Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/rser

High-efficiency thermodynamic power cycles for concentrated solar power systems



Marc T. Dunham^a, Brian D. Iverson^{a,b,*}

^a Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM 87185, United States ^b Brigham Young University, UT 84602, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 26 December 2012 Received in revised form 23 October 2013 Accepted 11 November 2013 Available online 1 December 2013

Keywords: CSP High-efficiency thermodynamic cycles Solar thermal Solar thermodynamics Brayton Rankine CO₂ recompression

ABSTRACT

This paper provides a review of high-efficiency thermodynamic cycles and their applicability to concentrating solar power systems, primarily focusing on high-efficiency single and combined cycles. Novel approaches to power generation proposed in the literature are also highlighted. The review is followed by analyses of promising candidates, including regenerated He-Brayton, regenerated CO_2 -Brayton, CO_2 recompression Brayton, steam Rankine, and CO_2 -ORC combined cycle. Steam Rankine is shown to offer higher thermal efficiencies at temperatures up to about 600 °C but requires a change in materials for components above this temperature. Above this temperature, CO_2 recompression Brayton cycles are shown to have very high thermal efficiency, potentially even exceeding 60% at 30 MPa maximum pressure and above 1000 °C maximum temperature with wet cooling. An estimate of a combined receiver and power cycle operating temperature is provided for the cycles considered and compared to the traditional approach of optimization based on the Carnot efficiency. It is shown that the traditional approach to optimizing the receiver and turbine inlet temperatures based on Carnot is generally not sufficient, leading to an optimum temperature shift of more than 100 °C from the Carnot case under various conditions.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Contents

1	ntraduction	750			
I. Introduction					
2. Review of power cycles					
	2.1. High-efficiency single cycles	760			
	2.2. Combined cycles	761			
	2.3. Novel cycles	761			
	2.4. Water use	761			
3.	ligh efficiency cycles for solar	762			
	3.1. Regenerative Brayton cycle	762			
	3.2. Recompression Brayton cycle	762			
	3.3. Combined Brayton–Rankine cycle	763			
	3.4. Steam Rankine cycle	764			
4.	Cycle thermal efficiency results	764			
5.	Combined receiver and cycle thermal efficiency				
6.	Conclusions				
Acknowledgments					
References					

1. Introduction

Among renewable energy approaches, concentrating solar power (CSP) holds significant promise for adoption as a utility-scale

^{*} Corresponding author at: Brigham Young University, UT 84602, United States. *E-mail address:* bdiverson@byu.edu (B.D. Iverson).

^{1364-0321/\$ -} see front matter \circledcirc 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2013.11.010

Nomenclature

			configuration	
С	solar concentration ratio	Carnot	predicted by Carnot	
h	enthalpy, kJ/kg	combine	d related to the entire combined cycle	
$h_{\text{convection}}$ convection heat transfer coefficient, W/m ² K		compressor related to the gas compressor		
HEX	heat exchanger	field	related to the heliostat field	
I _{DNI}	nominal direct solar flux, W/m ²	HP	high-pressure	
'n	mass flow rate, kg/s	HT	high-temperature	
р	pressure, MPa	in	inlet quantity	
Ż	heat rate, W	loss	drop	
Т	temperature, °C	LP	low-pressure	
Ŵ	power, W	LT	low-temperature	
		max	maximum	
Greek		min	minimum	
		net	out minus in	
arocoivor	radiative absorptivity	opt	optimum	
ΔT_{rol}	temperature shift in peak system efficiency relative to	pump	related to the liquid pump	
101	Carnot. °C	R	ratio	
Erocoivor	radiative emissivity	receiver	related to the solar receiver	
Eregen	regenerator effectiveness	shaft	related to the turbine-compressor shaft	
n	efficiency	stage	related to one stage, e.g. for intercooled compression	
σ	Stefan–Boltzmann constant, $5.670 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W/m}^2\text{K}^4$	system	related to the heat engine and solar receiver	
		th	thermal	
Subscripts		top	related to the topping cycle of a combined	
Subscript			configuration	
(T, n)	at temperature T and pressure n	turbine	related to the heat engine turbine.	
(I, p)	at temperature r and pressure p			
annoient				

solution in an environment of increasing energy demand, limited fossil fuel resources, national incentives for renewable energy deployment, and growing concerns over the environmental implications of the continued use of traditional fuel sources like coal, gas, and nuclear fission material. CSP technology shares the clean energy portfolio primarily with wind turbines, hydroelectric generators, and solar photovoltaics. Each technology has specific advantages and may be particularly well-suited to one climate or application over another, such as using photovoltaics in dry sunny areas or hydro power near a natural moving water source. However, it is common for renewable energy sources to be intermittent, which limits their penetration into utility markets as well as their reliability for ondemand operation. CSP distinguishes itself by being dispatchable through the use of cost-effective thermal energy storage, exhibits versatility in its output capability (heat, mechanical work, or electric power), and enables integration with existing turbomachinery hardware.

While the benefit of an abundant renewable energy source through a solar-driven power cycle is clear, there exists the unavoidable complication that direct solar radiation is only available during the day, and even then is often interrupted by weather transients. Electric power loads are not confined to daylight hours; thus, the instantaneous supply from an intermittent source may not always meet the electricity demand. Thermal storage facilitates a power production shift from available daytime hours to accommodate diurnal cycling and weather transients. CSP with thermal energy storage is viewed as an enabling technology allowing greater market penetration for all renewable energy technologies [1–3]. Thermal storage technologies have been developed to allow for a range of storage times, from short transient buffers to longer-term nighttime storage [4], with well-designed CSP plants demonstrating full 24-hour operation [5]. Historically, the development of CSP technology has required a balance between capital cost, performance, and suitability for a particular application. While parabolic trough technologies are the most mature, the relative capital cost of thermal storage in power tower construction has been estimated to be about half that for parabolic trough construction (6% vs. 12%) [6]. Additionally, power tower systems are capable of achieving higher temperatures and efficiencies due to increased concentration ratios. As thermal storage is being identified as a significant differentiator between CSP and other renewable technologies, high-efficiency tower systems are gaining favor over the more mature trough technology, which is limited to lower solar fluxes and temperatures. Use of molten salts as a sensible storage medium is the standard against which current storage options are compared. However, many molten salts solidify at temperatures above ambient, causing blockage and potential damage to the piping and heat exchangers. An active area of CSP research involves fluid materials that can accommodate a broad range of temperatures necessary for CSP plant receivers [7].

bottom related to the bottoming cycle of a combined

Despite the unique benefits of thermal storage, CSP has been viewed to be a relatively costly renewable energy option. Technoeconomic analyses have shown a significant potential for cost reduction through efficiency improvement of the power block [8-10]. Due to the widespread use of turbomachinery and heat engine technology, CSP is somewhat unique in the renewable energy portfolio in that it stands to benefit from economies of scale and technological advances in the larger coal, natural gas, and nuclear industries. While modern subcritical steam cycles (the most common thermodynamic power cycle to date for CSP) may be limited to thermal efficiencies up to approximately 42% [9], supercritical steam cycles have been developed with thermal efficiencies exceeding 47% [11]. Combined cycles, which use the rejected heat from a high-temperature cycle to drive a lowertemperature cycle to supplement the power output, typically offer higher thermal efficiencies (potentially exceeding 60%) and have been used in traditional power cycles for decades [12]. Such highperformance cycles have been shown or considered to be adaptable to CSP systems [13-15], and represent an important step in reducing the levelized cost of electricity and promoting CSP technology as a true competitor to traditional methods for Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8120738

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8120738

Daneshyari.com