



Cut-off values to rule out urinary tract infection should be gender-specific



N. Geerts ^{*}, K.J.M. Boonen ¹, A.K. Boer, V. Scharnhorst

Clinical Laboratory, Catharina Hospital Eindhoven, Michelangelolaan 2, 5623 EJ Eindhoven, The Netherlands

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 30 July 2015

Received in revised form 2 November 2015

Accepted 23 November 2015

Available online 23 November 2015

Keywords:

Screening

UTI

UF500

Gender-specific

ABSTRACT

The diagnosis of urinary tract infection (UTI) by urine culture is an expensive and time-consuming procedure. Using a screening method, to identify negative samples, would improve the procedure and reduce costs. In this study, urine flow cytometry, of over 7000 urine samples, was assessed by retrospective analysis. With a cut-off value of >200 bacteria/μl, we obtained a sensitivity of 93.0%, a specificity of 63.5%, and a negative predictive value (NPV) of 96.2%. As a result the culturing of 49% of all samples could be avoided. In addition, the data was retrospectively analyzed to determine if the introduction of gender-specific cut-off values could improve screening results. The obtained receiver operator curves are indeed significantly different when gender specific cut-offs were used. When a NPV of 95% is considered acceptable the unisex cut-off value of >200 bacteria/μl can be used for women (NPV 94.9%), but the cut-off value for men could be raised to >400 bacteria/μl without diminishing the NPV (NPV 95.0%).

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The diagnosis of urinary tract infection (UTI) by urine culture is a time-consuming and costly procedure. For this reason, automated screening methods for urine analysis have been developed. Urine flow cytometers identify particles in urine by scattering and fluorescence. With the use of a fixed cut-off value, urine flow cytometry can be used to reduce the number of cultures [1–6]. Indeed, the introduction of a cut-off value, which determines if urine is subsequently cultured or not, can reduce the number of cultures, while maintaining a low level of false negatives and a high negative predictive value [1–6].

The use of urine flow cytometers as a screening test to reduce unnecessary cultures is well established [1–6]. However, a wide range of cut-off values has been reported (14 [6]–230 [5] bacteria/μl). The large differences among selected cut-off values can (partially) be explained by pre analytical, analytical and post analytical conditions: study population, collection methods, sample handling, criteria that define positive cultures, taking leucocytes into consideration as well, and whether a sensitive or specific cut-off is selected.

Due to anatomic and physiologic differences, UTIs are more common among women than men [7]. Since the length of the human urethra is sex dependent, it is not unreasonable to speculate that cut-off values

for bacteria in urine are sex dependent as well. Surprisingly, all studies, but one [2], selected a unisex cut-off value. This may be due to the large number of samples needed, to obtain reliable gender-specific cut-off values.

Most of the above mentioned studies included a limited number of samples. We assessed the ability of urine flow cytometry to identify negative cultures by retrospective analysis of over 7000 urine samples. Due to the size of the data set, the selected NPVs have smaller confidence intervals. Besides determining a reliable unisex cut-off value, it was also assessed if gender-specific cut-off values improve screening results.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Implementing a cut-off value for UTI screening

To reassess the usability of the Sysmex analyzer as a screening method to identify those urines that do not have to be cultured, 7322 urines, gathered over a period of three years (no sample exclusion), were retrospectively analyzed. Most patients originated from the urology department (76%), followed by Internal Medicine (12%). The remaining samples (11%) originated from all other departments combined. The 7322 urines originated from 4369 outpatients (60%) and 2953 hospitalized patients (40%). The average age was 60 years (Q1 45–Q3 78 years); 3222 patients were male (44%; average age 66 (57–80)), 4100 female (56%; average age 55 (36–75)). All 7322 urine samples were cultured and had their number of bacteria/μl determined on the urine flow cytometer UF5000i (Sysmex Benelux, Etten-Leur, The Netherlands). Automatic data processing, via a SQL-code, was implemented to extract relevant culture results from the electronic hospital information system.

^{*} Corresponding author at: Catharina Hospital Eindhoven, Clinical Laboratory, P.O. box 1350, 5602 ZA Eindhoven, The Netherlands.

E-mail addresses: nienke.geerts@catharinaziekenhuis.nl (N. Geerts), kboonen@amphia.nl (K.J.M. Boonen), arjen-kars.boer@catharinaziekenhuis.nl (A.K. Boer), volker.scharnhorst@catharinaziekenhuis.nl (V. Scharnhorst).

¹ Current address: Clinical Laboratory, Amphia Hospital, Molengracht 21, 4818 CK Breda, The Netherlands.

During the three years of data collecting, a cut-off value of 60 bacteria/ μ l was in use to reduce the number of cultures. Meaning urines with <60 bacteria/ μ l were not always cultured. Whether urine samples were cultured at lower bacterial count depended on the physicians request: “Exclusion UTI” requests led to culturing if the bacterial count exceeded the cut-off value of 60 bacteria/ μ l. “Bacterial Count” requests, were always sent to the microbiological laboratory for culturing. Of the 7322 samples cultured 2671 had a bacterial count <60/ μ l. As 63% of UTI analyses were “bacterial count” requests, we estimate we did not culture around 1500 urine samples that had a bacterial count <60/ μ l.

2.2. Sample collection

Midstream urine samples were collected in a sterile container with an integrated transfer straw (BD Vacutainer Collection container, BD Biosciences). For the bacterial culture an UriSwab (Copan Diagnostics, Brescia, Italy) containing preservatives (boric acid) was dipped in the sterile container (storage time under boric acid: during the day on average 2 h (47% of samples), after working hours (53% of samples) on average 11 h, with a maximum of 16 h). For urine flow cytometry, a sample was taken from the vacuum container in a sterile, preservative-free tube (BD Biosciences). Flow cytometry was performed as quickly as possible (usually within 2 h).

2.3. Analysis by urine flow cytometry with a UF500i

A Sysmex UF500i analyzer identified particles in urine by flow cytometry. This flow cytometer has two chambers, where diluted urine can be incubated with a specific dye and lysis reagents. One chamber is used solely for bacteria, while the other counts all other particles (erythrocytes, leukocytes, casts, etc.). After staining, the samples are transported to a flow cell where they are analyzed with the use of a semiconductor laser, and characterized by forward scatter, side scatter, and fluorescence. In this study, only the bacteria count is used.

2.4. Microbiological analysis

The UriSwab was sent to the laboratory for medical microbiology. The UriSwab was centrifuged, and after Gram staining, 10 μ l was plated on a Brilliance UTI Clarity Agar (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) as well as a blood agar plate containing 5 μ g/ml colistin and 2 mg/ml aztreonam (CAP agar). Both plates were examined for growth after 18–24 h (incubation at 35 °C; blood agar plates are used to better identify group B β -hemolytic *Streptococcus*). Grown colonies were identified by color or VITEK2® (bioMérieux, France) if necessary. Positive cultures are defined by thresholds ranging from 10^3 – 10^5 cfu/ml urine, depending on the species found [8]. In this study a positive culture is first defined by a fixed rule ($>10^5$ cfu/ml). Next, a different (not fixed) gold standard to define a positive culture was used: the addition of an antibiogram by the microbiologist to the culture result. This expert opinion considers both the cfu/ml and the type of pathogen found.

3. Results

3.1. Implementing a cut-off value for UTI screening

At the introduction of the Sysmex urine flow cytometer our laboratory originally introduced a conservative cut-off value of 60 bacteria/ μ l [1]. This cut-off was selected after analyzing about 300 urines (40 positive) from outpatients of the Urology department. Due to the small number of samples included in this initial evaluation, this cut-off was selected to not miss any positive urine (negative predictive value (NPV) 100%). Three years later, the cut-off value was reassessed with 7322 urines (44% male, 56% female). Retrospectively, the bacteria count of each sample is compared to its culture result (all 7322 samples

were cultured: N = 189 no growth (3%), 4021 < 10^3 cfu/ml (55%), 707 10^3 – 10^4 cfu/ml (10%), 543 10^4 – 10^5 cfu/ml (7%), and 1862 > 10^5 cfu/ml (25%). One thousand eight hundred and sixty-two (1862; 25%) of these cultures were positive ($>10^5$ cfu/ml). The organisms identified were mostly *Escherichia coli* (59%), followed by *Klebsiella pneumonia* (8%), *Enterococcus faecalis* (7%), and *Proteus mirabilis* (4%). The resulting receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curve is plotted in Fig. 1A (black circles). This reevaluation led to a raise of the cut-off value to 200 bacteria/ μ l. With this cut-off value a unisex sensitivity of 96.9% and specificity of 64.1% were obtained, avoiding the culture of 49% of all samples. This cut-off value together with a gold standard of $>10^5$ cfu/ml, results in a NPV of 98.4%. By implementing a higher cut-off value of 200 bacteria/ μ l some patients will be falsely classified as “negative” (N = 58, 2% of negative cultures; data not shown).

Defining a culture positive by a fixed threshold of $>10^5$ cfu/ml may not be most optimal. Depending on the species found a culture could be considered positive at lower cfu/ml [8]. To include all clinical relevant cultures, the addition of an antibiogram by the microbiologist was also considered as gold standard for a positive culture. Besides the cfu/ml this expert opinion also considers the pathogen found. In this scenario, 1933 (26%) of the 7322 cultures were positive. The additional positive cultures comprise a large group of group B β -hemolytic *Streptococcus* (5% of all positive cultures; 31% of all cultures with an antibiogram and $<10^5$ cfu/ml). The remaining additional cultures contain the same four pathogens previously mentioned, but at $>10^4$ cfu/ml. All other urine samples that resulted into growth of $>10^3$ cfu/ml are considered negative (these contain nonpathogenic micro-organism or mixed flora).

In our hospital the addition of an antibiogram rather than a cfu/ml threshold is used as the gold standard for a positive culture. The resulting receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curve for this scenario is plotted in Fig. 1B (black circles). Differences with the threshold of $>10^5$ cfu/ml are small, as the same cut-off is selected: 200 bacteria/ μ l (sensitivity 93.0%, specificity 63.5%, NPV 96.2% avoiding the culture of 49% of all samples; Table 1). By selecting a cut-off value of 200 bacteria/ μ l some patients will be falsely classified as “negative” (N = 136, 4% of negative cultures; data not shown). To get insight into sensitivity, specificity, NPV, PPV and culture reduction obtained with our data set, a range of cut-off values, that takes into consideration some of the previously reported cut-off values, is listed Table 1.

3.2. Cut-off values to rule out UTI should be gender-specific

Due to anatomic and physiologic differences, UTIs are more common among women than men [7]. Therefore it was assessed if gender-specific cut-off values could improve screening results (addition of an antibiogram is considered positive). ROC curves were constructed separately for men and women (Fig. 1A and B; dark gray squares (men) and light gray triangles (women)). The area under the curve (AUC) was 0.948 for men and 0.880 for women (Fig. 1B). The 95% confidence boundaries are 0.939–0.957 and 0.867–0.893, respectively. The curves are significantly different ($P < 0.05$). The unisex cut-off value of 200 bacteria/ μ l resulted in a sensitivity of 92.1% with a specificity of 88.5% for men (NPV 97.0%) and a sensitivity of 93.5% with a specificity of 43.7% for women (NPV 94.9%; Table 1). Especially the difference in specificity, i.e. lower number of false positives in men, is striking between genders (Table 1).

Although the American Society of Microbiology recommends a NPV > 98% [9] (results in this study: unisex cut-off 20 bacteria/ μ l, male 70 bacteria/ μ l, female 2 bacteria/ μ l), in our hospital a NPV of 95% was considered acceptable for a rule out strategy. The current unisex cut-off barely qualifies for women, whereas it could be increased considerably for men. At 400 bacteria/ μ l, a NPV of 95% is still obtained. Due to the lower number of male urines, and the small amount of female urine with <200 bacteria/ μ l, the reduction in cultures is relatively small (N = 112, 1.5%; Table 1).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8310548>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8310548>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)