



# Partitioning evapotranspiration in a temperate grassland ecosystem: Numerical modeling with isotopic tracers



Pei Wang<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Tsutomu Yamanaka<sup>c</sup>, Xiao-Yan Li<sup>a,b</sup>, Zhongwang Wei<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> State Key Laboratory of Earth Surface Processes and Resource Ecology, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

<sup>b</sup> College of Resources Science and Technology, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

<sup>c</sup> Faculty of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba 305-8577, Japan

<sup>d</sup> Department of Natural Environmental Studies, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8568, Japan

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 13 January 2014

Received in revised form 8 April 2015

Accepted 11 April 2015

Available online 23 April 2015

### Keywords:

Evapotranspiration

Soil evaporation

Plant transpiration

Isotopic tracers

Iso-SPAC model

## ABSTRACT

To partition evapotranspiration (ET) into soil evaporation ( $E$ ) and vegetation transpiration ( $T$ ), a new numerical Iso-SPAC (coupled heat, water with isotopic tracer in soil–plant–atmosphere–continuum) model was developed and applied to a temperate-grassland ecosystem in central Japan. Several models of varying complexity have been tested with the aim of obtaining the close to the true value for the isotope composition of leaf water and transpiration flux. The agreement between model predictions and observations demonstrated that the Iso-SPAC model with a steady-state assumption for transpiration flux can reproduce seasonal variations of all the surface energy balance components as well as isotope data (canopy foliage and ET flux). Uncertainties/errors for  $T/ET$  and each end members in assigned model parameters and measured input variables were discussed quantitatively. The  $T/ET$  increased with grass growth, and the sharp reduction caused by clear cutting was well reflected. The transpiration fraction ranged from 0.02 to 0.99 during growing seasons, and the mean value was 0.75 with a standard deviation of 0.24. Results indicate that SPAC model is a promising tool for integrating the isotopic fractionation processes with separate  $E$  and  $T$  in multispecies terrestrial ecosystems and has the advantage of enabling long-term assessment of ET partitioning as well as isotopic enrichment output at soil–plant–atmosphere interface. Our study reemphasize that isotope tracer approach is useful for evaluating quantitatively the relationships among hydrological components.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Evapotranspiration (ET) emphasizes the combined flux associated with two different pathways (soil evaporation ( $E$ ) and plant transpiration ( $T$ )) of water vaporization in terrestrial ecosystems (Katul et al., 2012). It is important to improve our knowledge of its components, as they provide abundant information about water and heat transfer through the whole soil–plant–atmosphere continuum. Most research has focused on ET as an aggregated process, but more recently, emphasis has been placed on the importance of distinguishing between and quantifying the two major components of ET. This partitioning is one of the most significant ecophysiological challenges and has important implications not only for water budget but also for understanding feedback between vegetation

dynamics and water as well as biogeochemical cycles (Newman et al., 2006).

Stable water isotopes are natural tracers of ecosystem processes and can be used for partitioning at the ecosystem level. This concept has been applied by many studies on diverse regions worldwide (Moreira et al., 1997; Yakir and Sternberg, 2000; Williams et al., 2004; Yepez et al., 2003, 2005; Tsujimura et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2010; Good et al., 2014). The  $T/ET$  can be estimated by

$$\frac{T}{ET} = \frac{\delta_{ET} - \delta_E}{\delta_T - \delta_E} \quad (1)$$

where  $\delta$  is the isotope composition ( $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  or  $\delta\text{D}$ ), and the subscripts ET,  $E$  and  $T$  denote the evapotranspiration, evaporation and transpiration fluxes, respectively. On the other hand, the SPAC model, which can estimate/predict evaporation and transpiration flux separately (e.g., Shuttleworth and Wallace, 1985; Kustas and Norman, 2000; Brenner and Incoll, 1997; Reynolds et al., 2000; Wang and Yamanaka, 2014), is another useful tool. In particular, SPAC models involving isotopic tracers (i.e., Iso-SPAC models) are expected to

\* Corresponding author at: College of Resources Science and Technology, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China. Tel.: +86 15210623739.

E-mail address: [peiwang@bnu.edu.cn](mailto:peiwang@bnu.edu.cn) (P. Wang).

**Notation**

$C$	Molar concentration of water, equal to $55.5 \times 10^3 \text{ mol m}^{-3}$
$C_p$	Heat capacity of dry air, $\text{J kg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$
$D$	Diffusivity of $^{18}\text{O}\text{-H}_2\text{O}$ or $\text{HD}^{16}\text{O}$ in water, $\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$
$E$	Soil evaporation rate, $\text{mm h}^{-1}$
$ET$	Evapotranspiration rate, $\text{mm h}^{-1}$
$f$	Fraction of water at evaporating site to bulk leaf water, dimensionless
$G$	Ground heat flux density, $\text{W m}^{-2}$
$g_{st}$	Leaf-scale stomatal conductance, $\text{mm s}^{-1}$
$H$	Sensible heat flux density, $\text{W m}^{-2}$
$h^*$	Relative humidity of the ambient air reference to $T_L$ or $T_G$ , %
$h_a$	Relative humidity at the reference level, %
$LAI$	Leaf area index, $\text{m}^{-2} \text{m}^{-2}$
$L_d$	Downward long-wave radiation, $\text{W m}^{-2}$
$L_{eff}$	Scaled effective “radial” length in Péclet number, m
$IET$	Latent heat flux, $\text{W m}^{-2}$
$P$	Air pressure at the reference level, hPa
$\rho$	Péclet number, dimensionless
$q_a$	Specific humidity at reference height, $\text{kg kg}^{-1}$
$r_a$	Aerodynamic resistance above the vegetation canopy, $\text{s m}^{-1}$
$r_{aV}$	Aerodynamic resistance in the canopy air layer, $\text{s m}^{-1}$
$r_b$	Canopy-scale boundary layer resistance, $\text{s m}^{-1}$
$r_c$	Canopy-scale stomata resistance, $\text{s m}^{-1}$
$R_n$	Net solar radiation, $\text{W m}^{-2}$
$r_{ss}$	Soil resistance, $\text{s m}^{-1}$ or $\text{m}^2 \text{s mol}^{-1}$
$r_{st}$	Leaf-scale stomata resistance, $\text{s m}^{-1}$
$r_{st,min}$	Minimum stomata resistance, $\text{s m}^{-1}$
$r_{st,max}$	Maximum stomata resistance, $\text{s m}^{-1}$
$r_t$	Canopy-scale total resistance to water vapor and heat from canopy surface to reference height, $\text{s m}^{-1}$
$S_d$	Downward short-wave radiation, $\text{W m}^{-2}$
$S_j$	Sensitivity coefficient, dimensionless
$T$	Plant transpiration rate, $\text{mm h}^{-1}$
$T_a$	Air temperature at the reference level, K
$T_G$	Ground soil temperature, K
$T_L$	Leaf canopy temperature, K
$T_{soil}$	Soil surface temperature at a depth $Z_{soil}$ (m), $^{\circ}\text{C}$
$u$	Wind speed at the reference level, $\text{m s}^{-1}$
$u_c$	Wind speed inside the canopy, $\text{m s}^{-1}$
$u_v$	Wind speed at vegetation height, $\text{m s}^{-1}$
$W$	Leaf water content (mass of water per unit ground area at the canopy scale), $\text{kg m}^{-2}$
$w_i$	Mole fraction of (light) water vapor in the intercellular spaces, $\text{mol mol}^{-1}$
$Z_h$	The height of temperature and humidity measurement, m
$Z_m$	The height of wind speed measurement, m
$Z_{soil}$	Depth of ground heat flux measurement, m
$Z_v$	Vegetation height, m
$\alpha$	Surface albedo, dimensionless
$\alpha^+$	Equilibrium fractionation factor for water (>1), dimensionless
$\alpha_G$	Albedo of ground surface, dimensionless
$\alpha_G^+$	Equilibrium fractionation factor for water (>1) at ground surface temperature, dimensionless
$\alpha_k$	Kinetic fractionation factor for water vapor (>1), dimensionless

$\alpha_{kG}$	Kinetic fractionation factor for water vapor (>1) at ground surface temperature, dimensionless
$\alpha_{kL}$	Kinetic fractionation factor for water vapor (>1) at leaf temperature, dimensionless
$\alpha_L^+$	Equilibrium fractionation factor for water (>1) at leaf temperature, dimensionless
$\alpha_V$	Albedo of vegetation canopy, dimensionless
$\delta$	Isotope composition of sample water relative to a standard, ‰
$\delta D$	Stable isotope composition of hydrogen in water, ‰
$\delta^{18}\text{O}$	Stable isotope composition of oxygen in water, ‰
$\delta_E$	$\delta$ of evaporation flux, ‰
$\delta_{ET}$	$\delta$ of evapotranspiration flux, ‰
$\delta_{L,b}$	$\delta$ of bulk leaf water, ‰
$\delta_{L,bs}$	Steady state value of $\delta_{L,b}$ , ‰
$\delta_{L,e}$	$\delta$ at evaporative site in leaf, ‰
$\delta_{L,es}$	Steady state values of $\delta_{L,e}$ , ‰
$\delta_S$	$\delta$ of liquid soil water, ‰
$\delta_T$	$\delta$ of plant transpiration flux, ‰
$\delta_V$	$\delta$ of water vapor, ‰
$\delta_X$	$\delta$ of xylem water, ‰
$\epsilon^+$	Isotopic equilibrium fractionation factor between liquid water and vapor, ‰
$\epsilon_k$	Isotopic kinetic fractionation factor between liquid water and vapor, ‰
$\theta$	Volumetric soil water content, $\text{m}^{-3} \text{m}^{-3}$
$\lambda_{ss}$	Thermal conductivity of surface soil, $\text{W m}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$
$\rho_a$	Density of moisture air, $\text{kg m}^{-3}$

be more reliable for this purpose (e.g., Braud et al., 1995, 2005a,b; Riley et al., 2002).

However, some previous studies have raised doubt about the steady-state assumption (SSA) that the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  and  $\delta D$  of transpiration flux ( $\delta_T$ ) is assumed to equal to that of xylem water ( $\delta_X$ ) (Yepez et al., 2005; Lai et al., 2006; Welp et al., 2008) when using isotope approaches. Although several models of isotopic enrichment of leaf water with the SSA or without (Cuntz et al., 2007; Dongmann et al., 1974; Farquhar and Cernusak, 2005; Ogée et al., 2007) have been proposed, it remains unclear which one is the best under field conditions. On the other hand, the SPAC model is a powerful tool for integrating the isotopic fractionation processes with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  exchange in terrestrial ecosystems and has the advantage of enabling long-term assessment of ET partitioning as well as isotopic enrichment output at the plant-atmosphere interface. Xiao et al. (2010) developed a large leaf isotopic model (SiLSM) to investigate the controlling factors of the isotopic exchange of  $^{18}\text{O}\text{-H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{C}^{18}\text{OO}$  between the agroecosystem and the atmosphere; however, the contribution from the soil evaporation was neglected. Numerous land surface models with isotopic tracers have been developed (e.g., Braud et al., 2005a,b,b; Riley et al., 2002; Yoshimura et al., 2006). However, how to integrate and simulate the dynamic variation in the isotope composition of plant transpiration and soil evaporation remains challenge. Few Iso-SPAC models have been developed or sufficiently validated by appropriate isotopic observation. In general, the isotopic variation in SPAC models should be well understood based on field observation and modeled in a sophisticated manner.

The transpiration fraction ( $T/ET$ ), which is indicative of ET partitioning, reflects the influence of vegetation on the hydrological cycle and provides an important insight into biological feedbacks for predicting climate changes (Wang et al., 2014). The objectives of the present study were as follows: (1) to couple the isotopic fractionation process and parameterization schemes in a SPAC

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/81589>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/81589>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)