

Accepted Manuscript

Monte Carlo simulation of induction time and metastable zone width; stochastic or deterministic?

Noriaki Kubota

PII: S0022-0248(17)30732-7
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrysgr.2017.12.031>
Reference: CRY 24421

To appear in: *Journal of Crystal Growth*

Received Date: 20 November 2017
Revised Date: 18 December 2017
Accepted Date: 20 December 2017

Please cite this article as: N. Kubota, Monte Carlo simulation of induction time and metastable zone width; stochastic or deterministic?, *Journal of Crystal Growth* (2017), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrysgr.2017.12.031>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Monte Carlo simulation of induction time and metastable zone width; stochastic or deterministic?

Noriaki Kubota*

Department of Chemistry and Biological Sciences, Iwate University

4-3-5 Ueda, Morioka, 020-8551, Japan

*E-mail: nkubota@iwate-u.ac.jp

Tel: 042-599-5981 (home)

Abstract

The induction time and metastable zone width (MSZW) measured for small samples (say 1 mL or less) both scatter widely. Thus, these two are observed as stochastic quantities. Whereas, for large samples (say 1000 mL or more), the induction time and MSZW are observed as deterministic quantities. The reason for such experimental differences is investigated with Monte Carlo simulation. In the simulation, the time (under isothermal condition) and supercooling (under polythermal condition) at which a first single crystal is detected are defined as the induction time t and the MSZW ΔT for small samples, respectively. The number of crystals just at the moment of t and ΔT is unity. A first crystal emerges at random due to the intrinsic nature of nucleation, accordingly t and ΔT become stochastic. For large samples, the time and supercooling at which the number density of crystals N/V reaches a detector sensitivity $(N/V)_{\text{det}}$ are defined as t and ΔT for isothermal and polythermal conditions, respectively. The points of t and ΔT are those of which a large number of crystals have accumulated. Consequently, t and ΔT become deterministic according to the law of large numbers. Whether t and ΔT may stochastic or deterministic in actual experiments should not be attributed to change in nucleation mechanisms in molecular level. It could be just a problem caused by differences in the experimental definition of t and ΔT .

Keywords

A1. Nucleation,

A1. Monte Carlo simulation

A1. Induction time

A1. Metastable zone width

A2. Industrial crystallization

1. Introduction

The induction time is generally defined as the time elapsed from the moment of achievement of supersaturation to the moment of detection of “first crystallization event” [1]. In the measurement of induction time, the temperature or supercooling is kept constant (isothermal). The metastable zone width (MSZW) is similarly defined as the supercooling when a “first crystallization event” is detected under the polythermal (increasing supercooling) condition. Although there is a difference in temperature profile, both induction time and MSZW are nucleation-related physical quantities and are considered as barometer of the difficulty of nucleation.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8148767>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8148767>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)