Accepted Manuscript

Vector Veclocity Volume Flow Estimation: Sources of Error and Corrections Applied for Arteriovenous Fistulas

Jonas Jensen, Jacob Bjerring Olesen, Matthias Bo Stuart, Peter Møller Hansen, Michael Bachmann Nielsen, Jørgen Arendt Jensen

PII:	S0041-624X(16)30048-8
DOI:	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ultras.2016.04.023
Reference:	ULTRAS 5272
To appear in:	Ultrasonics
Received Date:	16 October 2015
Revised Date:	13 April 2016
Accepted Date:	29 April 2016



Please cite this article as: J. Jensen, J. Bjerring Olesen, M. Bo Stuart, P. Møller Hansen, M. Bachmann Nielsen, J. Arendt Jensen, Vector Veclocity Volume Flow Estimation: Sources of Error and Corrections Applied for Arteriovenous Fistulas, *Ultrasonics* (2016), doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ultras.2016.04.023

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Vector Veclocity Volume Flow Estimation: Sources of Error and Corrections Applied for Arteriovenous Fistulas

Jonas Jensen^{a,*}, Jacob Bjerring Olesen^a, Matthias Bo Stuart^a, Peter Møller Hansen^{a,b}, Michael Bachmann Nielsen^b, Jørgen Arendt Jensen^a

 ^aCenter for Fast Ultrasound Imaging, Dept. of Elec. Eng., Bldg. 349, Technical University of Denmark, DK-2800 Lyngby, Denmark
^bDepartment of Radiology, Copenhagen University Hospital, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

Abstract

A method for vector velocity volume flow estimation is presented, along with an investigation of its sources of error and correction of actual volume flow measurements. Volume flow errors are quantified theoretically by numerical modelling, through flow phantom measurements, and studied in vivo. This paper investigates errors from estimating volumetric flow using a commercial ultrasound scanner and the common assumptions made in the literature. The theoretical model shows, e.g. that volume flow is underestimated by 15%, when the scan plane is off-axis with the vessel center by 28% of the vessel radius. The error sources were also studied in vivo under realistic clinical conditions, and the theoretical results were applied for correcting the volume flow errors. Twenty dialysis patients with arteriovenous fistulas were scanned to obtain vector flow maps of fistulas. When fitting an ellipsis to crosssectional scans of the fistulas, the major axis was on average 10.2 mm, which is 8.6% larger than the minor axis. The ultrasound beam was on average 1.5 mm from the vessel center, corresponding to 28% of the semi-major axis in an average fistula. Estimating volume flow with an elliptical, rather than circular, vessel area and correcting the ultrasound beam for being off-axis, gave a significant (p=0.008) reduction in error from 31.2% to 24.3%. The

^{*}Corresponding Author: Jonas Jensen, Technical University of Denmark, Ørsteds Plads, Building 349, DK-2800 Lyngby, Denmark; Email, jonjens@elektro.dtu.dk; Phone, +45 45253903

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8130228

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8130228

Daneshyari.com