Comprehensive, blinded assessment of balance in orthostatic tremor

Danish Bhatti [a], Rebecca Thompson [a], Yiwen Xia [b], Amy Hellman [a], Lorene Schmaderer [c], Katie Suing [c], Jennifer McKune [c], Cynthia Penke [d], Regan Iske [d], Bobbi Jo Roeder [d], Ka-Chun Siu [b], John M. Bertoni [a], Diego Torres-Russotto [a, *]

[a] University of Nebraska Medical Center, Department of Neurological Sciences, 42nd and Emile, Omaha, NE 68198, USA
[b] University of Nebraska Medical Center, College of Allied Health Professions, 984035 Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, NE 68198-4035, USA
[c] Nebraska Medicine, Department of Physical Therapy, 987400 Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, NE 68198-7400, USA
[d] Nebraska Medicine, Department of Neurological Sciences, 987400 Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, NE 68198-7400, USA

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Orthostatic Tremor (OT) is a movement disorder characterized by a sensation of unsteadiness and tremors in the 13–18 Hz range present upon standing. The pathophysiology of OT is not well understood but there is a relationship between the sensation of instability and leg tremors. Despite the sensation of unsteadiness, OT patients do not fall often and balance in OT has not been formally assessed. We present a prospective blinded study comparing balance assessment in patients with OT versus healthy controls.
Methods: We prospectively enrolled 34 surface Electromyography (EMG)-confirmed primary OT subjects and 21 healthy controls. Participants underwent evaluations of balance by blinded physical therapists (PT) with standardized, validated, commonly used balance scales and tasks.
Results: OT subjects were mostly female (30/34, 88%) and controls were majority males (13/20, 65%). The average age of OT subjects was 68.5 years (range 54–87) and for controls was 69.4 (range 32–86). The average duration of OT symptoms was 18 years.
OT subjects did significantly worse on all the balance scales and on most balance tasks including Berg Balance Scale, Functional Gait Assessment, Dynamic Gait Index, Unipedal Stance Test, Functional Reach Test and pull test. Gait speed and five times sit to stand were normal in OT.
Conclusions: Common validated balance scales are significantly abnormal in primary OT. Despite the objective finding of impaired balance, OT patients do not commonly have falls. The reported sensation of unsteadiness in this patient population seems to be out of proportion to the number of actual falls. Further studies are needed to determine which components of commonly used balance scales are affected by a sensation of unsteadiness and fear of falling.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Orthostatic Tremor (OT) is a rare movement disorder characterized by a sensation of unsteadiness and 13–18 Hz leg tremors present upon standing. Both the sensation of unsteadiness and the tremors disappear or improve by sitting, walking or leaning [1]. The 1998 consensus statement on tremors from the Movement Disorders Society described OT as a subjective feeling of unsteadiness during stance and only in severe cases during gait, noting that patients rarely fall. Patients typically have no problems when sitting and lying down. Clinical findings are sparse and mostly limited to a visible or auscultated and occasionally, only palpable fine amplitude rippling of the legs. The diagnosis can be confirmed by surface EMG recordings with a fast 13–18 Hz tremor [2]. These are the criteria still used by most movement disorder experts today.

Despite a sensation of unsteadiness as one of the defining features of the disease, falls are relatively uncommon in primary OT

* Corresponding author. University of Nebraska Medical Center, Department of Neurological Sciences, 988440 Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, NE 68198-8440, USA.

E-mail addresses: dbhatti@unmc.edu (D. Bhatti), becky.thompson@unmc.edu (R. Thompson), yiwen.zia@unmc.edu (Y. Xia), ahellman@unmc.edu (A. Hellman), lschmaderer@nebraskamed.com (L. Schmaderer), ksuing@nebraskamed.com (K. Suing), jmckune@nebraskamed.com (J. McKune), cpenke@nebraskamed.com (C. Penke), riske@nebraskamed.com (R. Iske), broeder@nebraskamed.com (B.J. Roeder), kcsiu@unmc.edu (K.-C. Siu), jbertoni@unmc.edu (J.M. Bertoni), drtorres@unmc.edu (D. Torres-Russotto).

patients. Many OT patients report they do not fall more often because they are very careful, though there have been some reports of falls in OT patients in the literature [3,4]. One large retrospective study reported at least occasional falls in 24% of reviewed cases [5]. It remains unclear if OT patients have a true impairment of balance or only a sensation of instability. Formal balance assessment has not been studied in this patient population. We evaluated balance in primary OT with available standardized scales and tasks in a formal, comprehensive blinded fashion.

2. Methods

In this single blinded prospective case-control study we enrolled subjects with surface EMG-confirmed OT and healthy controls. This study was approved by the University of Nebraska Medical Center (UNMC) Institutional Review Board (IRB) and was part of the large ongoing UNMC OT study project. Informed consent was obtained over the phone due to the subjects coming from large distances and re-consent was obtained at the start of the study visit as approved by the IRB. Record of surface EMG with leg tremor frequency on standing in the 13–18 Hz range was accepted as proof of OT diagnosis and inclusion into the study. Participants with known vestibular or balance problems other than OT were excluded. This exclusion was based on self-reported prior diagnosis that could affect gait or balance. Cases of secondary OT including patients with Parkinsonism were excluded. Evaluations were video recorded.

Subjects underwent standardized balance evaluations by blinded physical therapists who were experienced at performing these scales. These scales have been thoroughly validated and have been shown to predict risk of falling in multiple neurological illnesses [6–13]. The scales were performed in a uniform fashion including the same sequence, procedure of test and patient instructions. The therapists were given folders that only had the participant's number, and the scale forms to be filled out. They did not have access to any other research data on the participants. Physical therapists were not told the diagnostic status of the subject. Subjects were instructed not to discuss their diagnosis with the evaluators and to avoid and language during testing that may tip off their level of discomfort with the testing.

The assessments included the Berg Balance Scale (BBS), Dynamic gait index (DGI), Functional gait assessment (FGA), Unipedal stance test (UST), functional reach test (FRT), pull test (PuT), gait speed (GS) and five times sit to stand (FTSS) test. The Berg Balance Scale is a 14-item test that uses ordinal scoring from 0 to 4 for each item and is designed to measure static and dynamic standing balance [6]. Dynamic Gait Index consists of 8 tasks with similar scoring as Berg Balance Scale, but testing both stance balance and gait [7]. The Functional Gait Assessment is an extension of Dynamic Gait Index, with an additional 3 items plus 7 of 8 previous items for a 10-item scale with enhanced assessment of gait [8]. Unipedal Stance Test, Functional Reach Test and Five Times Sit to Stand are balance tasks that were performed and scored as per known standard protocols [9–13]. The Pull Test is a test of postural stability and was performed as follows. Subjects were instructed that the investigator would pull them backwards and they should try not to fall, taking a step back if necessary. Taking more than 3 steps back, or falling unless caught, was considered an abnormal result. Gait speed was measured both in habitual and brisk walking over 6 m. We used existing normative data for cut-off values in the elderly population to compare the balance performance in our study on these tests [9–14]. These scales have Standard Error of measurements and Minimal Detectable Change data published. However, the Minimally Clinically important difference has not been established.

2.1. Statistical analysis

We compared the functional performances between cases and controls by quantitative statistical methods, based on the original scores or results of tests. The data is not normally distributed, thus we conducted Mann-Whitney tests for most measures, except Gait speed. We conducted independent *t*-test for Gait speed. Significance level was set at 0.05.

3. Results

Thirty-four surface EMG-confirmed primary orthostatic tremor subjects and 21 healthy controls were included in the study. The majority (88.2%, n = 30) of the OT subjects were female. The controls were 35% female (n = 7). The average age in the OT group as of evaluation date was 68.5 years (range 54–87) and 69.4 years in the control group (range 32–86). The mean age of onset of OT symptoms was 50.8 years (range 24–71). The mean age at diagnosis of OT was 61.1 (range 49–82). The average peak tremor frequency in the OT group as documented on surface EMG was 15.6 Hz (range of 12 Hz–18 Hz).

Nine of the 34 OT subjects reported a history of at least one fall and 5 subjects reported more than one fall attributed to OT symptoms. Thirty-two of the OT subjects reported a sensation of unsteadiness when standing in place and 15 of these also reported a sensation of unsteadiness while walking. Twenty-seven OT subjects reported difficulty walking in a straight line slowly and only 4 also reported difficulty walking fast. Thirty-one reported difficulty walking in crowded places and 20 reported difficulty going down stairs. The majority of the OT subjects, 17 of 34, reported leg tremor onset just seconds after standing. Nine of 34 reported tremors in legs immediately on standing and 6 of 34 min after standing. Two subjects did not subjectively feel tremors. Twenty of the OT subjects reported sensation of arm tremors in addition to leg tremors.

We found slight increase in upper extremity tone in 16 of the OT subjects and mild bradykinesia in 19 subjects. There was postural tremor in 13 OT subjects and reduced arm swing noted in 8 of the 34. None of the participants included in the study met full criteria for diagnosis of Parkinson's disease.

OT subjects performed significantly worse compared to healthy controls on all balance scales and most balance tasks while having normal gait speeds and FTSS test (see Table 1).

4. Discussion

A strong sense of instability and associated fear of falling is commonly reported in OT, affecting quality of life and social functioning [15–17]. Actual falls are comparatively uncommon in OT and this has raised the question if these patients have a true impairment of balance or only a sensation of unsteadiness and associated fear of falling as a disease symptom [18,19].

We found OT subjects to have significant abnormalities on all the balance scales and most of the balance tasks tested. However, the gait speed was normal when compared to healthy controls and to standardized normative data. Overall tasks requiring standing in place were more difficult for the OT subjects compared to tasks involving walking. One anomaly, was the FTSS test which was normal in OT subjects and is a test of balance during stance. This normal result could be related to the short duration of stance in between sitting, as many OT patients have some latency to symptom onset upon standing.

All three balance scales (BBS, DGI and FGA) showed worse performance by OT subjects compared to healthy controls. There were significantly more OT subjects in the high risk category on BBS and DGI scales (9 and 8 respectively). The largest difference from