ARTICLE IN PRESS

[Physics Letters A](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2017.11.007) ••• (••••) •••-•••

2 собработных производственность производственность производственность при событи в событи в событи в событи в 3 69

www.elsevier.com/locate/pla

11 Effects of photon field on boat transport through a quantum wire 77 $\frac{11}{12}$ Effects of photon field on heat transport through a quantum wire $\frac{77}{78}$ 13 attached to leads 78

¹⁵ Nzar Rauf Abdullah ^{a,b,c}, Chi-Shung Tang ^d, Andrei Manolescu ^e, Vidar Gudmundsson ^c and the control of 16 82

17 83 ^a *Physics Department, College of Science, University of Sulaimani, Kurdistan Region, Iraq*

18 84 ^b *Komar Research Center, Komar University of Science and Technology, Sulaimani City, Iraq*

^c *Science Institute, University of Iceland, Dunhaga 3, IS-107 Reykjavik, Iceland*

19 85 ^d *Department of Mechanical Engineering, National United University, 1, Lienda, Miaoli 36003, Taiwan*

20 86 ^e *School of Science and Engineering, Reykjavik University, Menntavegur 1, IS-101 Reykjavik, Iceland* 21 века в област в о

²³ ARTICLE INFO ABSTRACT ⁸⁹

Article history: Received 19 August 2017 Received in revised form 3 November 2017 Accepted 7 November 2017 Available online xxxx Communicated by R. Wu

Keywords: Thermo-optic effects Electronic transport in mesoscopic systems Cavity quantum electrodynamics Electro-optical effects

²⁵ Article history: **1912** Section 2016 We theoretically investigate photo-thermoelectric transport through a quantum wire in a photon cavity ⁹¹ 26 kecewed 19 August 2017 – coupled to electron reservoirs with different temperatures. Our approach, based on a quantum master the 92 27 Received in evised forms towerfluen 2017 equation, allows us to investigate the influence of a quantized photon field on the heat current and 93 28 Avertual to the strandard thermoelectric transport in the system. We find that the heat current through the quantum wire is 94
28 Avertual to the system of the system. ²⁹ Communicated by R. Wu **influenced by the photon field resulting in** a negative heat current in certain cases. The characteristics ₉₅ 30 96 of the transport are studied by tuning the ratio, *^h*¯*ωγ /k*B*^T* , between the photon energy, *^h*¯*ωγ* , and s_1 *Keywords*: the thermal energy, $k_B\Delta T$. The thermoelectric transport is enhanced by the cavity photons when s_7 $\frac{32}{2}$ Bectronic transport in mesoscopic systems thermoelectric transport can be found in the case when the cavity-photon field is close to a resonance ³³ Cavity quantum electrodynamics **the system in the two lowest one-electron** states in the system. Our approach points to a new technique to amplify 34 100 thermoelectric current in nano-devices. $k_B\Delta T > \hbar\omega_\gamma$. By contrast, if $k_B\Delta T < \hbar\omega_\gamma$, the photon field is dominant and a suppression in the

35 101 © 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

38 39 105

1. Introduction

42 The wide research field of thermoelectric transport in nanoscale ometer with embedded quantum dots has been demonstrated [8], toe 43 devices is very active nowadays due to their expected high ef-
where the effects of a geometrical phase on the thermopower 109 44 ficiency comparing to bulk materials. The efficiency of thermo- in the absence of an interdot Coulomb interaction is shown. On 110 45 electric materials is measured by the figure of merit, the ratio other hand, the photon–phonon interaction also influences ther-
111 46 of the electrical conductance to the thermal conductance $[1]$. In moelectric transport in quantum systems. Soleimani $[9]$ has shown 112 47 113 bulk materials, the figure of merit is restricted by classical rela-48 tionships such as the Wiedmann–Franz law and the Motto relation tric effect in molecular devices, with increasing oscillations of the the 49 in which the electrical conductance is directly proportional to the thermopower in the presence of the electron–photon interaction. The 50 116 thermal conductance. The violation of the Wiedmann–Franz law ⁵¹ in the range of the nano-scale has caused nanostructures to be port. The thermo-spin effect opens up a new possibility for fabri-52 considered as good thermoelectric devices [\[2\].](#page--1-0) These relations may cating spintronic devices. It has been shown that the thermo-spin 118 53 119 not hold in nanostructures due to quantum phenomena such as 54 quantum interference [\[3\],](#page--1-0) Coulomb blockade [\[4\],](#page--1-0) and energy quan- one in bulk material using magnetic semiconductors [10]. With the 120 55 121 investigation of the thermo-spin effect, intensive study has been devices is very active nowadays due to their expected high efficiency comparing to bulk materials. The efficiency of thermoof the electrical conductance to the thermal conductance [\[1\].](#page--1-0) In tization [\[5\].](#page--1-0)

57 vices is increased $\left[6\right]$ and plateaus in the thermoelectric current kashba-spin orbit interaction [11]. It has been shown that the thermoelectric efficiency in nanode-

64 130 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2017.11.007>

65 131 0375-9601/© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.66 and the contract of the con

40 **1. Introduction 1. Introduction 106 CMS 10** 41 107 ciency [\[7\].](#page--1-0) Thermoelectric effects in an Aharonov–Bohm interferometer with embedded quantum dots has been demonstrated [\[8\],](#page--1-0) other hand, the photon–phonon interaction also influences therthat the photon–phonon interaction can enhance the thermoelectric effect in molecular devices, with increasing oscillations of the thermopower in the presence of the electron–photon interaction.

56 11 It has been shown that the thermoelectric efficiency in nanode-carried out on the thermal properties in quantum dots with a $\frac{1}{22}$ A temperature gradient can also generate thermo-spin transport. The thermo-spin effect opens up a new possibility for fabricating spintronic devices. It has been shown that the thermo-spin effect in nanodevices is conceptually different from the traditional one in bulk material using magnetic semiconductors [\[10\].](#page--1-0) With the Rashba-spin orbit interaction [\[11\].](#page--1-0)

58 due to Coulomb blockade can be formed [\[4\]](#page--1-0) in the presence of and Another interesting aspect is the use of light to control thermo-
 59 the Coulomb interaction. In addition, the thermal properties of a celectric transport in quantum systems. The thermoelectric effect 125 60 126 double quantum dot molecular junction have been studied and 61 127 studied using Keldysh nonequilibrium linear-response approach. It 62 128 was found that the microwave field can produce heat flow in the 63 F-mail address: nzar.r.abdullah@gmail.com (N.R. Abdullah). QD system by a formation of additional transport channels below 129 Another interesting aspect is the use of light to control thermoelectric transport in quantum systems. The thermoelectric effect in a quantum dot in the presence of microwave field has been

Please cite this article in press as: N.R. Abdullah et al., Effects of photon field on heat transport through a quantum wire attached to leads, Phys. Lett. A (2018), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2017.11.007

E-mail address: nzar.r.abdullah@gmail.com (N.R. Abdullah).

ARTICLE IN PRESS

the application of off-resonant light. It is shown that by applying enhanced thermoelectric transport. Moreover, an exchange of the ric surface states is seen by tuning the light polarization [\[13\].](#page--1-0)

⁸ The thermo-spin transport has been theoretically studied with in the QW under the influence of the reservoirs [15]. 74 ⁹ the application of circularly polarized light in two regimes: Low \quad In a steady state the left and right currents are of the same \quad ⁷⁵ trons to the thermoelectric effect [\[14\].](#page--1-0)

¹⁶ The influences of light on the thermoelectric effect in quantum up by not waiting for the exact steady state we integrate the GME 82 ¹⁷ systems is still in its infancy. Especially, if the light is quantized to $t = 220$ ps, a point in time late in the transient regime when ⁸³ ¹⁸ such as photons in a cavity. In this study, we present theoret- the system is approaching the steady state. ¹⁹ ical investigation of thermoelectric transport through a quantum The QW is exposed to a uniform external perpendicular mag- 85 ²⁰ wire coupled to a quantized photon cavity. We study two different aretic field and is in a quantized photon cavity with a single photon 86 ²¹ regimes: Low and high thermal energy comparing to the photon mode. Therefore, we write the vector potential in the following ⁸⁷ energy. In the high thermal energy regime ($\hbar \omega_\gamma < k_B \Delta T$), the tem-

energy. In the high thermal energy regime ($\hbar \omega_\gamma < k_B \Delta T$), the tem-23 89 perature gradient is dominant, and the thermoelectric transport is 24 enhanced. But in the low thermal energy regime ($\hbar\omega_\gamma > k_B\Delta T$), $\Lambda(r) = \frac{\Lambda_0(r) + \Lambda_0}{r}$, $\Lambda_1(r)$, $\Lambda_2(r)$, $\Lambda_3(r)$ 25 a reduction in the thermoelectric transport is found for the system where $A_B(r) = -By\hat{x}$ is the vector potential of the external mag-
25 The influences of light on the thermoelectric effect in quantum a reduction in the thermoelectric transport is found for the system close to a Rabi resonance.

²⁷ The outline of the paper is as follows. We show the model sys-
tential of the photon field given by $\mathbf{\hat{A}}_{\nu}(\mathbf{r}) = A(\hat{a} + \hat{a}^{\dagger})\mathbf{e}$. Herein, the ²⁸ tem and discuss the quantum master equation in Sec. 2. Numerical amplitude of the photon field is defined by A with the electron-²⁹ results are discussed for the model in Sec. 3. Finally, conclusions photon coupling constant $g_v = e A a_w \Omega_w / c$, and $\hat{a}(\hat{a}^{\dagger})$ are annihiare drawn in Sec. [4.](#page--1-0)

2. Theory

 37 voirs (leads) and exposed to a quantized cavity photon field. The quency que to the external magnetic field. 38 104 The QW is hard-wall confined in the *x*-direction and parabol-39 105 ically confined in the *y*-direction. The Hamiltonian of the QW ⁴⁰ bot (b) and cold (c) loads are bot a single photon mode in an external perpendicular to the coupled to a single photon mode in an external perpendicular to the formalism that defines the properties of the electron transport. We assume a quantum wire (QW) connected to two electron reser-QW is coupled to a lead with high temperature on the left side and another lead with lower temperature no the right side. The hot (h) and cold (c) leads each obey a Fermi–Dirac contribution

$$
f_{h/c} = \left[1 + \exp\left((E - \mu_{h/c})/(k_B T_{h/c})\right)\right]^{-1},\tag{1}
$$
\n
$$
\hat{H}_S = \int d^2 r \,\hat{\psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \left[\frac{1}{2m^*} \left(\frac{\hbar}{r} \nabla + \frac{e}{r} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r})\right)^2\right] \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{r})
$$
\n
$$
\hat{H}_S = \int d^2 r \,\hat{\psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \left[\frac{1}{2m^*} \left(\frac{\hbar}{r} \nabla + \frac{e}{r} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r})\right)^2\right] \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{r})
$$

46 and the term of Eq. (5) 112
47 difference $eV_{bias} = \mu_h - \mu_c$ to be applied symmetrically across the where \hat{x} is the electron field operator. The second term of Eq. (5) 113 47 device such that the electrochemical potential of the hot and the where $\hat{\psi}$ is the electron field operator. The second term of Eq. (5) ¹¹³ 48 cold leads is equal that created from the 48 represents the Coulomb electron–electron interaction in the 114 device such that the electrochemical potential of the hot and the cold leads is equal.

One can calculate the heat current (HC) that is the rate at which heat is transferred over time. In our system the heat current in our system can be expressed as

$$
HC = Tr\left[\dot{\hat{\rho}}_S(t)(\hat{H}_S - \mu \hat{N}_e)\right]
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{\alpha\beta} (\hat{\alpha}|\dot{\hat{\rho}}_S|\hat{\beta})(E_{\alpha} - \mu \hat{N}_e)\delta_{\alpha\beta},
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{\alpha\beta} (\hat{\alpha}|\dot{\hat{\rho}}_S|\hat{\beta})(E_{\alpha} - \mu \hat{N}_e)\delta_{\alpha\beta},
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{\alpha\beta} (2) \hat{\alpha}|\dot{\hat{\rho}}_S|\hat{\beta}
$$
\n

⁵⁸ where $ρ̂_S$ is the reduced density operator, $H̃_S$ is the Hamiltonian of 124 the electrons in the central system coupled to the photons in the cavity, N_e is the electron number operator, and $\mu = \mu_h = \mu_c$. But, the rate at which electrons are transferred over time by a temperature gradient is the thermoelectric current (TEC). The TEC through the QW connected to the leads and coupled to the photon cavity is defined as

$$
\text{TEC} =: \text{Tr}[\dot{\rho}_S^h(t)\hat{Q}] - \text{Tr}[\dot{\rho}_S^c(t)\hat{Q}]. \tag{3}
$$

¹ the Fermi energy [\[12\].](#page--1-0) Furthermore, the thermoelectric transport Herein, the first (second) term of Eq. (3) is the current from the ⁶⁷ ² properties of topological insulator have been investigated under hot (cold) reservoirs to the QW, respectively, and $Q = eN$ is the e^{68} ³ the application of off-resonant light. It is shown that by applying charge operator with the electron number operator N. The nega- $\,$ ⁶⁹ ⁴ a circularly polarized light, the band gap is tuned and results in tive sign between the first and second terms of Eq. (3) indicates ⁷⁰ 5 enhanced thermoelectric transport. Moreover, an exchange of the the electron motion from the QW to the cold lead. The current 71 6 conduction and valence bands of the symmetric and antisymmet-carried by the electrons in the total system is calculated from the 72 *r* in the state of the electrons *reduced density operator* $\hat{\rho}_S^{h,c}$, describing the state of the electrons *reduced* density operator $\hat{\rho}_S^{h,c}$, describing the state of the electrons *reduced* density operator $\$ Herein, the first (second) term of Eq. (3) is the current from the hot (cold) reservoirs to the QW, respectively, and $\hat{Q} = e\hat{N}$ is the tive sign between the first and second terms of Eq. (3) indicates carried by the electrons in the total system is calculated from the in the QW under the influence of the reservoirs [\[15\].](#page--1-0)

¹⁰ and high temperature. At high temperature, the transport of the magnitude. We use a non-Markovian generalized master equation 76 ¹¹ spin-down electron plays a dominant role in driving the thermo- (GME) to describe the time-dependent electron motion in the sys-¹² electric effect. Alternatively, at low temperature, the polarized light tem [15]. The time needed to reach the steady state depends on ⁷⁸ ¹³ induces an antiresonant transport of spin-up electron through the the chemical potentials in each reservoirs, the bias window, and 79 ¹⁴ device, leading to an important contribution of the spin-up elec-
their relation to the energy spectrum of the spin-up elec-
their relation to the energy spectrum of the system [16]. In antic-¹⁵ trons to the thermoelectric effect [14]. **ightle in the operation** of an optoelectronic circuit can be sped ⁸¹ In a steady state the left and right currents are of the same magnitude. We use a non-Markovian generalized master equation (GME) to describe the time-dependent electron motion in the system [\[15\].](#page--1-0) The time needed to reach the steady state depends on the chemical potentials in each reservoirs, the bias window, and their relation to the energy spectrum of the system [\[16\].](#page--1-0) In anticto $t = 220$ ps, a point in time late in the transient regime when the system is approaching the steady state.

> The QW is exposed to a uniform external perpendicular magnetic field and is in a quantized photon cavity with a single photon mode. Therefore, we write the vector potential in the following form

$$
\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{A}_{B}(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{A}_{\gamma}(\mathbf{r}),
$$
\n(4)

²⁶ close to a Rabi resonance. \blacksquare and \blacksquare are the field defined in the Landau gauge, and \blacktriangle _γ is the vector po- 30 are drawn in Sec. 4. \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare attion(creation) operators of the photon in the cavity, respectively. \blacksquare 31 97 The parameter that determines the photon polarization is **e** with **2. Theory 2. Theory either parallel polarized photon field** $\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{e}_x$ **or perpendicular po-** $\frac{33}{24}$ larized photon field $\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{e}_y$, The effective confinement frequency is ³⁴ In this section, we introduce the Hamiltonian of the system and $Q = \sqrt{Q^2 + \omega^2}$ with Q, being electron confinement frequency ³⁴ In this section, we introduce the Hamiltonian of the system and $\Omega_w = \sqrt{\Omega_0^2 + \omega_c^2}$ with Ω_0 being electron confinement frequency 101 $\frac{1}{36}$ We assume that defines the properties of the lateral parabolic potential and ω_c the cyclotron fre-
36 We assume a quantum wire (OW) connected to two electron resertential of the photon field given by $\hat{A}_{\gamma}(\mathbf{r}) = A(\hat{a} + \hat{a}^{\dagger})\mathbf{e}$. Herein, the amplitude of the photon field is defined by *A* with the electron– photon coupling constant $g_{\gamma} = e A a_w \Omega_w / c$, and $\hat{a}(\hat{a}^{\dagger})$ are annihiquency due to the external magnetic field.

41 107 magnetic field in the *z*-direction is

$$
f_{h/c} = \left[1 + \exp\left((E - \mu_{h/c})/(k_B T_{h/c})\right)\right]^{-1},
$$
\n
$$
f_{h/s} = \int d^2 r \,\hat{\psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \left[\frac{1}{2m^*} \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla + \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r})\right)^2\right] \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{r})
$$
\nwhere $\mu_h(T_h)$ and $\mu_c(T_c)$ are the chemical potential (temperature)
\nof the hot and cold leads, respectively. We consider the voltage\n
$$
+ \hat{H}_{ee} + \hbar \omega_\gamma \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a},
$$
\n(5)

 $\frac{49}{15}$ and the last term is the quantized single-
 $\frac{115}{15}$ and the last term is the quantized single- 50 heat is transferred over time. In our system the heat current in our mode photon field, with photon energy $\hbar\omega_{\gamma}$. The electron–electron 116 $\frac{51}{11}$ is the expression of the electron–photon interactions are taken into account step- $\frac{117}{11}$ 52 118 wise using exact diagonalization techniques and truncations [\[19,](#page--1-0) 53 HC = Tr $\left[\dot{\delta}_{s}(t)(\hat{H}_{s}-\mu\hat{N}_{s})\right]$ (19) 15. The time evolution of the system is described by a non-54 120 Markovian generalized master equation in order to study the non- $\sum_{i=1}^{55}$ $\sum_{i=1}^{5} (\hat{\alpha}) \hat{\beta}_{i} (\hat{\beta}_{i}) (F_{\alpha} - \mu \hat{N}_{\alpha}) \delta_{\alpha} \theta$ (21) equilibrium electron transport in the total system [\[20\].](#page--1-0)

3. Results

⁵⁹ the electrons in the central system coupled to the photons in the $\frac{125}{100}$ In this section, we present results of our study of the thermo-⁶⁰ cavity, \hat{N}_a is the electron number operator, and $\mu = \mu_b = \mu_c$. But, electric transport. The system is a two-dimensional quantum wire 126 ⁶¹ the rate at which electrons are transferred over time by a tempera-
pin xy-plane with hard-wall confinement in the *x*-direction and ¹²⁷ 62 ture gradient is the thermoelectric current (TEC). The TEC through parabolically confinement in the y-direction. The quantum wire is 128 63 the OW connected to the leads and coupled to the photon cavity connected to two leads with different temperature and the same 129 64 is defined as 130 is defined as 65 131 131 is higher than that of the right lead (T_c). The electron con-131 $\begin{equation} 66 \quad \text{TEC} =: \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}^{\mu}_{\alpha}(t)Q] - \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}^{\mu}_{\alpha}(t)Q]. \end{equation}$ (3) finement energy of the quantum wire is equal to that of the leads α

Please cite this article in press as: N.R. Abdullah et al., Effects of photon field on heat transport through a quantum wire attached to leads, Phys. Lett. A (2018), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2017.11.007

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8204219>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8204219>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)