

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com**ScienceDirect**

Physics of Life Reviews ••• (••••) •••–•••

**PHYSICS of LIFE
reviews**www.elsevier.com/locate/plrev

Review

Diversity, evolution, and therapeutic applications of small RNAs in prokaryotic and eukaryotic immune systems

Edwin L. Cooper^{*}, Nicola Overstreet

Laboratory of Comparative Immunology, Department of Neurobiology, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1763, United States

Received 14 September 2013; accepted 5 November 2013

Communicated by M. Frank-Kamenetskii

Abstract

Recent evidence supports that prokaryotes exhibit adaptive immunity in the form of CRISPR (Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats) and Cas (CRISPR associated proteins). The CRISPR–Cas system confers resistance to exogenous genetic elements such as phages and plasmids by allowing for the recognition and silencing of these genetic elements. Moreover, CRISPR–Cas serves as a memory of past exposures. This suggests that the evolution of the immune system has counterparts among the prokaryotes, not exclusively among eukaryotes. Mathematical models have been proposed which simulate the evolutionary patterns of CRISPR, however large gaps in our understanding of CRISPR–Cas function and evolution still exist. The CRISPR–Cas system is analogous to small RNAs involved in resistance mechanisms throughout the tree of life, and a deeper understanding of the evolution of small RNA pathways is necessary before the relationship between these convergent systems is to be determined. Presented in this review are novel RNAi therapies based on CRISPR–Cas analogs and the potential for future therapies based on CRISPR–Cas system components.

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Contents

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Prokaryotes and eukaryotic immune systems	2
1.2. CRISPR–Cas overview	3
1.3. Discovery of CRISPR–Cas	3
2. CRISPR–Cas elements	3
2.1. The CRISPR locus	3
2.2. Cas proteins	3
3. CRISPR–Cas mechanisms	4
3.1. Integration of spacers: adaption	4
3.2. crRNA biogenesis	5
3.3. Invader silencing	6

^{*} Corresponding author.

3.4.	Memory of past exposures	6
4.	Evolution of CRISPR–Cas systems	7
4.1.	CRISPR–Cas and Lamarckian evolution	7
4.2.	Co-evolving CRISPR–Cas elements	7
4.3.	Diversification of CRISPR forms	7
4.4.	Horizontal CRISPR–Cas element transfer	8
4.5.	Mathematical models of CRISPR–Cas evolution	8
4.6.	Origin of CRISPR–Cas systems	8
5.	Eukaryotic analogs: miRNA, siRNA, and piRNA	9
5.1.	miRNA in immune defense	9
5.1.1.	Overview of miRNA formation and function	9
5.1.2.	Function in mammalian immune systems	10
5.1.3.	Evolution of miRNA	10
5.1.4.	Implications of CRISPR–Cas and miRNA similarities	10
5.2.	SiRNA in immune defense	11
5.2.1.	Overview of siRNA formation and function	11
5.2.2.	Function in plant immune systems	12
5.2.3.	Function in invertebrate immune systems	13
5.3.	piRNA in immune defense	13
5.3.1.	Overview of piRNA	13
5.3.2.	PiRNA evolution	14
5.4.	PiRNA and CRISPR–Cas comparisons	14
5.4.1.	Similarities to CRISPR–Cas systems	14
5.4.2.	Differences in CRISPR–Cas and piRNA	15
5.4.3.	piRNA and CRISPR–Cas evolution	15
5.4.4.	Gaps in evolutionary understanding	15
6.	Therapeutic value of small RNAs	16
6.1.	Overview of RNAi therapies	16
6.2.	Obstacles in therapeutic RNAi	16
6.3.	Targets of current research	17
6.4.	Potential for therapies based on the CRISPR–Cas system	17
	References	19

1. Introduction

1.1. Prokaryotes and eukaryotic immune systems

Living organisms have evolved into three domains: Bacteria, Archaea, and Eukaryota. Two of these three domains – the Bacteria and the Archaea – consist of generally unicellular organisms that lack a cell nucleus and other membrane-bound organelles. These organisms are known as prokaryotes. On the other hand, the eukaryotes of domain Eukaryota contain DNA and metabolic sites enclosed within membranes.

All large, complex organisms, such as plants and animals, are eukaryotes, and can sport varied and multifaceted immune systems. Traditionally, the immune system can be divided into two main systems: the innate and adaptive. The distinguishing characteristics of the two systems contrast greatly. The innate immune system is natural, non-specific, non-anticipatory, non-clonal, germ line, and does not retain memory of past exposures. This type of immune system is found throughout the animal and plant kingdoms. The adaptive immune system is acquired, specific, anticipatory, clonal, somatic, and allows for memory of past exposures [27]. Differences in animal evolution and morphology have led to associations with either or both of these systems. Primarily, vertebrates employ some combination of the two systems, while invertebrates tend only to have access to the innate immune system [25,27]. Invertebrates do not possess a T-cell-like recognition system, whereas vertebrates have a highly specialized recognition system against non-self components [28]. Often when both systems are present they are highly interrelated [26,27]. Innate mechanisms can be viewed as a part of a continuum of adaptive immune system responses [29].

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8207256>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8207256>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)