



Estimates for eigenvalues of the Wentzell–Laplace operator

Feng Du^{a,b,*}, Qiaoling Wang^b, Changyu Xia^b

^a School of Mathematics and Physics Science, Jingchu University of Technology, Jingmen, 448000, China

^b Departamento de Matemática, Universidade de Brasília, 70910-900-Brasília-DF, Brazil



ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 26 October 2016

Received in revised form 1 November 2017

Accepted 28 February 2018

Available online 7 March 2018

MSC:

35P15

53C40

58C40

Keywords:

Wentzell–Laplace operator

Eigenvalues

r -mean curvature

Mean curvature

ABSTRACT

For an n -dimensional compact submanifold M with boundary in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^N , we give some sharp estimates for eigenvalues of the Wentzell–Laplace operator of M .

© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with boundary ∂M , and let $\bar{\Delta}$ and Δ be the Laplace–Beltrami operators on M and ∂M , respectively. Assume that τ is a real number and consider the eigenvalue problem for Wentzell boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} \bar{\Delta}u = 0, & \text{in } M, \\ -\tau \Delta u + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \lambda u, & \text{on } \partial M, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where ν denotes the outward unit normal vector field of ∂M . When M is a bounded domain in a Euclidean space, the above problem has been studied recently in [1]. A general derivation of Wentzell boundary conditions can be found in [2]. More recently, Xia and Wang [3] gave some estimates for eigenvalues of problem (1.1) when M is a Riemannian manifold.

When $\tau = 0$, the eigenvalue problem (1.1) becomes the following Steklov eigenvalue problem:

$$\bar{\Delta}u = 0 \text{ in } M, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = pu \text{ on } \partial M. \quad (1.2)$$

It is known that the Steklov problem (1.2) has a discrete spectrum

$$0 = p_0 < p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \cdots \leq p_k \leq \cdots$$

* Corresponding author at: School of Mathematics and Physics Science, Jingchu University of Technology, Jingmen, 448000, China.
E-mail addresses: defengdu123@163.com (F. Du), wang@mat.unb.br (Q. Wang), xia@mat.unb.br (C. Xia).

The study of this problem was started by Steklov [4], whose motivation came from physics. The function u represents the steady state temperature on M such that the flux on the boundary is proportional to the temperature. From then on, for the Steklov eigenvalue problem, many interesting results have been obtained (cf. [5–15]).

When $\tau \geq 0$, the spectrum of the Laplacian with Wentzell condition consists in an increasing countable sequence of eigenvalues

$$0 = \lambda_{0,\tau} < \lambda_{1,\tau} \leq \lambda_{2,\tau} \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{k,\tau} \leq \dots$$

with corresponding real orthonormal (in $L^2(\partial M)$) eigenfunctions u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots . Throughout the paper, we assume that $\tau \geq 0$.

We adopt the convention that each eigenvalue is repeated according to its multiplicity. Consider the Hilbert space

$$H(M) = \{u \in H^1(M), \text{Tr}_{\partial M}(u) \in H^1(\partial M)\}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\text{Tr}_{\partial M}$ is the trace operator. We define on $H(M)$ two bilinear forms

$$B_\tau(u, v) = \int_M \langle \bar{\nabla}u, \bar{\nabla}v \rangle dV + \tau \int_{\partial M} \langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle dA, \quad D(u, v) = \int_{\partial M} uv dA, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\bar{\nabla}$ and ∇ are the gradient operators on M and ∂M , respectively. Since τ is nonnegative, the two bilinear forms are positive and the variational characterization for the k th eigenvalue is

$$\lambda_{k,\tau} = \min \left\{ \frac{B_\tau(v, v)}{D(v, v)} : v \in H(M), v \neq 0, \int_{\partial M} v u_j dA = 0, j = 1, \dots, k - 1 \right\}, k = 1, 2, \dots \tag{1.5}$$

In particular, when $k = 1$, the minimum is taken over the functions orthogonal to the eigenfunctions associated to $\lambda_{0,\tau} = 0$, i.e., the constant functions.

In this paper, we firstly give a Reilly-type inequality for eigenvalues of the problem (1.1) which generalizes the corresponding result of the Steklov eigenvalues in [16]. Before stating the result, let us fix the notion of the higher order mean curvature. One can also find it in [17]. Let (W, g) be an m -dimensional submanifold immersed in an N -dimensional space $\mathbb{Q}^N(c)$, $c = 0, 1$, i.e., $\mathbb{Q}^N(0)$ is the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{m+p} and $\mathbb{Q}^N(1)$ is the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^N . Denote by $y : W \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^N(c)$ the immersion and let \mathbf{h} be the second fundamental form of W , which is normal-vector valued. Suppose that $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^m$ is a local orthonormal basis for the tangent bundle of W with dual $\{\theta_i\}_{i=1}^m$, and moreover, $\{e_A\}_{A=m+1}^N$ is a local orthonormal basis for the normal bundle of W . Let $\mathbf{h}_{ij} := \mathbf{h}(e_i, e_j) = \sum_{A=m+1}^N h_{ij}^A e_A$, where $h_{ij}^A, i, j = 1, \dots, m, A = m + 1, \dots, N$, are the components of the second fundamental form of W . Clearly, (\mathbf{h}_{ij}) is a vector matrix with respect to the frame $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^m$. One can define a $(0, 2)$ -tensor T_r for $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, m - 1\}$ as follows. If r is even, we set

$$\begin{aligned} T_r &= \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{\substack{i_1 \dots i_r \\ j_1 \dots j_r}} \delta_{j_1 \dots j_r}^{i_1 \dots i_r} \langle \mathbf{h}_{i_1 j_1}, \mathbf{h}_{i_2 j_2} \rangle \dots \langle \mathbf{h}_{i_{r-1} j_{r-1}}, \mathbf{h}_{i_r j_r} \rangle \theta_{i_1} \otimes \theta_{j_1} \\ &= \sum_{i, j} T_{ij}^i \theta_i \otimes \theta_j, \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_{j_1 \dots j_r}^{i_1 \dots i_r} (1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_r, i \leq m, 1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_r, j \leq m)$ are the generalized Kronecker symbols and

$$T_{ij}^i = \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{\substack{i_1 \dots i_r \\ j_1 \dots j_r}} \delta_{j_1 \dots j_r}^{i_1 \dots i_r} \langle \mathbf{h}_{i_1 j_1}, \mathbf{h}_{i_2 j_2} \rangle \dots \langle \mathbf{h}_{i_{r-1} j_{r-1}}, \mathbf{h}_{i_r j_r} \rangle.$$

We also set

$$\begin{aligned} T_{r-1} &= \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \delta_{j_1 \dots j_{r-1}}^{i_1 \dots i_{r-1}} \langle \mathbf{h}_{i_1 j_1}, \mathbf{h}_{i_2 j_2} \rangle \dots \langle \mathbf{h}_{i_{r-3} j_{r-3}}, \mathbf{h}_{i_{r-2} j_{r-2}} \rangle \mathbf{h}_{i_{r-1} j_{r-1}} \theta_{i_1} \otimes \theta_{j_1} \\ &= (T_{(r-1)ij}^A e_A) \theta_i \otimes \theta_j, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$T_{(r-1)ij}^A = \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \delta_{j_1 \dots j_{r-1}}^{i_1 \dots i_{r-1}} \langle \mathbf{h}_{i_1 j_1}, \mathbf{h}_{i_2 j_2} \rangle \dots \langle \mathbf{h}_{i_{r-3} j_{r-3}}, \mathbf{h}_{i_{r-2} j_{r-2}} \rangle h_{i_{r-1} j_{r-1}}^A.$$

For any even integer $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, m - 1\}$, the r th mean curvature function \mathbf{H}_r and $(r + 1)$ -th mean curvature vector field \mathbf{H}_{r+1} are defined by

$$\mathbf{H}_r = \frac{1}{c_n^r} T_{(r-1)ij}^A h_{i_{r-1} j_{r-1}}^A, \tag{1.6}$$

$$\mathbf{H}_{r+1} = \frac{1}{c_n^{r+1} (r+1)} T_{ij}^i h_{i_{r-1} j_{r-1}}^A e_A, \tag{1.7}$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8255465>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8255465>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)