Accepted Manuscript

Laboratory investigation of oil recovery by CO₂ foam in a fractured carbonate reservoir using CO₂-Soluble surfactants

Guangwei Ren, Quoc P. Nguyen, Hon Chung Lau

PII: S0920-4105(18)30359-0

DOI: 10.1016/j.petrol.2018.04.053

Reference: PETROL 4905

To appear in: Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering

Received Date: 26 February 2018

Revised Date: 10 April 2018

Accepted Date: 24 April 2018

Please cite this article as: Ren, G., Nguyen, Q.P., Lau, H.C., Laboratory investigation of oil recovery by CO₂ foam in a fractured carbonate reservoir using CO₂-Soluble surfactants, *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.petrol.2018.04.053.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Laboratory Investigation of Oil Recovery by CO₂ Foam in a Fractured Carbonate Reservoir Using CO₂-Soluble Surfactants

Guangwei Ren^{1,2*}, Quoc P. Nguyen¹, Hon Chung LAU³

* Corresponding author (guangweiren@utexas.edu)

1 University of Texas at Austin

2 currently at Total E&P R&T USA

3 National University of Singapore

Abstract

Miscible CO_2 flooding has been used as an EOR method for carbonate reservoirs which hold around 60% of the world's oil reserves. However, natural fractures, unfavorable mobility ratio and gravity segregation in carbonate reservoirs often lead to premature CO_2 breakthrough and bypassed oil. To remedy this situation, CO_2 foam has been used to reduce the mobility of the injected CO_2 . Typically, this employed a water soluble surfactant for foam propagation. However, surfactant transport in the aqueous phase was often hindered by surfactant adsorption and undesirable chemical reactions with reservoir minerals. In this study, we investigated whether CO_2 -soluble surfactants were more effective than water-soluble surfactant in oil recovery of fractured carbonate reservoirs under miscible conditions.

A series of corefloods were conducted to determine the oil recovery factor (RF), speed of foam propagation and foam strength in artificially fractured carbonate cores at 35° C (308.15 K) and 1500 psi (1.034*10⁷ Pa) which was above minimum miscibility pressure. Silurian Dolomite outcrop with permeability of 150 md and West Texas Wasson crude were used. The cores were intermediate-wet indicated by both qualitative and quantitative tests. Three different surfactants were compared including an anionic water-soluble surfactant and other two nonionic CO₂ soluble surfactants (2-ethyl-1-hexanol with different ethylene oxide groups) with distinct degree of solubility in CO₂. Phase behavior experiments indicated these surfactants did not lower the interfacial tension significantly between the crude and water.

RF of CO₂ flooding was only 24% due to the heterogeneous nature of the fractured core. Co-injection of CO₂ and water increased the RF to 35%, which was further increased to 54% when a water-soluble only surfactant presented. However, use of CO₂ foam by the two CO₂-soluble surfactants increased the RF to 71% and 92% respectively, with a higher RF for the surfactant that partitioned more to the CO₂ phase. Also, pressure drop in different sections of the core confirmed that the surfactant which partitioned more into the CO₂ phase gave a fasterpropagating and stronger foam.

These results educated that the partitioning of surfactant into the CO_2 phase has several advantages. First, it allows surfactant to be transported in the CO_2 phase ahead of the aqueous phase thus leading to faster foam propagation. Second, it generated a stronger foam. The combined effect of the two leaded to higher RF in current scenarios. Several hypotheses based on literatures were raised and listed to further interpret the observations. Our results also reinforce that the so-called optimal CO_2 soluble surfactant is case dependent and is the function of injection strategy, reservoir environment, and operation pressure or rates as well as other specific conditions. One could tailor a surfactant with suitable solubility in the CO_2 phase to optimize oil recovery in fractured carbonates. We believed the results were encouraging enough to warrant further R&D and eventual field piloting.

42

43 **Keywords:** CO₂ soluble surfactants, partition coefficient, CO₂ foam, fractured carbonate reservoir, EOR 44

45 **1. Introduction and Background**

46 Carbonate reservoirs holds around 60% of the world's oil reserves (Aknar et al. 2000) and 60% of total 47 miscible-flooding EOR will occur in carbonate reservoirs (Baily 1984). It is sensitive to stress/strain which often 48 makes the carbonate reservoirs naturally fractured (Chillenger and Ten 1983, Sloan 2003), creating thief zones for 49 injected fluids, and leaving the matrix unswept (Benson et al. 1998, Graue et al. 2000). About 80% of carbonate 50 reservoirs are classified as mixed-wet to preferentially oil-wet which are unfavorable conditions for spontaneous 51 water imbibitions (Allan and Sun 2003, Tabary 2009). In the past, much effort has been given to use liquids to 52 enhance oil recovery in non-water wet fractured reservoirs through wettability alternation followed by imbibitions 53 (Gupta and Mohanty 2008, Chen and Mohanty 2014) or interfacial tension (IFT) reduction (Alshehri and Kovscek 54 2014) or both (Chen and Mohanty 2015). Also, miscible solvent, such as Heptane, Pentane and Decalin, provided Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8124567

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8124567

Daneshyari.com