



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Free Radical Biology and Medicine

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/freeradbiomed

Original Contribution

Efficacy and safety of selenium nanoparticles administered intraperitoneally for the prevention of growth of cancer cells in the peritoneal cavity

Xin Wang¹, Kang Sun¹, Yanping Tan, Shanshan Wu, Jinsong Zhang*

School of Tea and Food Science, Anhui Agricultural University, Hefei 230036, Anhui, People's Republic of China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 11 January 2014

Received in revised form

2 April 2014

Accepted 3 April 2014

Keywords:

Intraperitoneal administration

Peritoneal carcinomatosis

Selective drug distribution

Selenium

Nanoparticles

Free radicals

ABSTRACT

Peritoneal implantation of cancer cells, particularly postoperative seeding metastasis, frequently occurs in patients with primary tumors in the stomach, colon, liver, and ovary. Peritoneal carcinomatosis is associated with poor prognosis. In this work, we evaluated the prophylactic effect of intraperitoneal administration of selenium (Se), an essential trace element and a putative chemopreventive agent, on peritoneal implantation of cancer cells. Elemental Se nanoparticles were injected into the abdominal cavity of mice, into which highly malignant H22 hepatocarcinoma cells had previously been inoculated. Se concentrations in the cancer cells and tissues, as well as the efficacy of proliferation inhibition and safety, were evaluated. Se was mainly concentrated in cancer cells compared to Se retention in normal tissues, showing at least an order of magnitude difference between the drug target cells (the H22 cells) and the well-recognized toxicity target of Se (the liver). Such a favorable selective distribution resulted in strong proliferation suppression without perceived host toxicity. The mechanism of action of the Se nanoparticle-triggered cytotoxicity was associated with Se-mediated production of reactive oxygen species, which impaired the glutathione and thioredoxin systems. Our results suggest that intraperitoneal administration of Se is a safe and effective means of preventing growth of cancer cells in the peritoneal cavity for the above-mentioned high-risk populations.

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Peritoneal carcinomatosis is a life-threatening complication of gastric, colorectal, liver, and ovarian cancers [1–3]. Peritoneal seeding of cancer cells frequently occurs during operation as a result of surgical trauma to the tumors, which are exposed to the abdominal cavity [4,5]. In addition, spontaneous rupture of a hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)² results in intraperitoneal spillage of the cancer cells [2,3]. If peritoneal implantation of cancer cells cannot be effectively suppressed at an early stage, it can lead to the formation of malignant ascites, which are refractory to treatment, or numerous small tumor nodules and tumor masses of various sizes, which are too widespread for resection to be performed on them [1].

Abbreviations: BSA, bovine serum albumin; DCFH-DA, 2',7'-dichlorofluorescein diacetate; GPx, glutathione peroxidase; GR, glutathione reductase; GSH, glutathione; GST, glutathione S-transferase; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; IPC, intraperitoneal chemotherapy; NADPH, nicotinamide adenosine dinucleotide phosphate; NPFT, nonprotein free thiol; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; ROS, reactive oxygen species; Trx, thioredoxin; TrxR, thioredoxin reductase.

* Corresponding author. Fax: +86 551 6578 6283.

E-mail address: zjs@ahau.edu.cn (J. Zhang).¹ These authors contributed equally to this work.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2014.04.003>
0891-5849/© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Intraperitoneal chemotherapy (IPC), approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration [6], is the most efficient modality for treatment of peritoneal carcinomatosis because the peritoneal-plasma barrier significantly inhibits the transport of certain kinds of drugs from the peritoneal cavity to systemic circulation, thereby generating a high drug concentration around the cancer cells located in the peritoneal cavity and maintaining low systemic drug levels [7,8]. Currently, either intraoperative hyperthermic IPC or early postoperative IPC is used to prevent peritoneal dissemination of cancer cells after a cytoreductive surgery. Unfortunately, the adverse effects of these IPC approaches have been frequently noted [9]. For example, IPC with cisplatin after debulking surgery causes hematologic toxicities, emesis, and nephrotoxicity [10]. Therefore, safe and effective approaches for preventing peritoneal carcinomatosis are needed.

Selenium (Se) is an essential trace element with antioxidant effects at nutritional doses, primarily through selenoproteins, such as glutathione peroxidase (GPx) and thioredoxin reductase (TrxR) [11,12]. On the other hand, certain types of Se compounds at high-dose levels have potent cell killing capacity by stimulating the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [13,14]. Evidence supporting the cancer-preventive effects of Se has mainly been obtained from animal experiments using high levels of Se that

1 closely approach Se toxicity [15,16]. In this regard, Se seems not to
 2 be a suitable chemopreventive agent for long-term application
 3 because the potential risks overwhelm any possible benefits [17].
 4 It is worth noting that Milner's team [18,19] achieved strong
 5 Se-dependent inhibition in L1210 leukemic or Ehrlich ascitic cells
 6 inoculated in the peritoneal cavity of mice with no apparent ill
 7 consequences to the host by using a regimen of intraperitoneal
 8 injection of sodium selenite. However, the mechanism of action of
 9 sodium selenite and why it exhibited high efficacy and impressive
 10 safety in these models remain unclear. The poor performance of Se
 11 in cancer prevention by intragastric administration and the
 12 encouraging results of Se in inhibiting peritoneal cancer cells by
 13 intraperitoneal administration lead us to raise the hypothesis that
 14 IPC with Se could be used for prevention of peritoneal implanta-
 15 tion of cancer cells. Therefore, the goal of our present work was to
 16 investigate the effect of IPC with elemental Se nanoparticles on
 17 proliferation of murine hepatocarcinoma 22 cells (H22 cells)
 18 implanted in the peritoneal cavity of mice and elucidate the
 19 potential mechanism. The results suggest that timely treatment
 20 through IPC with Se is a safe and highly efficient modality for
 21 eradicating peritoneal H22 cells. The mechanism of Se action is
 22 mainly ascribed to a selective and substantial Se accumulation,
 23 which causes drastic elevation of ROS in peritoneal cancer cells,
 24 but not in normal tissues.

25 Materials and methods

26 Chemicals

27
 28
 29
 30
 31 Glutathione (GSH), glutathione reductase (GR; from baker
 32 yeast), TrxR (from rat liver), bovine serum albumin (BSA), and
 33 2',7'-dichlorofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA) were all obtained
 34 from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). Auranofin was purchased from
 35 Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Other chemicals
 36 were of the highest grade available. Elemental Se nanoparticles
 37 with an average size of 50 nm, estimated using transmission
 38 electron microscopy (Fig. 1A) or a dynamic light scattering instru-
 39 ment (Fig. 1B), were prepared according to our previously reported
 40 procedure using sodium selenite as a selenium source, GSH as a
 41 reductant, and BSA as a disperser of Se nanoparticles [20].

42 Animals and cells

43
 44
 45 Healthy male Kunming mice (20–22 g) and their diet (0.14 µg
 46 Se/g), as well as H22 cells, were purchased from Shanghai SLAC
 47 Laboratory Animal Co. Ltd. The mice were housed at controlled
 48 temperature (25 ± 1 °C) and humidity (50 ± 10%) and in a 12-h
 49 day/night cycle. The mice were allowed ad libitum access to food
 50 and water. All animal treatment procedures complied with the
 51 guidelines of Anhui Agricultural University for the care and use of
 52 laboratory animals. H22 cells were maintained in our laboratory
 53 once weekly. Briefly, viable H22 cells in ascitic fluid were adjusted
 54 to 100 million/ml with saline. Then 0.2 ml was injected into the
 55 peritoneal cavity of the mice.

56 To evaluate the efficacy of proliferation suppression by Se
 57 nanoparticles, mice inoculated with 2 million viable H22 cells into
 58 the peritoneal cavity only once on day 0 were randomly grouped
 59 ($n=6$). One hour after the inoculation, the mice were intraperi-
 60 toneally injected with Se nanoparticles (0.20, 0.35, or 0.70 mg Se/kg
 61 body weight, bw) once daily for 7 consecutive days (experiment 1)
 62 or at a dose of 1.4 mg Se/kg bw once daily for 1, 2, or 3 days
 63 (experiment 2). In experiment 3, mice were intragastrically admi-
 64 nistered Se nanoparticles (3 or 4.5 mg Se/kg bw) once daily for
 65 7 consecutive days. Mice were sacrificed 24 h after the last treat-
 66 ment in experiments 1 and 3 and on the 7th day for experiment 2.

67 In each experiment, mice in the control group received saline
 68 treatment intraperitoneally or intragastrically.

69 To estimate the safety of Se nanoparticles, 21 mice were
 70 randomly divided into three groups ($n=7$). Mice were intraperi-
 71 toneally injected with saline or Se nanoparticles (0.7 or 1.4 mg
 72 Se/kg bw) for 7 consecutive days. All mice were sacrificed 24 h
 73 after the last treatment.

74 To measure Se distribution, mice inoculated with 100 million
 75 viable H22 cells in the peritoneal cavity were randomly grouped
 76 ($n=6$). One hour after inoculation, mice were administered Se
 77 nanoparticles at a dose of 0.7 mg Se/kg bw intraperitoneally
 78 (experiment 1) or 4.5 mg Se/kg bw by gavage (experiment 2).
 79 Mice in the control group were sacrificed at 6 h posttreatment,
 80 and mice in the Se groups were sacrificed 3, 6, and 12 h (experi-
 81 ment 1) or 3 and 12 h (experiment 2) posttreatment.

82 To determine the mechanism of proliferation suppression, mice
 83 inoculated with 100 million viable H22 cells in the peritoneal
 84 cavity were randomly grouped ($n=5$). One hour after inoculation,
 85 the mice were intraperitoneally administered saline (control) or Se
 86 nanoparticles at a dose of 4.5 mg Se/kg bw, and then the mice
 87 were sacrificed at 1, 3, or 9 h posttreatment.

88 Sample preparation and biomarker assessments

89
 90
 91 At the end of each set of experiments, the mice were sacrificed
 92 by cervical dislocation. Peripheral blood from the ophthalmic veins
 93 was collected into Eppendorf tubes with or without heparin for
 94 preparation of either whole blood or serum, respectively. Hema-
 95 tological parameters of the whole blood were analyzed using a
 96 hematology analyzer. Serum biomarkers were determined using
 97 commercially available kits.

98 To count H22 cells in the peritoneal cavity, a sufficient amount of
 99 H22 cells was collected from the peritoneal cavity. For this purpose,
 100 the ascitic fluid was first collected as much as possible, and then the
 101 peritoneal cavity was further washed three times with ice-cold
 102 saline to fully obtain cells. The ascitic fluid and the washed saline
 103 were merged for counting viable cells in a hemocytometer using
 104 the trypan blue dye exclusion method. The pooled ascitic fluid was
 105 centrifuged to remove H22 cells, and the resulting supernatants
 106 were used to estimate the total extracellular activity of GPx, GR, and
 107 glutathione S-transferase (GST) in the peritoneal cavity. One unit
 108 of GPx or GR activity is defined as nanomoles of nicotinamide
 109 adenosine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) oxidized per minute
 110 [21,22], and 1 unit of GST is defined as nanomoles of 1-chloro-2,4-
 111 dinitrobenzene conjugate formed per minute [23]. Se levels in
 112 tissues or cells were determined using a 2,3-diaminonaphthalene
 113 fluorescence-based method [24]. To assess the levels of Se in
 114 H22 cells, samples were centrifuged at 300g for 5 min, to precipitate
 115 H22 cells thoroughly while leaving the potential extracellular Se
 116 nanoparticles in the supernatant. To detect intracellular alterations
 117 in TrxR, thioredoxin (Trx), and GSH, the H22 cells were subjected to
 118 ultrasonic treatment. The resultant homogenates were centrifuged
 119 (15,000g at 4 °C) for 15 min. The supernatants were used to assess
 120 TrxR and Trx activities (1 unit of TrxR or Trx activity was defined as
 121 1 nmol of NADPH oxidized/min) [21,25], as well as nonprotein free
 122 thiol (NPFT) levels, with GSH being a predominant component [26].

123 ROS detection

124
 125
 126 ROS levels were evaluated using DCFH-DA as a probe in a
 127 spectrofluorophotometer with fluorescence intensity excited at
 128 488 nm and recorded at 525 nm. Each sample containing 5 million
 129 viable H22 cells was incubated in 1 ml 1640 serum-free medium
 130 with 50 µM DCFH-DA at 37 °C for 20 min. Next, the solution was
 131 centrifuged (500g at 4 °C) for 5 min and then washed with saline
 132 three times to thoroughly remove extracellular probe.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8270116>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8270116>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)