



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Physics Letters A

www.elsevier.com/locate/pla



# Imaginary eigenvalues of Zakharov–Shabat problems with non-zero background

Gino Biondini\*, Xudan Luo

State University of New York at Buffalo, Department of Mathematics, Buffalo, NY 14260, USA

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 30 March 2018

Received in revised form 5 June 2018

Accepted 25 June 2018

Available online xxxx

Communicated by A.P. Fordy

### Keywords:

Zakharov–Shabat scattering problem

Discrete eigenvalues

Inverse scattering

Nonlinear Schrödinger equation

## ABSTRACT

The focusing Zakharov–Shabat scattering problem on the infinite line with non-zero boundary conditions for the potential is studied, and sufficient conditions on the potential are identified to ensure that the problem admits only purely imaginary discrete eigenvalues. The results, which generalize previous work by Klaus and Shaw, are applicable to the study of solutions of the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation with non-zero background.

© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation is a universal model that describes the evolution of weakly nonlinear and quasi-monochromatic wave trains in media with cubic nonlinearities. As such, it arises in many disparate physical settings such as water waves, optics, acoustics, Bose–Einstein condensation, etc. It is also known since the pioneering work of Zakharov and Shabat in 1972 [30] that the NLS equation in one spatial dimension is a completely integrable system, and as such it can be written as the compatibility condition of an overdetermined pair of linear ordinary differential equations, which are called the Lax pair. Zakharov and Shabat also showed that the initial-value problem for the NLS equation could be solved by the inverse scattering transform. Accordingly, the first half of the Lax pair for the NLS equation is referred to as the Zakharov–Shabat scattering problem, and the solution of the NLS equation plays the role of a potential there. Therefore, the study of Zakharov–Shabat scattering problems has been an ongoing area of research (e.g., see [5,17,20,27]).

Recall that the NLS equation is the compatibility condition of the matrix Lax pair

$$\mathbf{v}_x = (-i\zeta \sigma_3 + Q(x, t)) \mathbf{v}, \quad (1a)$$

$$\mathbf{v}_t = (2i\zeta^2 \sigma_3 + 2kQ - iQ_x \sigma_3 - iQ^2 \sigma_3) \mathbf{v}, \quad (1b)$$

where  $\mathbf{v}(x, t, \zeta) = (v_1, v_2)^T$ , and

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q(x, t) = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q \\ -r & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

(with  $\sigma_1$  to be used later). That is, the requirement  $\mathbf{v}_{xt} = \mathbf{v}_{tx}$ , together with the constraint  $r = \nu q^*$ , yields the NLS equation,

$$iq_t + q_{xx} - 2\nu|q|^2q = 0, \quad (3)$$

where  $q: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , subscripts denote partial derivatives and as usual  $\nu = \mp 1$  denote the focusing and defocusing cases, respectively. Equation (1a) is referred to as the Ablowitz–Kaup–Newell–Segur scattering problem [3]. The Zakharov–Shabat scattering problem is the special case of (1) when  $r(x, t) = \nu q^*(x, t)$  [with the asterisk denoting complex conjugation], in which case the compatibility condition of (1) yields precisely the NLS equation (3).

Equation (1a) can equivalently be written as the eigenvalue problem  $\mathcal{L}\mathbf{v} = \zeta\mathbf{v}$  for the Dirac operator  $\mathcal{L} = i\sigma_3(\partial_x - Q)$ . The spectrum of the scattering problem is the set of all values of  $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$  such that nontrivial bounded eigenfunctions  $\mathbf{v}(x, t, k)$  exist, and such values of  $\zeta$  are referred to as the eigenvalues of the scattering problem. In particular, values  $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\mathbf{v}(x, t, k) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  are referred to as the discrete eigenvalues of the problem. (As we discuss below, the above definition differs slightly from the one typically used in the development of the inverse scattering transform (IST), in which discrete eigenvalues are defined as the zeros of the analytic scattering coefficients.) The structure of the Lax pair implies that, when the potential evolves in time according to the

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: biondini@buffalo.edu (G. Biondini).

1 NLS equation, the spectrum of  $\mathcal{L}$  is independent of time. For this  
2 reason, we will drop the time dependence throughout this work.

3 In the defocusing case the Dirac operator  $\mathcal{L}$  is self-adjoint  
4 [31], and therefore all eigenvalues are real. In the focusing case  
5 ( $\nu = -1$ ), however,  $\mathcal{L}$  is non-self adjoint. One can show that the  
6 reduction  $r = \nu q^*$  implies that the spectrum of  $\mathcal{L}$  is symmetric  
7 with respect to the real  $\zeta$ -axis. It is also well known that, if the po-  
8 tential is even, the spectrum is also symmetric with respect to the  
9 imaginary  $\zeta$ -axis. A natural question, however, is whether there ex-  
10 ist special classes of potentials for which the spectrum possesses  
11 additional symmetries.

12 The above question was studied in 2002 by Klaus and Shaw  
13 [21]. Specifically, Klaus and Shaw considered a class of potentials  
14  $q(x)$  that are non-negative, smooth,  $L^1$  functions on the real line,  
15 and such that  $q(x)$  is nondecreasing for  $x < 0$  and nonincreasing for  
16  $x > 0$ . They were then able to show that any discrete eigenvalues  
17  $\zeta$  of (1a) are purely imaginary.

18 The study of nonlinear wave equations with non-zero boundary  
19 conditions (NZBC) also has a long history [17,31], and has received  
20 renewed attention in recent years (e.g., see [4,7–9,11,14,15,23] and  
21 references therein), due also in part to connections with various  
22 physical effects such as rogue waves [6,29], modulational insta-  
23 bility [7,12,13], the dynamics of dispersive shock waves [1,2] and  
24 polarization shifts [10]. A limitation of Klaus and Shaw's result,  
25 however, is that it only applies to decaying potentials.

26 The properties of scattering operators with NZBC can be quite  
27 different from those of the same operators with ZBC. For example,  
28 it is well known that an “area theorem” exists for the Zakharov–  
29 Shabat operator with ZBC: no discrete eigenvalues can exist if  
30 the  $L^1$  norm of the potential is less than  $\pi/2$  [22]. (This bound,  
31 which improves the original one [3], is sharp.) However, it was  
32 recently shown that no generalization of the area theorem is pos-  
33 sible for the same operators with NZBC, either in the focusing [7]  
34 or in the defocusing [14] case. In other words, the situation for  
35 the Zakharov–Shabat operator with NZBC is dissimilar to that for  
36 the same operators with ZBC, and is more similar instead to that  
37 for the Schrödinger operator  $\mathcal{L} = -\partial^2 + q(x)$ , which defines the  
38 scattering problem for the Korteweg–deVries equation [18]. On the  
39 other hand, in this work we show that the results of [21] do admit  
40 a straightforward generalization to potentials with NZBC.

41 To do this, we generalize the notion of “single-lobe” potentials  
42 to the case of NZBC. Specifically, we will call a single lobe poten-  
43 tial with NZBC a function  $q(x)$  which is: (i) smooth on real line,  
44 (ii) nondecreasing for  $x < 0$  and nonincreasing for  $x > 0$ , (iii) lim-  
45 iting to  $q(x) \rightarrow q_0$  as  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ , where  $q_0 > 0$  is a constant, and  
46 (iv)  $q(x) - q_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . This definition allows us to obtain the main  
47 result of this work, which is the following

48 **Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $q(x)$  be a smooth, real-valued function on the real line  
49 such that*

50 
$$51 \quad q(x) \rightarrow q_0 \text{ as } x \rightarrow \pm\infty, \quad q(x) > q_0 \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R},$$

52 *where  $q_0 > 0$  is a constant. Moreover, let  $q(x) - q_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . If  $q(x)$  is  
53 nondecreasing for  $x < 0$  and nonincreasing for  $x > 0$ , any discrete eigen-  
54 value  $\zeta$  of the scattering problem (1a) is purely imaginary, and  $|\zeta| > q_0$ .*

55 In section 2 we give the proof of Theorem 1.1, and in section 3  
56 we discuss a few examples to illustrate that both of the hypothe-  
57 ses of the theorem (namely, constant-phase and single-lobe condi-  
58 tions) are indeed necessary. Section 4 ends this work with a few  
59 concluding remarks.

60 **2. Proof of Theorem 1.1**

61 The strategy of the proof follows that in [21], but the imple-  
62 mentation is somewhat different due to the NZBC. First we derive

63 some upper bounds regarding the behavior of the Jost eigenfunc-  
64 tions corresponding to a discrete eigenvalue. Then we derive a  
65 constraint that relates discrete eigenvalues to certain integrals of  
66 the corresponding eigenfunctions. Finally we use the bounds to es-  
67 tablish that the real part of the discrete eigenvalue must vanish  
68 identically.

69 **2.1. Jost eigenfunctions and upper bound estimates**

70 Recall that in the IST for the focusing NLS equation with NZBC  
71 [8] one defines the Jost eigenfunctions as the solutions of (1a)  
72 which tend to plane wave behavior either as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  or as  $x \rightarrow$   
73  $-\infty$ . In particular, for our purposes it is sufficient to introduce the  
74 columns  $\phi(x, \zeta)$  and  $\psi(x, \zeta)$  as

75 
$$76 \quad \phi(x, \zeta) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + \zeta \\ -iq_0 \end{pmatrix} e^{-i\lambda x} (1 + o(1)), \quad x \rightarrow -\infty, \quad (4a)$$

77 
$$78 \quad \psi(x, \zeta) = \begin{pmatrix} -iq_0 \\ \lambda + \zeta \end{pmatrix} e^{i\lambda x} (1 + o(1)), \quad x \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (4b)$$

79 where  $\lambda(\zeta)$  is defined by the equation  $\lambda^2 = \zeta^2 + q_0^2$ . The set of  
80 values  $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\lambda(\zeta) \in \mathbb{R}$  comprises the discrete spec-  
81 trum of the scattering problem. In our case, this is the set  $\Sigma =$   
82  $\mathbb{R} \cup i[-q_0, q_0]$ . Without loss of generality, one can define  $\lambda(\zeta)$  for  
83 all  $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$  through the analytic continuation of the principal branch  
84 of the real square root off the positive real  $\zeta$ -axis with a square-  
85 root sign discontinuity across the branch cut  $[-iq_0, iq_0]$ . It is easy  
86 to show that, with this definition, the sign of the imaginary part  
87 of  $\lambda(\zeta)$  is the same as that of  $\zeta$  away from the branch cut.

88 The Zakharov–Shabat scattering problem possesses the usual  
89 reflection symmetry such that for every eigenvalue  $\zeta$  in the upper-  
90 half plane there is a corresponding eigenvalue  $\zeta^*$  in the lower-half  
91 plane [8]. Thus, without loss of generality we can restrict ourself  
92 to studying the discrete eigenvalues in the upper-half plane.

93 The Jost eigenfunctions (4) are rigorously defined as the solu-  
94 tions of suitable linear integral equations [8]. For example,

95 
$$96 \quad \phi(x, \zeta) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + \zeta \\ -iq_0 \end{pmatrix} e^{-i\lambda x} \\ 97 \quad + \int_{-\infty}^x G_-(x-y, \zeta) (Q(y) - Q_0) e^{i\lambda(y-x)} \phi(y, \zeta) dy, \quad (5)$$

98 where

99 
$$100 \quad G_-(x-y, \zeta) = \frac{1}{2\lambda} [(1 + e^{2i\lambda(x-y)})\lambda I \\ 101 \quad - i(e^{2i\lambda(x-y)} - 1)(i\zeta\sigma_3 + Q_0)], \quad (6)$$

102 with

103 
$$104 \quad Q_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q_0 \\ -q_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

105 and a similar equation for  $\psi(x, \zeta)$ . Using these integral equations,  
106 it was shown in [8] that, as is usually the case in the IST, both  
107  $\phi(x, \zeta)$  and  $\psi(x, \zeta)$  admit analytic continuation to the upper half  
108 of the complex  $\zeta$  plane.

109 Suppose now that  $\lambda(\zeta) = \alpha + i\beta$  is a discrete eigenvalue corre-  
110 sponding to a certain value of  $\zeta$  in the closure of the upper-half  
111 plane. It was shown in [8] that, as is usually the case in the IST,  
112 the associated Jost eigenfunctions  $\phi(x, \zeta)$  and  $\psi(x, \zeta)$  at this spe-  
113 cific value of  $\zeta$  are proportional each other, and that any of the  
114  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  eigenfunctions  $v(x, \zeta)$  associated to  $\zeta$  are proportional to  
115 both of them. Because our definition of discrete eigenvalues re-  
116 quire the corresponding eigenfunctions to be in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  (as opposed  
117 to simply being bounded), it follows that  $\beta = \text{Im } \lambda$  must be strictly

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8203000>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8203000>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)