### ARTICLE IN PRESS

Biochimica et Biophysica Acta xxx (2013) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Biochimica et Biophysica Acta



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bbadis

#### 1 Review

# Structural plasticity of dendritic spines: The underlying mechanisms and its dysregulation in brain disorders

#### Q1 Kwok-On Lai, Nancy Y. Ip\*

Q2 Division of Life Science, Molecular Neuroscience Center and State Key Laboratory of Molecular Neuroscience, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong

#### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history: Received 5 July 2013 Received in revised form 13 August 2013 Accepted 28 August 2013

12 Available online xxxx

- 18 \_\_\_\_\_
- Keywords:
  Synaptic plasticity
  NMDA receptor
- 19 Dendritic spine
- 20 BDNF 21 Rho G
- Rho GTPase
  Local protein synthesis

#### 35

#### 34

6

7

8

9

10

11

#### 36 1. Introduction

Dendritic spines, which were first described by Ramón y Cajal more Q3 than one hundred years ago, are the specialized subcellular compart-38 ments that characterize dendritic arbors and are where excitatory syn-39 apses are located. In the adult mouse neocortex, the majority (96%) of 40dendritic spines encapsulate large electron-dense structures known as 41 the postsynaptic densities that mark synaptic contacts [1]. On the 42 other hand, very few excitatory synapses are found on the dendritic 43 shaft. Consequently, the density of dendritic spines directly indicates 44 45 the number of excitatory synaptic inputs onto a particular neuron. Dendritic spines are largely heterogeneous in both size and shape, even 46 within a single dendritic segment of a given neuron. The morphology 47 of dendritic spines can be generally classified into three classes: the 48 49 stubby spine, which lacks an apparent neck; the thin spine, which contains a small bulbous head and a thin, long neck; and the mushroom 50spine, which contains a large mushroom-shaped head [2]. In addition, 5152there are elongated dendritic protrusions called filopodia, which are longer than 4  $\mu$ m (as opposed to spines which typically are <2  $\mu$ m in 53 length) and do not possess distinctive heads. Filopodia are more prom-5455inent in the developing brain at early postnatal stages and diminish with adulthood [3]. One prevailing view is that filopodia represent the 5657spine precursors during synapse formation [4]. The long necks of filopodia would render them highly motile and hence facilitate the 5859 search for presynaptic partners during synaptogenesis. However, it 60 has also been reported that during the first postnatal week, many

0925-4439/\$ – see front matter © 2013 Published by Elsevier B.V. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbadis.2013.08.012

ABSTRACT

Dendritic spines are specialized structures on neuronal processes where the majority of excitatory synapses are 23 localized. Spines are highly dynamic, and their stabilization and morphology are influenced by synaptic activity. 24 This extrinsic regulation of spine morphogenesis underlies experience-dependent brain development and infor-25 mation storage within the brain's circuitry. In this review, we summarize recent findings that demonstrate the 26 phenomenon of activity-dependent structural plasticity and the molecular mechanisms by which synaptic activ-27 ity sculpt neuronal connections. Impaired structural plasticity is associated with perturbed brain function in 28 neurodevelopmental disorders such as autism. Information from the mechanistic studies therefore provides 29 important insights into the design of therapeutic strategies for these brain disorders. 30

© 2013 Published by Elsevier B.V. 31

33

synaptic contacts occurred directly on dendritic shafts rather than on 61 the tips of filopodia [5], suggesting that the pre-requisite of filopodia 62 for synaptogenesis might not apply to all synapses [6]. 63

As recently indicated by Yuste [7,8], in order to understand how the 64 neural circuit functions it is important to ask: Why do excitatory axons 65 choose to form synapses on dendritic spines rather than the dendritic 66 shafts of the postsynaptic neuron? Dendritic spines likely offer distinct 67 advantages for excitatory neurotransmission and function of the brain 68 circuits. One distinct advantage is that the presence of spine necks 69 allows the formation of isolated biochemical and electrical compart-70 ments, which enable each synapse on a single spine to function and be 71 regulated independently. It is widely believed that the functional prop-72 erty of dendritic spines is highly correlated with their morphology. 73 Parameters such as the dimension of spine head and spine neck deter-74 mine different aspects of dendritic spine function, including the abun-75 dance of neurotransmitter receptors, the diffusion of small molecules 76 between spine and shaft, as well as the motility and stability of the 77 spine [6]. The narrow spine neck might also compartmentalize calcium 78 [7], thus allowing the strength of individual synapses to be modulated 79 differently during synaptic plasticity such as long-term potentiation 80 (LTP) and long-term depression (LTD). Altered spine morphology has 81 been observed in neurological disorders such as fragile-X syndrome 82 [9], underscoring the importance of the tight regulation of spine mor- 83 phology in proper brain function. 84

Dendritic spines are highly dynamic during development as well as 85 in the mature nervous system. Spine formation, turnover and morphol- 86 ogy continue to be modulated in the adult brain by input from the envi- 87 ronment in the form of synaptic activity, which is central to memory 88 formation and other adaptive changes of the brain. Notably, activity- 89

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +852 2358 7269; fax: +852 2358 2765. *E-mail address*: boip@ust.hk (N.Y. Ip).

2

### **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

dependent spine morphogenesis is impaired in many neurological dis-90 91 orders. Investigating the molecular mechanisms that underlie structural plasticity of synapses will therefore be crucial in understanding how the 92 93 brain functions, and should provide important insights on identifying therapeutic targets for various neurological disorders. In this review, 04 we focus on recent progress in (1) demonstrating activity-dependent 95spine remodeling during synaptic plasticity and learning/memory, (2) 96 97 elucidating molecular mechanisms that underlie activity-dependent 98 structural plasticity, and (3) delineating the relationship between im-99 paired spine morphogenesis and neurological disorders.

#### 100 2. Activity-dependent spine morphogenesis: the phenomenon

#### 101 2.1. Spine maintenance and maturation

Whereas most recent studies on activity-dependent structural plas-102 ticity focus on the rapid spine remodeling in learning-related synaptic 103 plasticity of the mature brain, it is important to realize that synaptic 104 transmission and neuronal activity also play key roles in sculpting 105neural circuits across development by regulating the maturation and 106 maintenance of dendritic spines [10]. In dissociated hippocampal neu-107 rons, blocking neuronal activity by tetrodotoxin (TTX) reduces spine 108 109 number or leads to the appearance of long immature dendritic protrusions that lack clear spine heads [11–13]. Excitatory neurotransmission 110 111 involving ionotropic glutamate receptors appears particularly important to structural plasticity. Pharmacological blockade of AMPA receptor 112 by NBQX in dissociated hippocampal neurons or organotypic slice cul-113 114 tures also causes spine loss [14,15]. Interestingly, inhibition of NMDA receptors by APV results in appearance of filipodia-like processes with-115out reducing density of the total dendritic protrusions, indicating differ-116 ential roles for the two types of receptors in spine maintenance and 117 118 maturation [14]. Moreover, unlike the situation in dissociated neurons, 119 blocking neuronal activity by TTX affects neither spine density nor 120 spine maturation in hippocampal slice culture. This leads to the interesting hypothesis that miniature glutamate release serves to 121 maintain dendritic spines, which potentially explains why synapses 122123 that might be inactive most of the time can be retained in the adult brain without elimination [14]. One should emphasize, however, 124 that contrasting studies have demonstrated an increase in spine den-125sity upon blockade of synaptic transmission (for example, [16–18]), 126which can potentially be explained by homeostatic regulation of 127 128 structural plasticity.

More insight into activity-dependent spine maintenance has been 129gained from in vivo studies using two-photon microscopy. It has long 130 been suggested that dendritic spines are over-produced during early 131 postnatal stages, after which extensive spine pruning occurs to refine 132133 the circuit [19]. Spine turnover of the neocortical pyramidal neurons has been monitored at different postnatal stages, which indicates that 134spine elimination indeed exceeds spine formation in adolescent ani-135mals. Spines become more stable in the adult brain, when spine pruning 136is significantly reduced [20,21]. Furthermore, mushroom spines are 137138 more persistent than thin spines, suggesting a correlation between 139spine morphology and stability. Time-lapse two-photon imaging also demonstrates that whisker trimming in mice modulates spine 140elimination of layer V pyramidal neurons in the barrel cortex 141 [22,23]. Likewise, monocular deprivation accelerates spine pruning 142143 on the apical dendrites of layer II/III pyramidal neurons of the visual cortex [24]. These studies therefore provide compelling evidence 144 that sensory experience can modify spine stability of neurons in 145the relevant cortical region. 146

#### 147 2.2. Spine remodeling after induction of synaptic plasticity and learning

Hebbian LTP and LTD are well-studied forms of synaptic plasticity
 that form the cellular basis of hippocampal-dependent learning and
 memory. It is believed that the persistent changes of synaptic strength

during late-phase LTP and LTD involve structural changes of the synap- 151 se, which include the formation and elimination of synaptic connections 152 and changes in spine morphology. An increase in synaptic strength by 153 LTP in hippocampal slices is associated with the rapid formation of 154 new spines that depend on NMDA receptor [25,26]. This is confirmed 155 in dissociated hippocampal neurons upon the induction of chemically-156 induced LTP (cLTP) [27,28]. LTP induction also triggers rapid enlarge- 157 ment of the spine heads [28-30]. Spine enlargement precedes the 158 increase in AMPA receptor abundance [30] and larger spines are associ- 159 ated with larger PSD and greater glutamate-induced current and calci- 160 um influx [31,32], suggesting that spine enlargement is essential for 161 the increased postsynaptic response in LTP. More recent studies employ 162 two-photon glutamate uncaging to demonstrate NMDA receptor- 163 dependent enlargement of individual spines, which reconciles with 164 the input-specific property of LTP [33,34]. Interestingly, although struc- 165 tural remodeling is specific to the stimulated spine, there is cross-talk to 166 neighboring spines such that the threshold of inducing subsequent 167 spine enlargement for them is reduced [35,36]. Recently, LTP-inducing 168 glutamate uncaging has also been shown to stabilize newly-formed 169 spines: upon stimulation, about half of the new spines can survive 170 beyond 20 h after their initial growth, as opposed to ~25% for 171 unstimulated spines of the same neurons [37]. Taken together, 172 these studies suggest that during LTP, activation of NMDA receptor 173 increases connectivity of specific neurons through modulation of 174 dendritic spines in three different ways: the enlargement of pre- 175 existing spines, the stabilization of newly-formed spines, and the 176 formation of new spines. 177

Contrary to the growth of dendritic spines in response to LTP, a 178 reduction of synaptic strength during LTD is correlated with spine 179 shrinkage and retraction [38–40]. Live imaging of dendritic spines 180 after stimulation by low-frequency uncaging glutamate further demon-181 strates that LTD-inducing stimulus leads to spine shrinkage specifically 182 on the stimulated spine but not neighboring spines. Therefore, like 183 LTP-induced spine enlargement, spine shrinkage induced by LTD is 184 also synapse-specific [41]. Although size reduction is observed for 185 both large and small spines, their mechanism is different, such that 186 the retraction of small spines depends on NMDA receptor, while that 187 of large spines requires both NMDA receptor and metabotropic gluta-188 mate receptor [41]. This latter observation is consistent with studies 189 showing the involvement of mGluR in experience-dependent structural 190 plasticity [13,42].

Can the structural plasticity induced by LTP be observed during 192 natural learning (as opposed to experimental manipulation of sensory 193 experience such as whisker trimming)? This important question has 194 been addressed recently by different laboratories using two-photon mi- 195 croscopy. By monitoring spines of pyramidal neurons in the motor cor- 196 tex, it has been demonstrated that training mice with a motor learning 197 task rapidly induces the formation of new spines. Importantly, many of 198 these new spines can persist for weeks and months after training, and 199 the mice performance of the motor task positively correlates with the 200 extent of new spine formation [43,44]. Repetitive motor learning leads 201 to the formation of new spines in clusters, which also show increased 202 head size and stability compared to non-clustered new spines. The for- 203 mation of clustered spines upon repeated training is particularly inter- 204 esting, since neighboring spines are proposed to function within the 205 same neural circuit and transmit similar information to the postsynaptic 206 neuron, therefore encode related memory [45]. 207

#### 3. Activity-dependent spine morphogenesis: the mechanisms

208

Dendritic spines are enriched in actin, and activity-dependent spine 209 growth and remodeling depend on signal transduction that modulates 210 actin dynamics [46,47]. Here, we summarize recent advances in our understanding of the molecular mechanisms by which activity-dependent 212 spine morphogenesis is regulated, focusing in particular on the role and 213 regulation of small GTPases (Fig. 1). 214

Please cite this article as: K.-O. Lai, N.Y. Ip, Structural plasticity of dendritic spines: The underlying mechanisms and its dysregulation in brain disorders, Biochim. Biophys. Acta (2013), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbadis.2013.08.012

Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8260583

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8260583

Daneshyari.com