



## Gamow–Teller transitions and neutron–proton-pair transfer reactions

P. Van Isacker<sup>a,\*</sup>, A.O. Macchiavelli<sup>b</sup><sup>a</sup> Grand Accélérateur National d'Ions Lourds, CEA/DRF-CNRS/IN2P3, Bvd Henri Becquerel, F-14076 Caen, France<sup>b</sup> Nuclear Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 1 February 2018

Accepted 12 March 2018

Available online 14 March 2018

Editor: J.-P. Blaizot

## ABSTRACT

We propose a schematic model of nucleons moving in spin-orbit partner levels,  $j = l \pm \frac{1}{2}$ , to explain Gamow–Teller and two-nucleon transfer data in  $N = Z$  nuclei above  $^{40}\text{Ca}$ . Use of the  $LS$  coupling scheme provides a more transparent approach to interpret the structure and reaction data. We apply the model to the analysis of charge-exchange,  $^{42}\text{Ca}(^3\text{He,t})^{42}\text{Sc}$ , and np-transfer,  $^{40}\text{Ca}(^3\text{He,p})^{42}\text{Sc}$ , reactions data to define the elementary modes of excitation in terms of both isovector and isoscalar pairs, whose properties can be determined by adjusting the parameters of the model (spin-orbit splitting, isovector pairing strength and quadrupole matrix element) to the available data. The overall agreement with experiment suggests that the approach captures the main physics ingredients and provides the basis for a boson approximation that can be extended to heavier nuclei. Our analysis also reveals that the  $SU(4)$ -symmetry limit is not realized in  $^{42}\text{Sc}$ .

© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). Funded by SCOAP<sup>3</sup>.

In two recent papers Fujita et al. [1,2] report on results of ( $^3\text{He,t}$ ) charge-exchange experiments that determine Gamow–Teller (GT) strength in nuclei with mass numbers  $A = 42, 46, 50$  and 54. They observe a concentration of most of the GT strength in the lowest  $1^+$  state at 0.611 MeV in the  $^{42}\text{Ca} \rightarrow ^{42}\text{Sc}$  reaction and, as  $A$  increases, a migration of this strength to higher energies. Both features can be reproduced either by a shell-model calculation with a realistic interaction in the  $pf$  shell or by calculations in the quasi-particle random phase approximation that include an isoscalar (or spin-triplet) interaction. The migration of the strength towards higher energies with  $A$  can be understood intuitively as a result of the increasing importance of the  $\nu 0f_{7/2} \rightarrow \pi 0f_{5/2}$  component of the GT transition. The low-energy strength in  $^{42}\text{Sc}$  is more difficult to fathom and is attributed to the isoscalar component of the residual nuclear interaction. As a result, the authors [1,2] claim the  $1_1^+$  level in  $^{42}\text{Sc}$  to be a “low-energy super GT state”, and its existence is attributed to the restoration of Wigner’s  $SU(4)$  symmetry [3].

Relevant to these studies are the results of earlier measurements of two-nucleon transfer using the  $^{40}\text{Ca}(^3\text{He,p})^{42}\text{Sc}$  reaction [4,5]. The coherent properties of the transfer mechanism of the neutron–proton (np) pair, in both isospins channels, provide a complementary tool to probe the wave functions of the low-lying  $0^+$  and  $1^+$  levels in  $^{42}\text{Sc}$ . The comparable cross-sections to

these states appear, *a priori*, at odds with the “super GT” conjecture above.

In this letter we propose an explanation of these observations, assuming that the nucleons occupy two orbitals with radial quantum number  $n$ , orbital angular momentum  $l$  and total angular momentum  $j = l \pm \frac{1}{2}$ . This is the analogue of a single- $j$  approximation, for example the  $0f_{7/2}$  model [6], but for an  $l$  orbital. Since the properties of the nuclear interaction are more transparent in  $LS$  coupling, we analyze the problem in this basis instead of the more usual  $jj$  coupling. Results are of course independent of the chosen basis and generally intermediate between the two bases [7]. Two nucleons with isospin projection  $T_z = \pm 1$ , angular momentum  $J = 0$  and isospin  $T = 1$  have two possible components with  $(LS) = (00)$  and  $(11)$ , where  $L$  refers to the orbital angular momentum of the two nucleons and  $S$  to their spin. Three states with  $(JT) = (10)$  occur for a neutron–proton pair and they are admixtures of  $(LS) = (01)$ ,  $(10)$  and  $(21)$ . One state with  $(JT) = (11)$  exists for  $T_z = 0$  and it has  $(LS) = (11)$ . These are the only states that enter into the discussion of the GT strength and np transfer.

The character of the eigenstates of a nuclear Hamiltonian in this basis is first of all determined by the one-body spin-orbit term

$$\hat{H}_{so} = \epsilon_- \hat{n}_- + \epsilon_+ \hat{n}_+ = \Delta \epsilon \frac{1}{2} (\hat{n}_- - \hat{n}_+) + \bar{\epsilon} \hat{n}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\hat{n}$  is the nucleon-number operator,  $\hat{n}_\pm$  are the nucleon-number operators for the two orbitals  $j = l \pm \frac{1}{2}$  with single-particle energies  $\epsilon_\pm$ ,  $\Delta \epsilon \equiv \epsilon_- - \epsilon_+$  and  $\bar{\epsilon} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_- + \epsilon_+)$ . The operator  $\hat{H}_{so}$

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [isacker@ganil.fr](mailto:isacker@ganil.fr) (P. Van Isacker).

is a non-diagonal one-body operator in the  $(LSJT)$  basis with the following matrices:

- for  $(JT) = (01)$  in the basis  $(LSJT) = (0001)$  and  $(1101)$ :

$$2\bar{\epsilon} + \frac{\Delta\epsilon}{2l+1} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & \sqrt{4l(l+1)} \\ \sqrt{4l(l+1)} & 1 \end{bmatrix}; \quad (2)$$

- for  $(JT) = (11)$  in the basis  $(LSJT) = (1111)$ :

$$2\bar{\epsilon}; \quad (3)$$

- for  $(JT) = (10)$  in the basis  $(LSJT) = (0110)$ ,  $(1010)$  and  $(2110)$ :

$$2\bar{\epsilon} + \frac{\Delta\epsilon}{2l+1} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -\sqrt{\frac{4l(l+1)}{3}} & 0 \\ -\sqrt{\frac{4l(l+1)}{3}} & -1 & \sqrt{\frac{2(2l-1)(2l+3)}{3}} \\ 0 & \sqrt{\frac{2(2l-1)(2l+3)}{3}} & 2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

For each  $(JT)$  a complete set  $(LSJT)$  is given and therefore the diagonalization of the above matrices leads to the correct eigenvalues  $2\bar{\epsilon}_-$ ,  $\bar{\epsilon}_- + \bar{\epsilon}_+$  and/or  $2\bar{\epsilon}_+$ . Matrices for different  $(JT)$  can be constructed likewise but the ones given in Eqs. (2) to (4) suffice for the applications considered below.

To  $\hat{H}_{so}$  must be added contributions from the two-body interaction  $\hat{V}$ , which can have diagonal matrix elements  $V_{LSJT} \equiv \langle LSJT | \hat{V} | LSJT \rangle$  as well as off-diagonal ones  $\langle LSJT | \hat{V} | L'S'JT \rangle$ , where it is assumed that the interaction is invariant under rotations in physical and isospin space and therefore conserves  $J$  and  $T$ .

The structure of the eigenstates is mostly determined by the splitting  $\Delta\epsilon$ , to which the interactions  $V_{LSJT}$  provide a correction. Off-diagonal matrix elements due to spin-dependent or tensor forces are small compared to those induced by  $\hat{H}_{so}$  and can be neglected in this context,  $\langle LSJT | \hat{V} | L'S'JT \rangle \approx 0$  if  $(LS) \neq (L'S')$ . Furthermore, the nuclear interaction in spatially anti-symmetric states ( $L$  odd) is weak,  $V_{1111} \approx V_{1010} \approx 0$ . These approximations follow from the short-range attractive nature of the residual nuclear interaction and are exactly satisfied by a delta interaction [8]. They lead to a description of structural properties in terms of three essential quantities: the spin-orbit splitting  $\Delta\epsilon$ , and the isoscalar and isovector pairing strengths  $V_{0110}$  and  $V_{0001}$ , which we denote from now on as  $g_0$  and  $g_1$ , respectively. There is an additional dependence on the quadrupole matrix element  $V_{2110}$ , which appears in the  $(JT) = (10)$  matrix, but this dependence is weak and the value of  $V_{2110}$  can be estimated from data (see below).

To calculate various properties in the  $LSJT$  basis, we consider a general one-body operator with definite tensor character  $\lambda_l$  under  $SO_L(3)$ ,  $\lambda_s$  under  $SO_S(3)$ , coupled to total  $\lambda_j$ , and  $\lambda_t$  under  $SO_T(3)$ . It has the matrix elements

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle l^2 LSJT || \sum_i [\hat{t}_i^{(\lambda_l)} \times \hat{t}_i^{(\lambda_s)}]^{(\lambda_j)} \hat{t}_i^{(\lambda_t)} || l^2 L'S'JT' \rangle \\ &= -2[\lambda_j][L][S][J][T][L'][S'][J'][T'] \langle l || \hat{t}^{(\lambda_l)} || l \rangle \langle \frac{1}{2} || \hat{t}^{(\lambda_s)} || \frac{1}{2} \rangle \\ & \times \langle \frac{1}{2} || \hat{t}^{(\lambda_t)} || \frac{1}{2} \rangle (-)^{\lambda_l + \lambda_s + \lambda_t} \begin{Bmatrix} L & S & J \\ L' & S' & J' \\ \lambda_l & \lambda_s & \lambda_j \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} L & L' & \lambda_l \\ l & l & l \end{Bmatrix} \\ & \times \begin{Bmatrix} S & S' & \lambda_s \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} T & T' & \lambda_t \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{Bmatrix}, \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

where the symbols in curly brackets are  $6j$ - and  $9j$ -coefficients [8] and with  $[x] \equiv \sqrt{2x+1}$ . The triple bars on the left-hand side indicate that the matrix element is reduced in  $J$  and  $T$  while the double-barred matrix elements on the right-hand side are singly reduced in  $L$ ,  $S$  or  $T$ . With this expression one can calculate matrix elements of the M1 operator ( $\lambda_j = 1$ ), which has spin  $(\lambda_l, \lambda_s) = (0, 1)$ , orbital  $(\lambda_l, \lambda_s) = (1, 0)$  and tensor  $(\lambda_l, \lambda_s) = (1, 1)$  parts of both isoscalar ( $\lambda_t = 0$ ) and isovector ( $\lambda_t = 1$ ) character. For the GT operator one takes  $(\lambda_l, \lambda_s, \lambda_j, \lambda_t) = (0, 1, 1, 1)$ . One finds three allowed GT transitions, namely  $(LS) = (00) \rightarrow (01)$ ,  $(11) \rightarrow (10)$  and  $(11) \rightarrow (11)$ . The strengths are independent of the orbital angular momentum  $l$  with  $JT$ -reduced matrix elements given by  $-\sqrt{18}$ ,  $\sqrt{6}$  and  $-\sqrt{24}$ , respectively.

To obtain predictions for the np-transfer strengths, we treat the ground state of  $^{40}\text{Ca}$  as the vacuum  $|0\rangle$  and write the wave functions of the  $0_i^+$  and  $1_i^+$  states in  $^{42}\text{Sc}$  as

$$\begin{aligned} |^{42}\text{Sc}(0_i^+)\rangle &= \alpha_{00}^i |l^2 0001\rangle + \alpha_{11}^i |l^2 1101\rangle, \\ |^{42}\text{Sc}(1_i^+)\rangle &= \alpha_{01}^i |l^2 0110\rangle + \alpha_{10}^i |l^2 1010\rangle + \alpha_{21}^i |l^2 2110\rangle, \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

with coefficients  $\alpha_{LS}^i$  obtained from the diagonalization of the matrices (2) and (4). In  $LS$  coupling the  $L = 0$  transfer strengths follow naturally from

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle ^{42}\text{Sc}(0_i^+) || A_{L=0, S=J=0, T=1}^\dagger || ^{40}\text{Ca}(0_1^+) \rangle|^2 = (\alpha_{00}^i)^2, \\ & |\langle ^{42}\text{Sc}(1_i^+) || A_{L=0, S=J=1, T=0}^\dagger || ^{40}\text{Ca}(0_1^+) \rangle|^2 = 3(\alpha_{01}^i)^2, \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

where  $A_{LSJT}^\dagger$  is a two-nucleon creation operator.

We apply the above schematic model to the properties of  $A = 42$  nuclei. We fix the spin-orbit splitting to its value taken in Refs. [1,2],  $\Delta\epsilon = 6$  MeV, and vary the pairing strengths  $g_0$  and  $g_1$ . We take as a first estimate equal isoscalar and isovector pairing strengths, and allow for a variation of 15% of the isoscalar strength, that is, we consider  $g_0 = g_1/x$  with  $x$  between 0.85 and 1.15, indicated by shaded bands around the ‘canonical’ estimate  $g_0 = g_1$ . In this way the sensitivity of the various properties to the ratio of isoscalar-to-isovector pairing strengths is highlighted. The quadrupole matrix element  $V_{2110} \approx V_{2021}$  is fixed such that the excitation energy of the  $2_1^+$  level in  $^{42}\text{Ca}$  (1.525 MeV) is reproduced. Essentially the same results are obtained if  $V_{2110}$  is varied within a wide range.

Results are summarized in Fig. 1. Panel (a) shows the excitation energies of levels in  $^{42}\text{Sc}$  (relative to the  $0^+$  level) as a function of the pairing strengths. The  $1_1^+$  level is at an essentially constant energy for  $g_0 = g_1$  but its energy is very sensitive to the ratio of the two pairing strengths. The near-degeneracy of the  $(JT) = (01)$  and  $(10)$  states, therefore, cannot be used as an indication of Wigner’s  $SU(4)$  symmetry in  $^{42}\text{Sc}$ , which is only realized in the extreme limit of  $g_0 = g_1 \gg \Delta\epsilon$ . On the other hand, it is to be expected that the isovector pairing strength  $g_1$  can be constrained from the corresponding observed pairing gap. The ‘pairing gap’ for the odd-mass nucleus  $^{41}\text{Ca}$ , that is, the binding-energy difference

$$\Delta^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2} [\text{BE}(^{42}\text{Ca}) + \text{BE}(^{40}\text{Ca}) - 2\text{BE}(^{41}\text{Ca})], \quad (8)$$

is the only quantity of this kind that is available within our schematic model. Its experimental value of 1.5585 MeV is also shown in panel (a) of Fig. 1 and fixes the isovector pairing strength to  $g_1 \approx -5$  MeV.

Panel (b) shows the  $B(M1; 1_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+)$  values in  $^{42}\text{Sc}$ , calculated with a spin quenching factor of 0.74. The M1 strength from the  $1_1^+$  level is known experimentally [9],  $B(M1; 1_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+) = 6.1(2.7) \mu_N^2$ , but its error is too large to constrain the pairing strength.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8186707>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8186707>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)