Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: http://www.elsevier.com/locate/rpor

Original research article

Absorption ratio of treatment couch and effect on surface and build-up region doses

Taylan Tuğrul

Radiation Oncology Department, Yüzüncü Yıl University, Van, Turkey

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 9 August 2017
Accepted 29 October 2017

Keywords:
Carbon fiber couch
Surface dose
Build-up region dose
Effect of couch

ABSTRACT

Aim: In this study, at different fields, energies and gantry angles, treatment couch and rails dose absorption ratio and treatment couch effect on surface and build-up region doses were examined.

Background: It is assumed that radiation attenuation is minimal because the carbon fiber couches have low density and it is not generally accounted for during treatment planning. Consequently, it leads to a major dosimetric mistake.

Materials and methods: Solid water phantom was used for relative dose measurement. The measurements were done using a Farmer ion chamber with 0.6 cc volume and a parallel plane ion chamber starting from surface with 1 mm depth intervals at $10 \times 10\,cm^2$ field, SSD 100 cm. Measurements were taken for situations where the beams intersect the couch and couch rails.

Results: Dose absorption ratio of carbon fiber couch obtained at gantry angle of 180° was 1.52%, 0.69%, 0.33% and 0.25% at different field sizes for 6 MV. For 15 MV, this ratio was 0.95%, 0.27%, 0.20% and 0.05%. The absorption ratio is between 3.4% and 1.22% when the beams intersect with couch rails. The couch effect increased surface dose from 14% to 70% for 6 MV and from 11.34% to 53.03% for 15 MV.

Conclusions: The results showed that the carbon fiber couch increased surface dose during posterior irradiation. Therefore, the skin-sparing effect of the high energy beams was decreased. If the effect of couch is not considered, it may cause significant differences at dose which reaches the patient and may cause tissue problems such as erythema.

1. Background

High energy X beams are often used in cancer treatment. High energy X beams display a skin-sparing effect. Therefore, high dose locate at a depth than tissue region in skin.[13] The contaminated low X beams and electrons cause dose increase at the skin and build-up region.[9–13] Therefore, some tissue trouble may occur in patients treated with radiation therapy in early and late effect. The most radiosensitive layer of the epidermis contains the epithelial cells which are located at the depth of approximately 0.07 mm in the tissue.[10,19] It is important to measure accurately the absorbed dose at the surface and in the build-up region for high energy photon beams.[2,3,13]

E-mail address: taylantugrul@gmail.com
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rpor.2017.10.004
1507-1367/

The carbon fiber couches are commonly used in radiation therapy. It is assumed that radiation attenuation is minimal because carbon fiber couches have low density and it is not generally accounted for during treatment planning. Consequently, it leads to a major dosimetric mistake.[14,15,20] Some researchers have reported that the carbon fiber table decreases the skin-sparing effect and causes dose attenuation. Furthermore, in this research, especially in stereotactic body radiation (SBRT), volumetric arc treatment (VMAT) and intensity modulated radiation therapy (IMRT), negative effect of the carbon fiber table is indicated because some beams intersect with carbon fiber table rails during treatment.

In these days, diodes, thermo luminescent dosimeter (TLD), radiochromic films, optically stimulated luminescent (OSL) detectors, parallel plan ion chambers, extrapolation chambers and metal oxide field effect transistor (MOSFET) are used to measure surface and build-up region doses.[11,12,13,18] Surface and build-up region doses are measured with extrapolation chambers most accurately.[1,9,18] Parallel plan ion chambers are a valid alternative to extrapolation chambers, but unlike extrapolation chambers, perturbation corrections are required under disequilibrium conditions.[18] In Task Group 176, published by the American Association of Physicists in Medicine (AAPM), the treatment couch attenuation and surface dose measurement are indicated.

2. Aim

In this study, at $3 \times 3\,cm^2$, $5 \times 5\,cm^2$, $10 \times 10\,cm^2$ and $15 \times 15\,cm^2$ fields, for 6 and 15 MV photons, at different gantry angles, treatment couch and rails dose absorption ratio and treatment couch effect on surface and build-up region doses were examined.

3. Materials and methods

Dose measurements were made using 6 and 15 MV high energy photons. The couch top used is CIVCO carbon fiber couch top with production number MTIL3015. $30 \times 30\,cm^2$ solid water phantom (PTW-RW3) was used for relative dose measurement. The dose absorption ratio of carbon fiber couch was done using a PTW Farmer ion chamber with 0.6 cc volume, at $3 \times 3\,cm^2$, $5 \times 5\,cm^2$, $10 \times 10\,cm^2$ and $15 \times 15\,cm^2$ fields, 5.3 cm depth, source–surface distance (SSD) of 100 cm for 6 and 15 MV photon beams. The ion chamber was placed in the center of phantom. The phantom was placed in the center of couch at lateral axis in order to measure all irradiations at same SSD. After the reference measurements were obtained at gantry angle 0°–55°–305°, these values were compared with opposed gantry angles (180°–235°–125°). The reason for use of gantry angle 235°–125° is that the beam center intersects with the couch rails.

The measurements of surface and build-up region doses were taken with a parallel plane ion chamber starting from the surface with 1 mm depth intervals at $10 \times 10\,cm^2$ field, SSD 100 cm for 6 and 15 MV photons. Entry window of the parallel plane ion chamber was directed toward the source.[16] Results of the measurements were obtained for gantry angle 0°–180°. Percent depth dose (PDD) curves were created with and without carbon fiber couch. PDD was defined as the ratio of absorbed dose at the depth to maximum absorbed dose along the beam axis. The results of the measurements were read with PTW-UNIDOS E electrometer.

Extrapolation ion chamber provides the most correct measurement for the surface and build-up dose of MV beams. Most perturbations introduced by ion chambers are eliminated with the use of an extrapolation chamber. Perturbation corrections are required under disequilibrium conditions.[18] In general, parallel plane ion chambers display a polarity effect and this can be significant in regions of electronic disequilibrium such as the build-up region. Polarity effect of the ion chamber was considered and this effect was corrected with an average of positive and negative bias voltage measurements.

$$Q_{avg} = (Q_+ + Q_-)/2$$

Q_+ and Q_- are measurement values at positive and negative bias voltage. Q_{avg} is an average of relative measurements.

Parallel plan ion chambers are a valid alternative to extrapolation chambers but these ion chambers give over response when surface and build-up dose are measured.[24] The over response occurs by secondary electrons which scatter from the wall of the chamber (Fig. 1).

Gerbi's over response correction factor can be used for parallel plane chambers.[1,4,21]

In this study, Gerbi's method was applied for correction of PDD curves which were obtained in the build-up region.

$F(d, E) = P(d, E) - \xi(0, E) I e^{-\alpha(d/d_{max})}$[4]

$(0, E) = [-1.666 + (1.982 IR)] \times [C - 15.8]$

E: Energy

$F(d, E)$: Corrected PDD

(d, E): The dose at relative depth

IR: ionization ratio at depths of 20 cm and 10 cm, which is measured at a constant SSD and $10 \times 10\,cm^2$ field size (0.669 and 0.763 for 6 and 15 MV)

C: Sidewall collector distance (0.35 mm for PTW-Markus 23343)

I: plate separation (2 mm for PTW-Markus 23343[23])

α: 5.5 (constant) d_{max}: maximum dose depth

d: Depth of the chamber front window ($d = 0$ for surface)

4. Results

The ratio of the carbon fiber couch dose attenuation was measured at $3 \times 3\,cm^2$, $5 \times 5\,cm^2$, $10 \times 10\,cm^2$ and $15 \times 15\,cm^2$ fields for 6–15 MV photons. In Fig. 2, dose absorption ratio of the carbon fiber couch at different gantry angles was displayed for 6–15 MV photon beams.

Dose absorption ratio of carbon fiber couch obtained at gantry angle of 180° was 1.52%, 0.69%, 0.33% and 0.25% at $3 \times 3\,cm^2$, $5 \times 5\,cm^2$, $10 \times 10\,cm^2$ and $15 \times 15\,cm^2$ field sizes for 6 MV. For 15 MV, this ratio was 0.95%, 0.27%, 0.20% and 0.05% (Fig. 3). It was concluded that the dose absorption ratio decreases when field size and energy increases.[17] For gantry angle of 180°, maximum dose absorption ratio was observed at $3 \times 3\,cm^2$ field and 6 MV energy.

For gantry angle of 125° and 235° at which the beams intersect with couch rails, dose absorption ratio is 3.40%, 2.69%, 2.32%, 1.97% at gantry angle 125° and $3 \times 3\,cm^2$, $5 \times 5\,cm^2$,