Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials **( 1111**)



1

2 3

4 5 6

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23 24

25 26

27

28

29

30

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jmmm

## Phase formation, morphology and magnetic properties of MgFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles synthesized by hydrothermal technique

Jeeranan Nonkumwong<sup>a</sup>, Supon Ananta<sup>b</sup>, Pongsakorn Jantaratana<sup>c</sup>, Santi Phumying<sup>d</sup>, **Q1** Santi Maensiri<sup>d</sup>, Laongnuan Srisombat<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand

<sup>b</sup> Department of Physics and Materials Science, Faculty of Science, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand

<sup>c</sup> Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Kasetsart University, Bangkok 11900, Thailand

<sup>d</sup> Advanced Materials Physics Laboratory (Amp.), School of Physics, Institute of Science, Suranaree University of Technology, Nakhon Ratchasima 30000,

ABSTRACT

Thailand

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 8 October 2014 Received in revised form 22 December 2014 Accepted 2 January 2015

31 Keywords: 32 Hydrothermal technique 33 Magnesium ferrite nanoparticles 34 Magnetic property 35 Morphology

Phase formation 36

#### 1. Introduction

#### Due to magnetic responsiveness for external magnetic field induced separation and delivery, magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs) are of great interest for biomedical applications [1,2]. For example, conventional hyperthermia instruments for cancerous tissue therapy require inserting antennas into patient body (i.e. cause some wound) to target area and heating up by using microwave or radio frequency [3]. Hence, filling cancer cell with the MNPs in order to generate heat, by using alternating current (AC) magnetic field from external coils (i.e. without patient injure), is one of the great challenge. In connection with this, spinel ferrites such as CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and MgFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> have been widely explored owing to their tunable cation ordering which are the key parameters for such heat treatment [4,5]. To apply these materials for hyperthermia treatment, superparamagnetism of synthesized particles is required. Furthermore, in order to align the spin arrangement for superparamagnetic materials with the applied AC magnetic field, the particle size of such materials should be small enough (i.e. < 150 nm) to give single-domain structure [2,6].

Among several processing techniques introduced for the

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: slaongnuan@yahoo.com (L. Srisombat).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmmm.2015.01.001

0304-8853/© 2015 Published by Elsevier B.V.

65 66 03

In the present work, the processing conditions for obtaining monodispersed magnesium ferrite (MgFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) nanoparticles with the desired morphology and relatively high saturation magnetization via hydrothermal technique were developed. For the first time, the effects of base type and reaction conditions (i.e. temperature and time) on phase formation, morphology and magnetic properties of the obtained products were determined by using a combination of XRD, TEM/EDX and VSM techniques. It is seen that the saturation magnetization of the particles can be increased by employing lower reaction temperature and/or shorter reaction time, while narrow size distribution of the particles can be maintained. In addition, it was found that pure phase of superparamagnetic MgFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles with the smallest size of about 65 nm was obtained by using CH3COONa as a base at 180 °C for 14 h.

© 2015 Published by Elsevier B.V.

#### Please cite this article as: J. Nonkumwong, et al., Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. jmmm.2015.01.001

Table 1

ARTICLE IN PRESS

#### J. Nonkumwong et al. / Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials **I** (**IIII**) **III**-**III**

Preparation condition			Particle size		Lattice strain	$M_{\rm s}({\rm emu/g})$	$H_{\rm c}\left({\rm Oe}\right)$	$M_{\rm r}({\rm emu/g})$	$M_{\rm r}/M_{\rm s}$	Remarks
Base	Temp. (°C)	Time (h)	Crystallite size (nm) <sup>a</sup>	Cluster size (nm)	_					
CH₃COONa	180	12	13.98	$70\pm11^{\mathrm{b}}$	0.0076	59.77	2.94	0.58	$9.7 \times 10^{-3}$	Effect of base
NH <sub>4</sub> OH			-	$93 \pm 22^{b}$	-	-	-	-	-	
КОН			-	Incomplete conversion	-	-	-	-	-	
NaOH			-	Incomplete conversion	-	-	-	-	-	
CH <sub>3</sub> COONa	160	12	-	$40\pm7^{b}$	-	_	-	-	-	Effect of temperature
	180 200		13.98	$70 \pm 11^{b}$	0.0076	59.77	2.94	0.58	$9.7 \times 10^{-3}$	•
			12.50	$138 \pm 14^{b}$	0.0090	50.16	2.12	0.35	$7.0 \times 10^{-3}$	
	220		12.70	$63\pm10^{b}$	0.0088	51.03	0.21	0.05	$9.8\times10^{-4}$	
CH <sub>3</sub> COONa	180	8	13.53	81 + 13 <sup>c</sup>	0.0083	65.40	0.57	0.19	$2.9 \times 10^{-3}$	Effect of time
		10	13.98	$80 + 11^{\circ}$	0.0080	64.64	1.32	0.45	$7.0 \times 10^{-3}$	
		12	13.98	$84 + 16^{c}$	0.0076	59.77	2.94	0.58	$9.7 \times 10^{-3}$	
		14	11.15	$65 + 8.5^{\circ}$	0.0101	53.92	0.07	0.03	$5.6 \times 10^{-4}$	
		16	14.23	$73 + 9^{c}$	0.0079	57.12	4.59	0.96	$1.7 \times 10^{-2}$	

<sup>a</sup> The crystallite size of the particle was calculated by the Debye–Scherrer equation.

<sup>b</sup> The cluster size of the particle was measured from TEM images of JEOL JEM-2010 TEM.

<sup>c</sup> The cluster size of the particle was measured from TEM images of FEI TECNAI TEM.



Fig. 1. Powder XRD patterns of particles synthesized at 180  $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$  for 12 h by using different bases.

reported that the particle size of  $CoFe_2O_4$  nanoparticles can be tailored via the employed reaction temperature [16]. Moreover, Wang et al. [17] also reported that  $NiFe_2O_4$  nanoparticles with smaller size can be achieved by using shorter reaction time.

Hence, The aim of the present work was to explore a hydrothermal technique without using addional complicated instrument for the production of MgFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles and to perform a systematic study of the type of bases, reaction temperature and reaction time. The obtained MgFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> was characterized by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy and vibrating sample magnetometry (VSM) techniques in order to investigate the phase formation, morphology and magnetic properties, respectively.

### 2. Experimental details

As received  $Mg(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$  (Loba Chemie, 99% purity), Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> · 9H<sub>2</sub>O (Carlo Erba, 98% purity), ethylene glycol (Fisher Scientific, 99.5% purity), CH<sub>3</sub>COONa (Loba Chemie, 99.5% purity), NaOH (Ajax, 97.0% purity), KOH (Carlo Erba, 85% purity) and ammonia solution 25% (NH<sub>4</sub>OH) (Merck) were used as the starting chemicals, without further purification.

The MgFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles were synthesized by using the salt of magnesium (instead of zinc) via a hydrothermal method which is slightly modified from a procedure advocated by Li et al. for the synthesis of ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> [18]. In a typical synthesis, 2 mmol of Mg(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> · 6H<sub>2</sub>O and 1 mmol of Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> · 9H<sub>2</sub>O were dissolved in 30 mL ethylene glycol. In general, the amount of base affects the precipitation of the metal salts. The preliminary study showed that no precipitation was formed by using 1.5 mmol of CH<sub>3</sub>COONa. In order to investigate the effects of base types on the formation of MgFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles, the suitable amount of base forming pre-cipitates was chosen here. The 15 mmol of CH<sub>3</sub>COONa or NaOH or KOH or NH<sub>4</sub>OH base was added into the mixture solution and then transferred to a Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave (series 5500 HP compact reactor). The autoclave was heated to 180 °C, maintained for 12 h and cooled down to room temperature naturally [18]. The precipitates were collected by magnetic separator, washed three times with deionized water, another three times with ethyl alcohol and dried at 70 °C for 12 h [9]. In order to investigate the effects of reaction temperature and time on phase formation, morphology and magnetic properties of the MgFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles, the reaction temperatures ranging from 160 to 220 °C [16] and the reaction time ranging from 8 to 16 h [17] (detailed in Table 1) were applied for a given base. The advantage of this technique over other is that the required reaction temperature for this technique is not extremely high ( $\sim$ 150 °C for ferrite) while other method such as solid-state method require much higher temperature ( $\sim 800 \circ C$ ) [19]. 

X-ray diffraction (XRD; Bruker D2 phaser diffractometer) using  $CuK_{\alpha}$  radiation was employed for phase identification, crystallite size and strain analysis [20]. Crystallite size was calculated by using Debye–Sherrer's equation (i.e.,  $D=0.89\lambda(\beta\cos\theta)$  where D is crystallite size, 0.89 is the Sherrer constant,  $\lambda$  is wavelength of X-ray radiation,  $\beta$  is full width at half maximum of the most in-tense peak (311) and  $\theta$  is Bragg angle). By applying Debye–Sher-rer's equation, the estimated crystallite size of the samples can be calculated from the most intense peak (311) of XRD data as shown in Table 1. A combination of the transmission electron microscopy

Please cite this article as: J. Nonkumwong, et al., Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8156029

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8156029

Daneshyari.com