ARTICLE IN PRESS

Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics 🛚 (💵 💷 🖿 💵



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ppnp

Review Direct reaction theories for exotic nuclei: An introduction via semi-classical methods

Angela Bonaccorso

INFN, Sezione di Pisa, Largo B. Pontecorvo 3, 56127 Pisa, Italy

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Available online xxxx

Keywords: Direct reactions Exotic nuclei Semi-classical theories

ABSTRACT

The structure of exotic nuclei has only been studied from around 1985, because they are very short lived and because before that, it was not possible to produce and deliver them as beams on a target. They have large N/Z or Z/N ratios, are weakly bound and quite extended most of the time. Thus breakup, transfer and/or inelastic excitations of the surface are some of their most common reaction mechanisms. Direct reactions, for their simplicity, have played a fundamental role in the last thirty years in the process of understanding such "new" type of structures. On the other hand, direct reactions have been studied and understood for a much longer time, starting with the pioneering experiments in the early '50 on deuteron-induced reactions and the reaction models developed by S.T. Butler and collaborators. Both subjects are extremely vast and there is a large literature available of books, review articles and original papers. I will discuss here only a few selected examples of the many interesting problems that have been encountered and solved in all those years. I consider them breakthroughs in the field and as such I hope they can inspire young generations of researchers.

© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Contents

Introdu	uction	2
The dawn of direct reaction theory		
Experimental data vs. reaction and structure theories		
Elastic	vs. reaction cross sections	8
4.1.	The role of the optical potential	8
4.2.	Constraining the (nucleus-nucleus) optical potential and relative S-matrix by total reaction cross section calculations	
	vs experimental data	9
4.3.	A simple model for nuclear and Coulomb breakup	16
4.4.	The nuclear amplitude	20
4.5.	The Coulomb amplitude	21
4.6.	Proton breakup	21
The fu	ndamental role of breakup in more complicated reactions	24
5.1.	⁹ C: an intriguing nucleus of astrophysical interest	24
A cons	istent treatment of transfer and breakup reaction mechanisms	26
6.1.	Kinematics of breakup	27
6.2.	Parallel-momentum distributions from breakup and relation between the eikonal and the (TC)' method	28
6.3.	A few examples of deformed momentum distributions	30
6.4.	The breakup (one-channel) imaginary part of the optical potential	30
Nuclei	or not nuclei?: Continuum states and unbound nuclei	33
	Introdu The da Experin Elastic 4.1. 4.2. 4.3. 4.4. 4.5. 4.6. The fun 5.1. A cons 6.1. 6.2. 6.3. 6.4. Nuclei	Introduction. The dawn of direct reaction theory Experimental data vs. reaction and structure theories Elastic vs. reaction cross sections 4.1. The role of the optical potential 4.2. Constraining the (nucleus-nucleus) optical potential and relative S-matrix by total reaction cross section calculations vs experimental data. 4.3. A simple model for nuclear and Coulomb breakup 4.4. The nuclear amplitude. 4.5. The Coulomb amplitude. 4.6. Proton breakup The fundamental role of breakup in more complicated reactions 5.1. ⁹ C: an intriguing nucleus of astrophysical interest. A consistent treatment of transfer and breakup reaction mechanisms 6.1. Kinematics of breakup 6.2. Parallel-momentum distributions from breakup and relation between the eikonal and the (TC)' method 6.3. A few examples of deformed momentum distributions 6.4. The breakup (one-channel) imaginary part of the optical potential Nuclei or not nuclei?: Continuum states and unbound nuclei

E-mail address: angela.bonaccorso@df.unipi.it.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2018.01.005 0146-6410/© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. 2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

A. Bonaccorso / Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics 🛚 (💵 💷)

	71	Projectile fragmentation	35
	7.1.	Wave functions for general 1	37
	7.2.	Probability spectrum and cross section	37
	7.5.	Approximate evaluation of the interval <i>l</i> (<i>k</i> , <i>a</i>)	20
	7.4.	Approximate evaluation of the integral $n(k, q)$	20
	7.5.	A Dasic two-nucleon breakup model	39
	7.6.	The reaction "Be+n and the structure of "Be and of "Be	40
	7.7.	¹⁰ Li	40
	7.8.	Another intriguing nucleus: ¹⁰ Be	43
	7.9.	Proton rich light unbound nuclei	44
	7.10.	Description of the experiments	45
	7.11.	Structure and reactions	45
8.	Conclusions and outlook: What next for 2018–2050?		46
	8.1.	Charge exchange reactions	46
	8.2.	Coupled channel methods and fusion	46
	8.3.	The microscopic optical potential	47
	8.4.	Ab-initio unification of nuclear structure and reactions	47
	8.5.	Nuclear astrophysics reactions	48
	8.6.	Present status and future work to do	48
Acknowledgments		wledgments	48
	Refere	nces	49

1. Introduction

For the last thirty years, Nuclear Physics has known a big revival thanks to the advent of Radioactive Ion Beams (RIBs). These nuclei are often called "exotic" because they have unusual properties, like large neutron over proton ratios, N/Z; small separation energies for the valence particles; large root mean square radii, r.m.s., and of course being radioactive they have, first of all, very short life times (for example ¹¹Be has a $T_{1/2}$ =13.8 s while ¹¹Li has a $T_{1/2}$ =8.6 ms).

The most exotic nuclei are those just beyond the dripline. They are unbound but their ground state is often a resonance that lives long enough for its properties to be measured. The same might happen for the lowest excited states and thus these exotic systems are called "nuclei" and their properties studied in the same way as the more "normal" species that lie more or less closer to the stability line. Because exotic nuclei are very weakly bound in most of the cases, their surface is quite extended. Thus several of their new properties have been discovered thanks to experiments employing peripheral, direct reactions. This field is so rich and active that since 1999 there is a biennial conference called DREB (Direct Reactions with Exotic Beams) in which a large number of physicists gather to discuss the latest developments.

The physics of exotic nuclei has shed a completely new light on the concept of the nuclear interaction and the way it acts to bind matter. The basic concepts in Nuclear Physics, such as nuclear radii, binding energies, decay schemes etc. have been rediscussed. So much so that it has been deemed necessary to organize schools for undergraduates in which these new concepts not contained in standard Nuclear Physics textbooks have been presented. One such a School, which has been held twice so far in Pisa, Italy, is indeed called "Re-writing Nuclear Physics Textbooks..." [1].

In the following we will review the main steps in the history of direct reactions to set the framework in which reactions with exotic nuclei have been used. There is a twenty to thirty year recurrent time span between fundamental turning points in such a history. I will mainly concentrate on such turning points.

The first twenty five years or so were dominated by deuteron and light nuclei induced reactions (1950–1970). Deuteron induced reactions, including the latest developments, are the subject of another review paper by Ron Jonhson on this journal and because of that I will just touch upon them. On the other hand, in the 70s heavy-ions started to be used as projectiles following the advent of new, more powerful accelerators, finally from 1985 up-to-date exotic nuclei have dominated the field of Nuclear Physics with hadronic probes.

2. The dawn of direct reaction theory

The book of Norman K. Glendenning, "Direct Nuclear Reactions" [2] describes the beginning of nuclear reaction theory when it was thought that all reactions proceeded via compound nucleus [3] and resonance phenomena. They were first studied by Feshbach and Weisskopf [4], Breit and Wigner [5] and Kapur and Peierls [6]. Resonances are nowadays very important again to understand and describe unbound nuclei and an updated review can be found in R. J. Charity contribution to the Pisa Summer School [7].

In the book of Glendenning there is also a nice figure from P. Hodgson book [8], that we report here as Fig. 1, in which every reaction seems to go through "compound nucleus" apart from direct reactions which are indicated to go to "...etc". In 1950 the first experiments [9] and theoretical papers [10] clarified what that "...etc" meant. From the point of view of the experimental observations, direct reactions were characterized by forward-peaked angular distributions and oscillations see Fig. 2 from Refs. [9,10]. Compound-nucleus reactions had instead isotropic angular distributions. Bethe and Butler [11] first understood the mechanism of direct reactions as due mainly to a surface diffractive effect and showed the way to use them

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8200868

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8200868

Daneshyari.com