Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Physics Letters A

www.elsevier.com/locate/pla



Wall effect on fluid-structure interactions of a tethered bluff body



Sumant Sharma¹, Vrishank Raghav^{*,2}, Narayanan Komerath³, Marilyn Smith³

Daniel Guggenheim School of Aerospace Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332-0150, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 12 April 2013 Accepted 3 June 2013 Available online 6 June 2013 Communicated by F. Porcelli

Keywords: Dynamic wall effect Bluff body Fluid-structure interaction Instability Sling load

ABSTRACT

Wind tunnel experiments have shown an unexplained amplification of the free motion of a tethered bluff body in a small wind tunnel relative to that in a large wind tunnel. The influence of wall proximity on fluid-structure interaction is explored using a compound pendulum motion in the plane orthogonal to a steady freestream with a doublet model for aerodynamic forces. Wall proximity amplifies a purely symmetric single degree of freedom oscillation with the addition of an out-of-phase force. The success of this simple level of simulation enables progress to develop metrics for unsteady wall interference in dynamic testing of tethered bluff bodies.

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Fluid-structure interaction (FSI) arises due to the coupling between unsteady fluid flow and structural motion of the bluff body in several engineering problems [1]. For instance, bluff body loads suspended from a helicopter at a single point allow for several degrees of freedom of motion [2]. The possibility of large oscillations due to FSI limits the domain of safe operation. Such FSI problems involve a variety of dynamic phenomena over a wide range of flow parameters. Williamson's review [3] indicates that prior work in this area has focused primarily on vortex-induced vibrations.

Tethered bluff body studies are often conducted using scalemodel experiments [4,5] in wind or water tunnels. In aerodynamic literature, blockage is a term used to describe the ratio of the projected area occupied by the body to the total test section area of the wind/water tunnel. Blockage is a constraint which is experienced by a body immersed in a moving fluid bounded by rigid walls. The walls prevent the free displacement of the airflow by the body resulting in unrealistic pressure distributions. A comprehensive review of subsonic wall effects is presented by Garner et al. [6]. Wall interference effects on unsteady experiments have been studied primarily for oscillating wings and are presented in [6,7]. The acceptable level of blockage posed by the body in

* Corresponding author.

the tunnel is a significant parameter in selecting the maximum model scale (and is generally set at 5 percent of the cross-sectional area of the tunnel test section). The issue that motivated this study is the possibility that unsteady motion causes unexpected wall effects that contaminate measurements, even when the static blockage is within accepted limits.

A high-fidelity prediction of such interactions would require a well-resolved time-dependent fluid dynamic computation combined with a 6-degree-of-freedom dynamics model and structural dynamics of the tether and body system. This would require large computational resources. This Letter reports exploratory results on a rapid potential flow technique to identify how a proximal wall would affect unsteady bluff body FSI. Such a technique can provide physical insight and the ability to experiment with many combinations to represent various interaction mechanisms. A fundamental simulation of instability mechanisms would also enable confident prediction of the performance of such loads at different speeds and sizes. This simulation technique could become a powerful tool to gain and use physical insight of dynamic–aerodynamic response of tethered bodies using a consistent mathematical framework.

2. Motivation and hypothesis

The motivation for this study was derived from the observation of results from wind tunnel experiments conducted on a tethered rectangular bluff body in two wind tunnels (test section dimensions – 2.74 m × 2.13 m and 1.07 m × 1.07 m). At low speeds, roll oscillations accompanied by yaw were seen to amplify only in the 1.07 m × 1.07 m tunnel. The divergence speed (defined below) measured in the 1.07 m × 1.07 m tunnel was thus substantially lower than that seen from tests in the 2.74 m × 2.13 m



E-mail addresses: vrishank@gatech.edu (V. Raghav), komerath@gatech.edu (N. Komerath).

¹ Undergraduate Research Assistant.

 ² Graduate Research Assistant.
³ Professor

³ Professor.

^{0375-9601/\$ -} see front matter © 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2013.06.002

Table 1

Basic mechanisms for amplification.



tunnel. Divergence was defined as a condition where the amplification rate is above a certain threshold, or the amplitude of oscillations exceeds a specified threshold, either case triggering concerns about vehicle safety. Good guidance on the mechanisms that are in play would enable alleviation techniques or quantitative metrics to guide safety decisions. Several basic mechanisms can be considered for the initiation of divergence. In each of these listed below (illustrated in Table 1), different phenomena must interact to amplify the motion.

- 1. Yaw oscillations induced by:
 - (a) Lateral motions (rolling) of the body;
 - (b) Unsteady flow experienced by the body;
 - (c) Phenomena which causes an asymmetric C_p .
- 2. Yaw oscillations can also couple with pitch through the action of drag forces that create fore–aft swing.
- 3. Yaw and lateral swing induced by vortex shedding.
- Vortex shedding drives periodic drag oscillations, coupling angle of attack with yaw.

3. Methodology

A sequence was developed to computationally simulate the different degrees of freedom in the motion of the tethered box. Degrees of freedom were added one at a time. The body was modeled as a rigid body on a compound pendulum as illustrated in Fig. 1(a). The model accounts for only a single sling that is attached to the center of the top surface of the box, unlike the wind tunnel experiments where the box had four slings. Conservation of angular momentum for a rigid body in two-dimensional (2D) motion gives:

$$I_{xx}\ddot{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_P = \sum \mathbf{M}_P - \mathbf{r}_{pc} \times m_T \mathbf{a}_P \tag{1}$$

where, I_{xx} is the mass moment of inertia of the bluff body along x-x axis about point *P*, \mathbf{M}_P is the moment balance about point *P*, \mathbf{r}_{pc} is the displacement vector of *P* from center of mass *C*, m_T is the total mass of the body and \mathbf{a}_P is the acceleration vector of the point *P*.

Since the pivot point P is stationary and the analysis is 2D, Eq. (1) simplifies to:

$$I_{xx}\ddot{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_P = \sum \mathbf{M}_P \tag{2}$$

$$I_{xx}\ddot{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_P = -m_T \mathbf{g} l \sin(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_P) \tag{3}$$

where **g** is the acceleration due to gravity, *l* is the length of the tether, and α_P is the angular displacement.

The bluff body was modeled using a 2D doublet placed at the center of the bluff body. The walls were modeled using the method of images, essentially using the images of the doublet to model the effect of the wall. The interaction of a freestream and a doublet provides two components of velocity. The components are separated into radial and orthogonal directions. Using the velocity potential due to a doublet, the velocity at a point is given by:

$$V_R = \left(U_\infty - \frac{\kappa}{r^2}\right)\cos(\theta) \tag{4}$$

$$V_{\theta} = \left(-U_{\infty} - \frac{\kappa}{r^2}\right)\sin(\theta) \tag{5}$$

where V_R and V_{θ} are the radial and orthogonal components of induced flow velocity by the doublet (see Fig. 1(b)), U_{∞} is the freestream velocity, κ the doublet strength, r is the distance from the doublet, and θ is as defined in Fig. 1(b).

Once the velocity was determined, the pressure was determined using the Bernoulli equation for incompressible flow, assuming isentropic flow and thus constant stagnation pressure. This equation determines the force due to the induced velocity at each point and thereby the forcing function due to the wall. It should be noted that the velocity of the swinging pendulum motion is very small compared to the freestream velocity. In this model, there are six different velocities that must be accounted for when analyzing the sides of the bluff body that face the walls. After calculating the dynamic pressure ($q = \frac{1}{2}\rho V^2$) due to each of the velocities, a force for each face (facing the wall) was calculated. This force



Fig. 1. Details of the pendulum model and doublet element.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8205635

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8205635

Daneshyari.com