Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/rser

Loss minimization techniques used in distribution network: bibliographical survey



Shilpa Kalambe*, Ganga Agnihotri

Department of Electrical Engineering, M.A.N.I.T., Bhopal 462051, M.P., India

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 9 January 2013 Received in revised form 14 August 2013 Accepted 24 August 2013

Keywords: Distribution loss Network restructuring Capacitor placement DG allocation Distribution system provides a link between the high voltage transmission system and low voltage consumers thus I²R loss in a distributed system is high because of low voltage and high current. Distribution companies (DISCOs) have an economic enticement to reduce losses in their networks. Usually, this enticement is the cost difference between real and standard losses. Therefore, if real losses are higher than the standard ones, the DISCOs are economically penalized or if the opposite happens, they obtain a profit. Thus loss minimization problem is a well researched topic and all previous approaches vary from each other by selection of tool for loss minimization and thereafter either in their problem formulation or problem solution methods employed. Many methods of loss reduction exist like feeder reconfiguration, capacitor placement, high voltage distribution system, conductor grading, Distributed Generator (DG) Allocation etc. This paper gives a bibliographical survey, general background and comparative analysis of three most commonly used techniques (i) Capacitor Placement, (ii) Feeder Reconfiguration, (iii) and DG Allocation for loss minimization in distribution network based on over *147* published articles, so that new researchers can easily find literature particularly in this area.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Contents

1. Introduction. 2. Types of losses. 3. Capacitor placement 3.1. Loss equation. 3.2. Note worthy contributions	184 186 186 186 186
 A. Network reconfiguration. 5. DG allocation. 6. Comparative analysis. 7. Conclusion. References. 	193 194 197 197 197 197

1. Introduction

Losses in transmission and distribution networks represent the single largest consumption in any power system. Due to the rapid increase in the demand for electricity, environmental constraints

E-mail addresses: shilpakalambe@gmail.com (S. Kalambe), ganga1949@gmail.com (G. Agnihotri).

and competitive energy market scenario the transmission and distribution systems are often being operated under heavily loaded conditions and the distribution system loss has become more and more of a concern. The requirement to provide acceptable power quality and enhanced efficiency to achieve all possible economic benefits will create a very favorable climate for the need of loss minimization techniques and innovative operating practices. The total power delivered to the distribution system has been calculated according to the total power generation and power loss of the transmission system. To enhance the efficiency

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +91 966 921 9959.

^{1364-0321/\$ -} see front matter © 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2013.08.075

 S_{ij}

S_ii

 I_c

Η

bТ

 I_2

S

LP

CC

Kp

Ke

δ

θ

F

Kr

 P_{load}

 Q_{load} TPC

Кс

Oc

 $Q_{\rm C}$

R

CPU

SCADA

 $P_{\rm L}, Q_{\rm L}$

m, q

 $P_{q}(\tau)$

 $a(\tau)$

Nomenclature energy loss caused by quadrature current in feeder L **CkVA** capacitive kilovolt-amperes

- KVAr reactive kilovolt-amperes
- kVA kilo volt-amp
- RMS root mean square
- FP evolutionary programming
- ULTC under-load tap changer CL capacitor location, per unit (pu) of total feeder resistance
- CR rated capacitor current, pu of maximum input quadrature current to feeder
- T1, T2...Tn time, pu of period of load cycle, during which the input quadrature current equals
- X1,X2,....Xn ratio between input quadrature current during time Tn and maximum input quadrature current
- R line resistance in pu reactive current at feeder i
- Χ distance from feeder
- LE energy loss
- distance measured along the normalized equivalent x
- uniform feeder
- F(x)feeder reactive current function
- z control variable DPSO discrete particle swarm optimization
- peak reactive current injected into the feeder
- I_S P.U. length resistance of line r
- sizes of shunt capacitors $(i=1,2,\ldots,n)$ I_{ci}
- time required to on/off fixed capacitors t
- OF objective function (\$)
- OD present worth of revenue requirements of one dollar investment, (\$/\$)
- **BPSO** binary particle swarm optimization
- RC cost of released kVA capacity (\$) CC construction cost of all related capacitor installations (\$) power loss reduction time τ
- SC annual marginal cost of system capacity (\$/kW)
- PL power loss savings at time of system peak (kW)
- EC marginal cost of energy losses (\$/kWh)
- EL annual energy loss savings (kWh)
- Fp, F_E present worth factors for power and energy losses, which are functions of discount rate, comparison time interval and appropriate cost escalation. aggregate reactance of the line connecting the load to Xline the feeding substation
- power loss in line i-jSLoss ii

power loss reduction at time τ capacitor current the substation load time variation matrix to calculate distance between substation to capacitor reactive load current distribution end load current savings (\$/yr) reduction in peak power losses total cost of capacitors factor to convert peak power losses to dollars factor to convert energy losses to dollars load angles voltage angle variables to represent number of buses objective function annual cost per unit of the real power loss (\$/kW/yr) active power of load reactive power of load Taiwan power company annual cost per unit of the reactive power injection at bus i (\$/kVAR/yr) reactive power injection at respective bus $P_{\rm B}, Q_{\rm B}$ active and reactive power flow in the branch active and reactive load power capacitor power central processing unit resistance of branch complex current flow in branch

complex power from bus *i* to *j*

complex power from bus *i* to *i*

- Ι Em component of $E = R_{bus}I_{bus'}$ corresponding to bus m. R_{bus} is the "bus resistance matrix" of feeder before the load transfer which is found using the substation bus as reference. the vector of bus currents for feeder Ibus En similar to Em, but defined for bus n of next Feeder. PDG active power of DG Re real part of impedance. $V_{\rm L}$ load voltage
- real power loss of the system. Prloss
- kp, ke and kq the cost of power loss at peak-load time (in \$/kW), the cost of fuel served for energy losses (in \$/kWh) and the cost of reactive sources (in \$/kVAr), resp. fraction of time in load curve τa $Q_{\rm DG}$ reactive power of DG

supervisory control and data acquisition

of distribution system loss minimization is the only alternative. Thus it is found that, since last three decades research in distribution systems has been focused on line loss minimization and voltage regulation. Various methods of loss minimization in distribution system are available in the literature but the basic three methods such as (i) Capacitor Placement (generally applicable in high voltage distribution systems) (ii) Feeder Reconfiguration (generally applicable in low voltage distribution systems) and (iii) DG Allocation (more focused to achieve interconnection when small generators exists. For instance, when isolated wind farms or small photovoltaic plants enter the distribution network) are discussed here.

Traditionally, loss minimization has focused on optimizing network reconfiguration or reactive power support through capacitor placement. However, the evolution from passive distribution networks to active due to the insertion of DG presents opportunities. Although planning issues, the regulatory framework and the availability of resources limit Distribution Network Operators (DNOs) and developers in their ability to accommodate distributed generation, governments are incentivizing lowcarbon technologies, as a means of meeting environmental targets and increasing energy security. This momentum can be harnessed by DNOs to bring network operational benefits through lower losses delivered by investment in DG [1]. This article incorporates the various approaches made by researchers in order to minimize the

distribution losses and the prolonged study shows that the loss minimization by DG allocation is providing enhanced prospects.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8120821

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8120821

Daneshyari.com