versus multi-function cookstoves	585
2.2.5. Domestic versus institutional type cookstoves	585
2.2.6. Chimney stoves versus stoves without chimney	585
2.2.7. Fixed versus portable cookstoves	585
2.2.8. Mud, ceramic, metallic, cement, and hybrid cookstoves	586
2.2.9. Fuel wood, charcoal, agri-residue, dung cake and other fuel cookstoves	586

Abbreviations: TSF, three stone fire; ICS, improved cookstove; IAP, indoor air pollution; CO, carbon monoxide; PM, particulate matter; ARC, Aprovecho Research Center; NPIC, Indian National Programme on Improved Chulhas; NISP, Chinese National Improved Stove Programme; MNRE, Ministry of New and Renewable Energy; EPA, Environmental Protection Agency; CDM, clean development mechanism; NBCI, National Biomass Cook stove Initiative; ABS, advanced biomass stove; VITA, Volunteers in Technical Assistance; TLUD, top lift updraft stove; TEG, thermo-electric generator; WBT, water boiling test; CWBT, comparative water boiling test; CCT, controlled cooking test; HTP, heterogeneous testing protocol; KPT, kitchen performance test; SUMs, stove use monitors; UFT, uncontrolled field test; UCT, uncontrolled cooking test; BCT, burning cycle test; CDT, cookstove durability testing; CFD, computational fluid dynamics; NGOs, non-governmental organizations; ISO, International Standards Organization; IWA, International Workshop Agreement; TOP, tiers of performance

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +91 8600565759.

E-mail address: milindpkshirsagar@rediffmail.com (M.P. Kshirsagar).

2.3.	Supplementary cooking accessories	587
2.3.1.	Skirts	587
2.3.2.	Haybox or retained heat cookers	588
2.3.3.	Customized pots	588
2.3.4.	Thermo-electric generators	588
3.	Protocols for biomass cookstove testing	588
3.1.	Laboratory tests	588
3.1.1.	Water boiling test (WBT)	588
3.1.2.	Controlled cooking test (CCT)	588
3.1.3.	Heterogeneous testing protocol (HTP)	589
3.2.	Field tests	589
3.2.1.	Kitchen performance test (KPT)	589
3.2.2.	Stove use monitors (SUMs)	589
3.2.3.	Uncontrolled cooking test (UCT)	589
3.2.4.	Burning cycle test (BCT)	589
3.3.	Other stove testing protocols	590
4.	Comparison of energy and emissions performance for different biomass cookstoves	590
5.	Barriers to dissemination and adoption of biomass cookstoves	594
5.1.	Institutional barriers	594
5.2.	Economic and financial barriers	594
5.3.	Policy barriers	595
5.4.	Social and behavioral barriers	595
5.5.	Technical and quality related barriers	595
5.6.	Information and Interaction Barriers	595
6.	Road to future	595
6.1.	In-depth engineering analysis for developing new advanced stoves	595
6.2.	Universal stove testing protocol and benchmarking a cookstove	596
6.3.	Commercialization of cookstove technology	596
7.	Proposed modern cookstove design methodology	596
7.1.	Important considerations in modern cookstove design	596
7.2.	Proposed systematic approach for modern cookstove design	597
7.2.1.	Problem identification and definition	597
7.2.2.	Problem analysis	597
7.2.3.	Conceptual design	598
7.2.4.	Engineering design for best possible solution	599
7.2.5.	Construction, testing and evaluation of a prototype	599
7.2.6.	Detailed design and its communication	599
7.2.7.	Production, quality testing and dissemination	600
7.2.8.	Field-testing and validation	600
7.2.9.	Performance monitoring	600
8.	Conclusion	600
	Acknowledgements	600
	References	600

1. Introduction

In the era of spacecrafts, computers, and electronic gadgets, about 2.6 billion people do not have access to clean cooking facilities; and if predictions are believed the approximately same number will still be so in 2030 [1]. About 1.6 million people die prematurely per year; from indoor air pollution, resulting from solid-fuel cooking; causing more than 2% of the whole world diseases (4% in the poorest nations) [2]. Cooking with solid biofuels also has a significant global impact on, greenhouse gas and black carbon emissions, accounting for 1–3% of all human generated global warming [3]. Venkataraman et al. concludes that, the solid biofuel combustion is the dominant source of global black carbon emissions, with as much as 42% of total black carbon emissions in India [4]. The heavy dependence on biomass resources and their inefficient utilization can be a significant source of deforestation and resulting climate change, as observed in studies conducted independently, in six Tanzanian cities [5] and three urban regions of Ethiopia [6].

As a solution to these global problems, energy and emission efficient ICSS can reduce: diseases, by decreasing indoor air

pollution (IAP); time and cost of obtaining fuel; risk of violence against women and children gathering fuel in conflict areas; consequent climate change and deforestation. Hence, in the “Biomass Cookstoves Technical Meeting” held on January 2011, the expert team on cookstove technologies set new benchmarks for ICSS: “at least 90% emissions reductions and 50% fuel savings over baseline technology (three-stone fire)” [7].

Currently more than 160 cook stove programmes are running in the world, across different nations [8]. Since 1970s, the laboratory, the field, and the policy aspects of biomass cookstoves have been studied under ICSS projects by many renowned researchers like Samuel Baldwin [9], Barnes, Smith [10,11], Prasad [12], and Bryden [13]. Numerous studies conducted by such researchers, helped build a database regarding various issues related to cookstoves such as design, development, testing, materials, dissemination and field performance. Unfortunately, much of the literature is widely spread, and it is hard to get a good outline of the subject. This review paper is an effort to address the need for concise and simplified discourse; on scientific knowledge related to biomass cookstoves.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8120588>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8120588>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)