Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jmmm



# The manifestations of the two-dimensional magnetic correlations in the nanocrystalline ribbons $Fe_{64}Co_{21}B_{15}$



R.S. Iskhakov<sup>a,c</sup>, S.V. Komogortsev<sup>a,c,\*</sup>, A.D. Balaev<sup>a</sup>, A.A. Gavriliuk<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Kirensky Institute of Physics, SB RAS, Krasnoyarsk, Russia

<sup>b</sup> Irkutsk State University, Irkutsk, Russia

<sup>c</sup> Siberian State Technological University, Krasnoyarsk, Russia

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 9 June 2014 Received in revised form 8 August 2014 Available online 28 August 2014

Keywords: Magnetic correlations Nanocrystalline alloys Random magnetic anisotropy Coercivity

#### 1. Introduction

Magnetic behavior in the nanocrystalline alloys shows powerlaw dependence of the coercive force  $H_c$  on the crystallite size  $H_c \sim D^n$  [1–4]. This dependence implies sharp increase in  $H_c$  with the grain size, while the grain growth in coarse-grained materials leads to the decrease of  $H_c$ . The  $H_c \sim D^6$  behavior was first observed in the Finemet alloy [1] and has attracted considerable attention. This behavior was also experimentally found in many other alloys [4–6] and is now incorporated into modern textbooks on magnetism [7–9].

The power-law dependence of the coercive force on the grain size is described by the random magnetic anisotropy (RMA) model [1–4,10–12]. According to this model, the magnetic structure of an amorphous or a nanocrystalline magnetic alloy is an ensemble of the stochastic magnetic domains spread over a large amount of particles with random orientation of the easy magnetization axis [1,13]. Coercivity and susceptibility both depend on the value of the effective anisotropy of these domains, and the latter depends strongly on the domain size. The important result of the RMA model is the power-law dependence of the magnetic anisotropy in the stochastic magnetic domain on the crystallite size:  $\langle K \rangle \sim D^n$ [1-4,14,15]. This dependence causes the power-law grain-size dependence of the coercive force and the ferromagnetic resonance line-width in nanocrystalline alloys [1-6,16,17]. However, the exponent *n* in the empirically established power-law grain size dependence of  $H_c(D)$  sometimes differs from 6. The exponents

\* Corresponding author. E-mail address: komogor@iph.krasn.ru (S.V. Komogortsev).

## ABSTRACT

We report and discuss the grain size dependence of the coercivity and approach magnetization to saturation in the FeCoB ribbons. Instead of expected three-dimensional magnetic correlations for 20  $\mu$ m thick ribbon with grain size about 10 nm, the observed behavior could be attributed to the two-dimensional stochastic magnetic domains and two dimensional magnetization ripple.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

ranging from 1.5 to 6 are found [1-6,18-24]. It is still unclear whether the value of the exponent below 6 should be attributed to the induced uniaxial anisotropy [18] or to the reduced dimensionality of the stochastic magnetic domain (*d*) [19-21] taking into account one of the results of the RMA model n=2d/(4-d) [14,15]. So, experimental studies of the grain-size dependence of the coercivity in nanocrystalline alloys should be performed in order to clarify the problem. In this paper, we present experimental results on the grain size dependence of the coercive force and the approach magnetization to saturation in nanocrystalline alloy.

## 2. Experiment

Initial melt quenched 20 µm thick ribbon  $Fe_{64}Co_{21}B_{15}$  is amorphous. The ribbon was cut into small samples which then where annealed for one hour at different temperatures up to 480 °C in the argon atmosphere. Magnetization curves were measured at room temperature by the vibrating sample magnetometer in the fields up to 800 kA m<sup>-1</sup> applied along the ribbon plane. X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) patterns where measured by the DRON-3 using Cu K $\alpha$  radiation. Phase determination was carried out using the powder diffraction database [25].

## 3. Results and discussion

The XRD pattern for the initial sample  $Fe_{64}Co_{21}B_{15}$  and the sample annealed at 200 °C is the wide halo characteristic of the amorphous state (Fig. 1). Annealing at 300 °C leads to the emergence of the clear

bcc phase Fe–Co reflections on the amorphous halo. Further annealing leads to the appearance of the reflections of the two base centered tetragonal (bct) phases–(Fe,Co)<sub>3</sub>B and (Fe,Co)<sub>2</sub>B. However, these reflections are weak compared to the bcc reflexes, so the amount of these phases in the annealed samples is small relative to the bcc phase.

Full width at the half maximum (FWHM) for the bcc phase reflections decreases with annealing temperature due to grain growth. We estimate the crystallite size (*D*) by the Scherrer formula  $D=0.9 \cdot \lambda/(FWHM \cdot \cos(\theta))$  for the bcc phase using the position (2 $\theta$ ) and FWHM of the most intensive peak (0 1 1). The profile of the peak was fitted by the pseudo-Voigt function to determine the FWHM accurately.

The hysteresis loop shape changes during annealing (inset in Fig. 2). The magnetization measured at 1 T increases from



Fig. 1. X-ray powder diffraction pattern of FeCoB ribbons: as-prepared and annealed during 1 h at different temperatures.



**Fig. 2.** Grain size dependence of the coercivity in the FeCoB ribbons (the black spheres–coercivity  $H_c$ ; the green boxes– $b = (a^2/e) \times \langle H_a \rangle$ ; the solid line– $H_c$ =0.0127 ×  $D^2$ ). The inset show hysteresis loops for the as-prepared (red and moderate  $M_s$ ) and annealed during 1 h at 350 °C (green and maximal  $M_s$ ) and 480 °C (blue and lowest  $M_s$ ) samples. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

182 A m<sup>2</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> for the amorphous alloy to 217 A m<sup>2</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> for the annealed at 300 and 350 °C samples. Further annealing results in the magnetization decrease to 165 A m<sup>2</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> for  $T_{an.}$ =480 °C. The change of the magnetization reflects the evolution of the phase content. The solid solution of bcc FeCo(B) with the highest magnetization is formed at the initial stages of annealing. Then the tetragonal iron borides with lower magnetization are formed.

The coercive force and the grain size of the bcc solid solution phase FeCo(B) increase significantly during annealing (inset Fig. 2). Coercive force  $H_c$  and the grain size D correlate. Allometric fitting by  $H_{d}(D) = aD^{n}$  gives the exponent n = 2.2 + 0.2 that is very close to the 2 in the simple quadratic dependence  $H_c \sim D^2$ . This dependence  $H_c \sim D^2$  fits the data in Fig. 2 very well and we will further discuss it. The observed  $H_c \sim D^2$  dependence is inconsistent both with the  $H_c \sim D^6$  law for the nanocrystalline alloys with the three dimensional nanostructure and the three dimensional stochastic magnetic domains [1–6] and with the widely discussed recently  $H_c \sim D^3$ dependence for alloys with the induced uniaxial magnetic anisotropy [18]. According to [15,19–21,26] the exponent 2 can be attributed to the two-dimensional stochastic magnetic domains formed in the alloy. The two-dimensional stochastic magnetic domains are expected to be found in magnetic films with thickness comparable to the crystallite size. In our case we deal with the 20 µm thick ribbon whose crystallite size is about 10-20 nm which should be expected to form the three dimensional stochastic magnetic domains with the size ranging from 0.1 to  $1 \mu m$  in the amorphous ribbon [13].

The magnetization approaches saturation as  $M(H) = M_s \times$ (1-b/H) (Fig. 3) in the range from 80 to 800 kA m<sup>-1</sup>, where b value increases with the annealing temperature. According the RMA model this dependence implies two dimensional magnetic correlations (two dimensional magnetization ripple) in the sample or two dimensional structural inhomogeneity [13,15,26–31]. We thus have two manifestations of the two dimensional magnetic correlations in the ribbon with the three dimensional nanostructure: (1) the grain size dependence of the coercivity (Fig. 2) and (2) the approach of magnetization to saturation in the ribbon. The dependence  $\Delta M/M_s \sim H^{-1}$  in the thick coatings and rapidly quenched ribbons with the thickness of several tens of microns has been observed by many groups [13,17,32-36]. This may indicate that two dimensional magnetic or structural heterogeneity is the common feature of the nanocrystalline and amorphous ribbons. The key parameter of the RMA model in the high fields is the magnetic correlation length  $R_H = \sqrt{2A/(M_sH)}$ . It is constrained by the condition  $R_c \le R_H \le R_L$ , where  $R_c$  is the structural correlation



Fig. 3. Approach magnetization to saturation in FeCoB ribbons.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8156769

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8156769

Daneshyari.com