Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/matdes



CrossMark

## Selective laser melting (SLM) of AlSi12Mg lattice structures

Martin Leary <sup>a,\*</sup>, Maciej Mazur <sup>a</sup>, Joe Elambasseril <sup>a</sup>, Matthew McMillan <sup>a</sup>, Thomas Chirent <sup>b</sup>, Yingying Sun <sup>a</sup>, Ma Qian <sup>a</sup>, Mark Easton <sup>a</sup>, Milan Brandt <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> RMIT Centre for Additive Manufacture, RMIT University, Melbourne, Australia

<sup>b</sup> Institut Français de Mécanique Avancée, Clermont-Ferrand, France

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 26 October 2015 Received in revised form 26 February 2016 Accepted 29 February 2016 Available online 6 March 2016

Keywords: Additive manufacture Lattice structures Selective laser melting Aluminium AlSi12Mg Optimisation Design for additive manufacture

### ABSTRACT

Additive manufacture (AM) enables innovative structural design, including the fabrication of complex lattice structures with unique engineering characteristics. In particular, selective laser melting (SLM) is an AM process that enables the manufacture of space filling lattice structures with exceptional load bearing efficiency and customisable stiffness. However, to commercialise SLM lattice structures it is necessary to formally define the manufacturability of candidate lattice geometries, and characterise the associated mechanical response including compressive strength and stiffness. This work provides an experimental investigation of the mechanical properties of SLM AlSi12Mg lattice structures for optimised process parameters as well as the manufacturability of lattice struct elements for a series of build inclinations and strut diameters. Based on identified manufacturability limits, lattice topologies of engineering relevance were fabricated, including both stretch-dominated and bending-dominated structures. Manufactured lattice morphology and surface roughness was quantified, as were the associated mechanical properties and deformation and failure behaviour.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Additive manufacture and selective laser melting

Additive manufacturing (AM) refers to the process of fabricating near-net components from unit materials [1] in a layerwise manner. AM differs fundamentally from "subtractive manufacturing methodologies, such as traditional machining" [2], and has been labelled as a disruptive technology [3]. The disruptive nature of AM enables a series of technical and economic advantages, including: enhanced costcompetitiveness for low volume production [4]; reduced environmental impact of manufacture [5]; and, increased design complexity [3,6].

AM is based on a digital representation of product geometry. This representation typically consists of tessellated triangular facets, known as a Stereolithography (STL) file [7,8]. However, enhanced data structures are also proposed that are more compatible with specific AM requirements, such as STL 2.0 [9], Additive Manufacturing Format (AMF) [10] and Bezier STL [11].

Selective laser melting (SLM) is a powder-bed AM technology that uses a scanning laser to sequentially melt layers of powdered metal under an inert atmosphere [12]. The significant advantage of SLM technology includes high flexibility and achievable component complexity, enabling the fabrication of highly complex lattice structures that are not otherwise manufacturable [13]. Such lattice structures enable structural optimisation [14], while reducing associated manufacture time and materials costs by minimising component volume [15]. SLM material research is typically focused on titanium alloys due to their high corrosion resistance, high specific strength [16–22] and biocompatibility [23]. These favourable properties have enabled a range of technical SLM titanium applications, including: customised load bearing medical implants [24,25], lattice structures with mechanical properties optimised for compatibility with bone [26], high-value aerospace [27]; space [28], and automotive applications [29].

Although aluminium alloys are not biocompatible, they exhibit a number of properties that make them eminently suitable for commercial AM applications, including:

- Aluminium (2.7 g/cm<sup>3</sup>) has significantly lower density than titanium (4.5 g/cm<sup>3</sup>), thereby enabling commercial opportunities in scenarios that benefit from mass reduction. The mass reduction advantage of AM aluminium lattice structures is especially relevant for nonstationary scenarios such as automotive and aerospace where the fuel consumption associated with component mass is significant [30].
- The high strength-to-weight ratio of aluminium enables optimisation for structural applications, especially for structures subject to bending loads such as beam and plate applications [31]. This outcome is particularly relevant to the optimisation of AM lattice structures, which are subject to significant bending, either during elastic loading (in the case of under-stiff structures) or plastic collapse (in the case of juststiff and over stiff structures) (Section 3).
- The fatigue-strength of aluminium, in combination with low material density, results in optimal material selection for weight limited design where the number of loading cycles is below, or close to the

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* martin.leary@rmit.edu.au (M. Leary).

TermDefinitionAMAdditive manufactureCTComputed tomographyBCCBody centred cubic unit cellBCCZBody centred cubic unit cell with (vertical) Z-strutsDOEDesign of experimentsFBCCZFace and body centred cubic unit cell with (vertical) Z-strutsFCCFace centred cubic unit cellFCCZFace centred cubic unit cell with (vertical) Z-strutsFEAFinite element analysisSLMSelective laser meltingSymbolsTermDefinitionDvDvVolume weighted percentile particle diameterD [3.2]Surface area moment mean (Sauter Mean Diameter)D [4.3]Volume moment mean (De Brouckere Mean Diameter)ELattice specimen modulus [MPa] $k_R$ Relative stiffnessMMaxwell numbernNumber of nodesNNumber of nodesNNumber of noghness measures per sample, number of test specimens $\rho_c$ Lattice solid material density [kg/m³] $\rho_s$ Lattice solid material density [kg/m³] $\rho_s$ Lattice solid material density [kg/m³] $P_c$ Laser power [W] $R_a$ The average surface roughness [µm] $s$ Number of struts $\sigma$ Lattice specimen compressive strength [MPa] $\sigma_s$ Solid material compressive strength [MPa]	Nomenclature			
SLMSelective laser meltingSymbolsTermDefinition $D_v$ Volume weighted percentile particle diameter $D_{[3,2]}$ Surface area moment mean (Sauter Mean Diameter) $D_{[4,3]}$ Volume moment mean (De Brouckere Mean Diameter) $E$ Lattice specimen modulus [MPa] $E_s$ Solid material modulus [MPa] $h$ Hatch spacing [µm] $j$ Roughness sample index $k_R$ Relative stiffness $M$ Maxwell number $n$ Number of nodes $N$ Number of roughness measures per sample, number of test specimens $\rho_c$ Lattice specimen density [kg/m³] $\rho_s$ Lattice solid material density [kg/m³] $\rho_s$ Lattice solid material density [kg/m³] $P$ Laser power [W] $R_a$ The average surface roughness [µm] $R_t$ The distance between the maximum peak and mini- mum valley [µm] $R_z$ The peak to peak roughness [µm] $s$ Number of struts $\sigma$ Lattice specimen compressive strength [MPa] $\sigma_s$ Solid material compressive strength [MPa] $t$ Layer thickness [mm] $v$ Scan speed [mm/s] $\Upsilon$ Laser energy density [J/mm³] $W$ Volumetric energy absorption [MJ/m³]	Term AM CT BCC BCCZ DOE FBCCZ FCC FCCZ FEA SLM	Definition Additive manufacture Computed tomography Body centred cubic unit cell Body centred cubic unit cell with (vertical) Z-struts Design of experiments Face and body centred cubic unit cell with (vertical) Z- struts Face centred cubic unit cell Face centred cubic unit cell Face centred cubic unit cell with (vertical) Z-struts Finite element analysis		
SymbolsTermDefinition $D_v$ Volume weighted percentile particle diameter $D_{[3,2]}$ Surface area moment mean (Sauter Mean Diameter) $D_{[4,3]}$ Volume moment mean (De Brouckere Mean Diameter) $E$ Lattice specimen modulus [MPa] $E$ Lattice specimen modulus [MPa] $B$ Solid material modulus [MPa] $k_s$ Solid material modulus [MPa] $h$ Hatch spacing [µm] $j$ Roughness sample index $k_R$ Relative stiffness $M$ Maxwell number $n$ Number of nodes $N$ Number of roughness measures per sample, number of test specimens $\rho_c$ Lattice unit cell density [kg/m³] $\rho_s$ Lattice solid material density [kg/m³] $\rho_s$ Lattice solid material density [kg/m³] $P$ Laser power [W] $R_a$ The average surface roughness [µm] $R_t$ The distance between the maximum peak and mini- mum valley [µm] $s$ Number of struts $\sigma$ Lattice specimen compressive strength [MPa] $\sigma_s$ Solid material compressive strength [MPa] $t$ Layer thickness [mm] $v$ Scan speed [mm/s] $\Upsilon$ Laser energy density [J/mm³] $W$ Volumetric energy absorption [MJ/m³]	SLIM	Selective laser melting		
hHatch spacing [µm]jRoughness sample index $k_R$ Relative stiffnessMMaxwell numbernNumber of nodesNNumber of roughness measures per sample, number of test specimens $\rho$ Lattice specimen density [kg/m³] $\rho_c$ Lattice unit cell density [kg/m³] $\rho_c$ Lattice solid material density [kg/m³] $\rho_s$ Lattice solid material density [kg/m³] $P$ Laser power [W] $R_a$ The average surface roughness [µm] $R_t$ The distance between the maximum peak and minimum valley [µm] $R_z$ The peak to peak roughness [µm] $s$ Number of struts $\sigma$ Lattice specimen compressive strength [MPa] $\sigma_s$ Solid material compressive strength [MPa] $t$ Layer thickness [mm] $v$ Scan speed [mm/s] $\Upsilon$ Laser energy density [J/mm³]WVolumetric energy absorption [MJ/m³]	Symbols Term D <sub>v</sub> D <sub>[3,2]</sub> D <sub>[4,3]</sub> E E <sub>s</sub>	Definition Volume weighted percentile particle diameter Surface area moment mean (Sauter Mean Diameter) Volume moment mean (De Brouckere Mean Diameter) Lattice specimen modulus [MPa] Solid material modulus [MPa]		
	h j	Hatch spacing [µm] Roughness sample index		
nNumber of nodesnNumber of nodesNNumber of roughness measures per sample, number of test specimens $\rho$ Lattice specimen density [kg/m³] $\rho_c$ Lattice unit cell density [kg/m³] $\rho_s$ Lattice solid material density [kg/m³] $P$ Laser power [W] $R_a$ The average surface roughness [µm] $R_t$ The distance between the maximum peak and mini- mum valley [µm] $R_z$ The peak to peak roughness [µm] $s$ Number of struts $\sigma$ Lattice specimen compressive strength [MPa] $\sigma_s$ Solid material compressive strength [MPa] $t$ Layer thickness [mm] $v$ Scan speed [mm/s] $\Upsilon$ Laser energy density [J/mm³]WVolumetric energy absorption [MJ/m³]	k <sub>R</sub> M	Relative stiffness Maxwell number		
NNumber of roughness measures per sample, number of test specimens $\rho$ Lattice specimen density $[kg/m^3]$ $\rho_c$ Lattice unit cell density $[kg/m^3]$ $\rho_s$ Lattice solid material density $[kg/m^3]$ $\rho_s$ Lattice solid material density $[kg/m^3]$ $\rho_s$ Lattice solid material density $[kg/m^3]$ $P$ Laser power $[W]$ $R_a$ The average surface roughness $[\mu m]$ $R_t$ The distance between the maximum peak and minimum valley $[\mu m]$ $R_z$ The peak to peak roughness $[\mu m]$ $s$ Number of struts $\sigma$ Lattice specimen compressive strength $[MPa]$ $\sigma_s$ Solid material compressive strength $[MPa]$ $t$ Layer thickness $[mm]$ $v$ Scan speed $[mm/s]$ $\Upsilon$ Laser energy density $[J/mm^3]$ $W$ Volumetric energy absorption $[MJ/m^3]$	n	Number of nodes		
$ \begin{array}{ll} \rho & \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \$	N	Number of roughness measures per sample, number of test specimens		
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	ρ	Lattice specimen density [kg/m <sup>3</sup> ]		
$\begin{array}{lll} P & \ Laser power [W] \\ R_a & \ The average surface roughness [µm] \\ R_t & \ The distance between the maximum peak and mini-mum valley [µm] \\ R_z & \ The peak to peak roughness [µm] \\ s & \ Number of struts \\ \sigma & \ Lattice specimen compressive strength [MPa] \\ \sigma_s & \ Solid material compressive strength [MPa] \\ t & \ Layer thickness [mm] \\ v & \ Scan speed [mm/s] \\ \Upsilon & \ Laser energy density [J/mm^3] \\ W & \ Volumetric energy absorption [MJ/m^3] \end{array}$	$\rho_c$ $\rho_s$	Lattice unit cell density [kg/m <sup>3</sup> ]		
$R_a$ The average sumacroughness [µm] $R_t$ The distance between the maximum peak and minimum valley [µm] $R_z$ The peak to peak roughness [µm] $s$ Number of struts $\sigma$ Lattice specimen compressive strength [MPa] $\sigma_s$ Solid material compressive strength [MPa] $t$ Layer thickness [mm] $v$ Scan speed [mm/s] $\Upsilon$ Laser energy density [J/mm³]WVolumetric energy absorption [MJ/m³]	P R	Laser power [W]		
$R_z$ The peak to peak roughness [µm] $s$ Number of struts $\sigma$ Lattice specimen compressive strength [MPa] $\sigma_s$ Solid material compressive strength [MPa] $t$ Layer thickness [mm] $v$ Scan speed [mm/s] $\Upsilon$ Laser energy density [J/mm³]WVolumetric energy absorption [MJ/m³]	$R_t$	The distance between the maximum peak and mini- mum valley [µm]		
sNumber of structs $\sigma$ Lattice specimen compressive strength [MPa] $\sigma_s$ Solid material compressive strength [MPa] $t$ Layer thickness [mm] $v$ Scan speed [mm/s] $\Upsilon$ Laser energy density [J/mm <sup>3</sup> ]WVolumetric energy absorption [MJ/m <sup>3</sup> ]	R <sub>z</sub>	The peak to peak roughness [µm]		
σsSolid material compressive strength [MPa]tLayer thickness [mm]vScan speed [mm/s]ΥLaser energy density [J/mm³]WVolumetric energy absorption [MJ/m³]	s σ	Lattice specimen compressive strength [MPa]		
tLayer thickness [mm]vScan speed [mm/s]\UpsilonLaser energy density [J/mm³]WVolumetric energy absorption [MJ/m³]	$\sigma_{s}$	Solid material compressive strength [MPa]		
<ul> <li>Υ Laser energy density [J/mm<sup>3</sup>]</li> <li>W Volumetric energy absorption [MJ/m<sup>3</sup>]</li> </ul>	t V	Layer thickness [mm] Scan speed [mm/s]		
	Υ W	Laser energy density [J/mm <sup>3</sup> ] Volumetric energy absorption [MJ/m <sup>3</sup> ]		

endurance limit [30]. The robust design of fatigue-optimised AM lattice structures is an active research domain [32,33] that will enable commercially relevant innovation in the design of fatigue-limited aluminium lattice structures.

- The high thermal diffusivity of aluminium alloys combined with the capability of AM for complex part geometry enables the fabrication of high-efficiency thermal devices, including heat sinks and heat exchangers [34,35].
- Aluminium has excellent resistance to corrosion [36], enabling engineered aluminium lattice structures to be applied in corrosive environments, for example in [37]: architectural and marine applications; faying surfaces in automotive and aerospace applications, and in the design of heat-exchangers in direct contact with corrosive fluids.
- Aluminium has relatively high electrical conductivity [38], enabling its application in electrically conductive devices. The combined electrical, structural and lightweight properties of aluminium enable the fabrication of high-value components that combine both electrical and structural function, for example in self-supporting lightweight electrical conductors [39].

 Importantly, aluminium alloys often provide a lower cost to achieve a specified load bearing function in comparison to titanium [30], and therefore provide an opportunity for the economical commercialisation of AM technologies, especially in non-stationary applications.

Despite the commercial opportunities associated with aluminium lattice structural design in SLM, there appears to be sparse available research in comparison with titanium. This lack of research may be in part due technical challenges in SLM of aluminium powders, including poor powder flowability, high laser reflectivity and oxidation of aluminium during melting [40].

#### 2. SLM processing and properties

SLM is a highly complex multiphysics process, due to: large thermal gradients [41]; complex three-dimensional geometries [42]; local overheating [43,44]; local heat transfer paths that are temporally and spatially transient [45,46]; and, thermal powder bed resistance that is poorly understood and subject to significant experimental uncertainty [47,48]. SLM is highly dimensional, with nearly 130 variables of influence on final component quality [49,50].

Processing parameters that determine the laser energy density (Eq. (1)) are: laser power, *P*, laser scanning speed, *v*, hatch spacing, *h*, and the layer thickness, *t*.

	Where :	
$\Upsilon = \frac{P}{h \cdot v \cdot t}$	$\Upsilon$ : energy density $[J/mm^3]$	
	P : laser power [W]	(1)
	v : scan speed [mm/s]	(1)
	t : layer thickness [mm]	
	h : hatch spacing [mm]	

#### 2.1. SLM processing of aluminium alloys

Aluminium alloys provide significant technical and economic opportunities due to their favourable strength-to-weight and cost-to-weight attributes. Aluminium-Silicon alloys are strong candidates for SLM due to their robust welding characteristics and small difference between liquidus and solidus temperatures [51]. However, in-comparison with titanium alloys, very little research exists on the processing of aluminium by SLM [52]. A review of the available literature has identified the following research of interest:

- Kempen et al. [51] found that for AlSi10Mg (mean particle size: 16 and 48 µm), the manufactured part quality varies with powder morphology, size and chemical composition.
- Olakanmi et al. [53] assessed the effects of SLM process parameters on the degree and orientation of porosity in Al-12Si (Particle size distribution: 45-75 μm).
- Dadbakhsh and Hao [54] investigated the manufacturability of numerous aluminium-based powders, including commercially pure aluminium, AlSi10Mg, Al Mg1SiCu, mixed with 15 wt% Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and found AlSi10Mg to have the highest density due to low thermal conductivity and oxide layer breakdown; a nano-scale dendritic structure was observed leading to high hardness.
- Dadbakhsh and Hao [55] investigated SLM of numerous aluminiumbased powders including: Al-5FeO3, Al-10FeO3, Al-15FeO3, and found that Hot Isostatic Pressing (HIP) increased density but did not eliminate all porosity due to the formation of oxide bands.
- Buchbinder et al. [56] found that for AlSi10Mg, high laser power and scan velocity enabled a build rate of 21mm<sup>3</sup>/s with 99.5% density (420 MPa and 145 HV).
- Brandl et al. [57] found that for AlSi10Mg with a particle size distribution of 25–40  $\mu$ m, post manufacture heat treatment has the greatest effect on fatigue limit whilst orientation has a relatively smaller effect.

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/828151

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/828151

Daneshyari.com