Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Magnetic Resonance Imaging

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/mri

Original contribution

# Effect of echo time and T<sub>2</sub>-weighting on GRASE-based T<sub>1</sub>w/T<sub>2</sub>w ratio measurements at 3T

Md Nasir Uddin<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Teresa D. Figley<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Chase R. Figley<sup>a,b,c,d,e,f,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Radiology, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada

<sup>b</sup> Division of Diagnostic Imaging, Health Sciences Centre, Winnipeg, MB, Canada

<sup>c</sup> Neuroscience Research Program, Kleysen Institute for Advanced Medicine, Winnipeg, MB, Canada

<sup>d</sup> Department of Physiology and Pathophysiology, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada

<sup>e</sup> Biomedical Engineering Graduate Program, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada

f Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA

## ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Echo time GRASE Human brain MRI Myelin water imaging T<sub>1</sub>w/T<sub>2</sub>w T<sub>2</sub>-weighting

# ABSTRACT

Tissue contrast can be enhanced by dividing  $T_1$ -weighted ( $T_1w$ ) images by  $T_2$ -weighted ( $T_2w$ ) images to map the so-called  $T_1w/T_2w$  ratio, which has become an increasingly popular technique for quantifying brain tissue changes associated with neurodevelopment, aging, and a variety of neurodegenerative diseases. However, although it is self-evident that  $T_1w/T_2w$  ratios increase with the amount of  $T_2$ -weighting in the  $T_2w$  image – which is determined by the echo time (TE), all else being equal - longer TEs also reduce the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of the  $T_2w$  images, and it is not clear how these SNR characteristics affect the reliability of  $T_1w/T_2w$  measurements. Therefore, the current study systematically investigated how different amounts of T<sub>2</sub>-weighting affected  $T_1w/T_2w$  measurements in order to determine whether there is an optimal amount of  $T_2$ -weighting.  $T_1w$ images were acquired from 10 neurologically healthy adults using a 3D turbo field echo (TFE) sequence, and a series of T2-weighted images were extracted from a multi-echo 3D combined gradient- and spin-echo (GRASE) sequence. Analyses of 12 anatomically defined brain regions revealed that both the mean and standard deviation of the  $T_1w/T_2w$  measurements increased exponentially with TE of the  $T_2w$  images, and that  $T_2w$  images with TE  $\approx$  120–160 ms yielded the most consistent/reproducible contrast between white matter ROIs and the wholebrain  $T_1w/T_2w$  signal. Furthermore, comparisons between  $T_1w/T_2w$  measurements and multi-component  $T_2$ relaxation myelin water fractions (MWFs) in the same brain regions revealed that  $T_2w$  images with TE  $\geq 160$  ms drastically reduced the degree of correlation between T1w/T2w measurements and MWF. Overall, these findings suggest that: 1) there is a substantial trade-off between increased  $T_1w/T_2w$  contrast (based on longer TEs for the  $T_2w$  images) and the reliability of quantitative  $T_1w/T_2w$  signals; and 2) the optimal TE for  $T_2w$  GRASE scans is between 120 ms and 160 ms for calculating  $T_1w/T_2w$  ratios.

#### 1. Introduction

One of the greatest strengths of MRI stems from the fact that images can be sensitized to different aspects of tissue microstructure, and as a result, there are several contrasts that can be used to non-invasively probe microstructural integrity throughout the brain and spinal cord [1]. Conventional T<sub>1</sub>-weighted (T<sub>1</sub>w) imaging and T<sub>2</sub>-weighted (T<sub>2</sub>w) imaging have been widely used in clinical exams due to their sensitivity to pathological processes. For example, T<sub>1</sub>w imaging (with or without gadolinium contrast) and T<sub>2</sub>w imaging (with or without fluid attenuated inversion recovery; FLAIR) are the most common tools for assessing gray matter (GM) atrophy and white matter (WM) lesions in multiple sclerosis, optic neuritis, neuromyelitis optica and other demyelinating disorders [2–9]. However, although they provide tremendous clinical value,  $T_1w$  and  $T_2w$  images are often evaluated qualitatively rather than quantitatively [3,5], and their sensitivity and specificity to particular aspects of tissue microstructure is limited [10]. Consequently, there has been great interest in developing 'advanced' MRI approaches that are more sensitive and specific to changes in brain tissue microstructure, including diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) [11,12], high angular resolution diffusion imaging (HARDI) [13], magnetization transfer imaging (MTI) [14], multi-component  $T_2$ -relaxation myelin water imaging (MWI) [15], and multi-component driven equilibrium single pulse observation of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  (mcDESPOT) [16]. However, the acquisition and analyses of such datasets are often time-consuming and technically challenging [see [17,18] for recent reviews], which are

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mri.2018.04.012 Received 6 November 2017; Received in revised form 17 April 2018; Accepted 18 April 2018 0730-725X/ © 2018 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.





<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Department of Radiology, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg Health Sciences Centre, 820 Sherbrook Street, Room MS-793, Winnipeg, MB, Canada R3A 1R9. E-mail address: chase.figley@umanitoba.ca (C.R. Figley).

significant barriers for clinicians and novice researchers.

Recently, however, a novel (albeit simple) approach has been proposed [19], where data from  $T_1w$  and  $T_2w$  images are combined to assess tissue microstructure via  $T_1w/T_2w$  ratio maps. This method was originally proposed as a measure for quantifying intra-cortical myelin content [19–22], but has also recently evolved to include various calibrated approaches and whole brain applications [23–25].

In the  $T_1w/T_2w$  method,  $T_1w$  images (with high WM signal intensities, relative to GM or cerebrospinal fluid, CSF) are divided by  $T_2w$ images (with low WM signal intensities, relative to GM or CSF) in order to increase the amount of tissue contrast and apparent WM signal compared to  $T_1w$  or  $T_2w$  values in isolation. Similarly, since both myelin content and inflammation/swelling affect  $T_1w$  and  $T_2w$  signals in opposing directions (with decreased myelin and/or increased tissue swelling commonly observed as  $T_1$ -hypointense and  $T_2$ -hyperintense brain lesions), it is thought that whole-brain  $T_1w/T_2w$  ratio maps could provide a sensitive (and potentially quantitative) measure that is related to myelination and microstructural integrity of WM and other subcortical structures among neonates [26], multiple sclerosis patients [23,27], schizophrenia patients [28,29], bipolar disorder patients [30], and that it might also serve as a biomarker for amyloid beta [31].

Indeed, the T<sub>1</sub>w/T<sub>2</sub>w approach has several features that make it attractive for both research and clinical applications. First, it does not rely on high magnetic field strength and conventional T<sub>1</sub>w and T<sub>2</sub>w pulse sequences are ubiquitous, so data can be acquired on practically any MRI system. Second, the required scan times are relatively short and T1w and T2w images are almost always collected for other clinical or research purposes, so in most cases overall scan/experiment times need not be increased. Third, the image post-processing is relatively fast and simple compared to other quantitative WM imaging methods (e.g., diffusion or myelin water imaging approaches), and the resulting maps can be used for either subjective clinical evaluations or more detailed quantitative analyses. Fourth, although comparisons with gold-standard MWI methods have shown that  $T_1w/T_2w$  ratios are not specific to myelin concentration in subcortical structures [32,33], test-retest studies have demonstrated that T1w/T2w measurements are very repeatable/reliable [32] as a general measure of tissue microstructure. Finally, and partially to address concerns about specificity to myelin concentration, our group has recently shown that a multi-echo 3D GRASE sequence can be used instead of fast spin echo (FSE) or other sequences for T<sub>2</sub>w image acquisition in order to calculate T<sub>1</sub>w/T<sub>2</sub>w ratios - thereby allowing whole-brain T1w/T2w mapping and MWI based on the same  $T_2w$  data [33].

However, several previous studies have calculated T<sub>1</sub>w/T<sub>2</sub>w ratios using T<sub>2</sub>w images with different echo times - using either conventional FSE sequences [23,25,26,28,29,31] or stimulated echo sequences such as sampling perfection with application-optimized contrast with different flip-angle evolutions (SPACE) [19,21,24,32]. For conventional FSE sequences,  $T_1w/T_2w$  ratios have been calculated using  $T_2w$  images with TE = 68 ms [29], 80 ms [25,26,28], 100 ms [25]; 101 ms [31,33], 140 ms [23]. Nonetheless, although T<sub>1</sub>w/T<sub>2</sub>w values will depend on the degree of T1- and T2-weighting in the composite images, to the best of our knowledge, no previous studies have systematically examined how these parameters affect the resulting  $T_1w/T_2w$  ratio maps – especially in regard to multi-echo GRASE data [33], where images are produced with increasing amounts of T<sub>2</sub>-weighting. Therefore, the goals of the current study were to: 1) acquire a "standard"  $T_1$  w image and a series of  $T_2$  w images with different echo times in order to empirically determine how the amount of T<sub>2</sub>-weighting affects T<sub>1</sub>w/T<sub>2</sub>w ratios; and 2) determine the optimal T<sub>2</sub>-weighting (or range of T<sub>2</sub>-weightings) for calculating GRASE-based T<sub>1</sub>w/T<sub>2</sub>w ratios in subcortical brain structures.

#### 2. Methods

#### 2.1. Study participants

Ten neurologically healthy volunteers (5 male; 5 female) were recruited from the Charles Village and Roland Park communities in Baltimore, Maryland, USA. The study was approved by the Johns Hopkins University Institutional Review Board, and all volunteers provided written informed consent prior to enrollment. Participants were verbally screened to ensure that none had a history of neurological injury/disease, psychiatric illness, or substance abuse (including alcohol or tobacco), and all T<sub>1</sub>w images were reviewed by a boardcertified radiologist to confirm the absence of structural abnormalities or other incidental pathological findings. The age of participants spanned a relatively broad range (males 27.0  $\pm$  6.6 years; females 29.0  $\pm$  10.9 years), and were not significantly different between males and females (p = 0.74).

#### 2.2. Data acquisition

Neuroimaging data were acquired using a whole-body 3 T Philips Achieva MRI system equipped with a 32-channel SENSE head coil (*Philips Healthcare, Best, The Netherlands*). High-resolution T<sub>1</sub>weighted images were acquired using a 3D Turbo Field Echo (TFE) sequence: Inversion Time [TI] = 700.31 ms; Repetition Time [TR] = 7.93 ms; Echo Time [TE] = 3.66 ms; Flip Angle = 8°; SENSE Factor = 2.4; Field Of View [FOV] = 212 mm × 172 mm × 150 mm; Spatial Resolution = 1.00 mm × 1.00 mm × 1.00 mm; Scan Duration = 4.26 min.

Images with varying degrees of T<sub>2</sub>-weighting were then acquired using a previously reported [15] whole-brain, multi-echo, 3D combined gradient and spin echo (GRASE) sequence: TR = 1500 ms; Echo Train Length [ETL] = 32; Echo Spacing [ESP] = 10 ms; First Echo Time [TE1] = 10 ms; Flip Angles = 90° (excitation) and 180° (refocusing); EPI Factor = 3; SENSE Factor = 4.0; FOV = 212 mm  $\times$  212 mm  $\times$  96 mm; Spatial Resolution = 0.95 mm  $\times$  0.95 mm  $\times$  3.00 mm; Scan Duration = 7.29 min.

## 2.3. Image processing

Data analyses were performed using a combination of image processing software packages, including: SPM8 (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac. uk/spm/software/spm8/, Wellcome Trust Centre for Neuroimaging, London, UK), MRIStudio (https://www.mristudio.org/, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA), and custom MATLAB scripts.

Before any statistical analyses, T<sub>1</sub>w and T<sub>2</sub>w images went through a comprehensive preprocessing pipeline (Fig. 1). For each participant, the 3D GRASE images (i.e., T2w images acquired with different echo times) were coregistered with the T<sub>1</sub>w image and resliced to 1 mm<sup>3</sup> resolution using the "resize\_img.m" script (http://www0.cs.ucl.ac.uk/staff/G. Ridgway/vbm/resize img.m, University College London, UK). Skull stripping was then performed using a previously-reported two-step procedure [34], in which the "New Segment Tool" in SPM8 was used to create a brain mask from the resliced T<sub>1</sub>w image, and these images were then manually refined to remove any remaining skull/scalp using the ROIEditor toolbox in MRIStudio. The coregistered and skull-stripped T<sub>1</sub>w anatomical images for each participant were then spatially normalized to the "JHU\_MNI\_SS\_T1\_ss" template [35] in Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space [36]. This was implemented using the DiffeoMap toolbox in MRIStudio to carry out a 12-parameter affine (linear) transformation, followed by high-dimensional, non-linear warping based on the large deformation diffeomorphic metric mapping (LDDMM) algorithm [37] with cascading elasticity (i.e.,  $\alpha = 0.01$ ,  $\alpha = 0.005$ , and  $\alpha = 0.002$ ) to allow increasingly nonlinear deformations. The T<sub>1</sub>w and T<sub>2</sub>w images for each volunteer were then warped to

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8159758

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8159758

Daneshyari.com