ARTICLE IN PRESS

Clinical Biochemistry xxx (2015) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Clinical Biochemistry



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/clinbiochem

Vitamins D and A can be successfully measured by LC–MS/MS in cord blood diluted plasma

Ali A. Albarhani ^{a,b}, Fiona Collier ^c, Ronda F. Greaves ^{a,d}, Anne-Louise Ponsonby ^{d,e}, Katrina J. Allen ^{d,e},
 Peter J. Vuillermin ^{c,f}, Peter Roche ^a, Michael Clarke ^{g,*}, BIS Steering Committee

5 ^a School of Medical Sciences, RMIT University, Victoria, Australia

6 ^b Security Forces Hospital, Dammam, Saudi Arabia

7 ^c Deakin University, Victoria, Australia

8 ^d Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Melbourne, Australia

9 e Department of Paediatrics, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

10 ^f Child Health Research Unit, Barwon Health, Victoria Australia

11 ^g University of Western Australia, Western Australia, Australia

12 ARTICLE INFO

13 Article history:

- 14 Received 16 January 2015
- 15 Received in revised form 4 April 2015
- 16 Accepted 17 April 2015
- 17 Available online xxxx
- 18 Keywords:
- 19 Cholecalciferol
- 20 Retinol
- 21 Neonates
- 22 Mass spectrometry
- 23 Umbilical cord blood diluted plasma

ABSTRACT

Objectives: In widely used protocols for the collection and isolation of cord blood mononuclear cells, inves- 24 tigators are left with substantial volumes of diluted plasma which could be used for other measurements. The aim 25 of this study was to ascertain the validity of umbilical cord blood (UCB) diluted plasma samples for vitamin D, A 26 and E analysis compared to UCB serum samples. 27

Design & methods: Twenty UCB matched samples of diluted plasma and serum were collected. The samples 28 were analysed by two liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (LC–MS/MS) methods on two separate 29 occasions. 30

Results: The results of 25(OH)D3 obtained by the two laboratories demonstrated close agreement with a mean 31 difference of 0.14 nmol/L [95% confidence interval (95% CI), -6.8 to 7.1]. Both methods demonstrate close agree-32 ment for 25(OH)D3 in UCB serum versus diluted UCB plasma; mean difference 2.2 nmol/L [95% CI, -9.5 to 13.9] 33 and 4.1 nmol/L [95% CI, -14.5 to 6.1] for the results from Lab A and Lab B, respectively. Vitamin A was quantified 34 by Lab A in UCB serum and diluted UCB plasma; mean difference 0.07 µmol/L [95% CI, -0.41 to 0.28]. Results of 35 25(OH)D3 epimer and vitamin E in the diluted UCB plasma were below the limit of quantification, and could not 36 be compared with UCB serum. 37

Conclusions: Diluted UCB plasma can be used for the quantification of retinol and 25(OH)D3 by LC–MS/MS. By 38 contrast, quantification of 25(OH)D3 epimer and vitamin E in diluted UCB plasma is not supported by this study due 39 to limitations in analytical sensitivity. 40

© 2015 The Canadian Society of Clinical Chemists. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

44

41

43

04

05

Q7 Introduction

Fat soluble vitamin deficiency is classically associated with complications of diseases presenting in neonates [1]. Of the four vitamins in this group, vitamins A, D and also K have pleiotropic actions whilst vitamin E has important anti-oxidant activity. Of these, vitamin D has received a lot of attention recently as a result of the meteoric rise in

E-mail address: michael.clarke@uwa.edu.au (M. Clarke).

the number of publications showing that this secosteroid plays a crucial 52 role in a plethora of physiological functions and is associated with many 53 acute and chronic illnesses. In particular, there is mounting interest in 54 the potential importance of vitamin D status, and to a lesser extent vita- 55 min A, during early life for a wide range of health outcomes [2]. 56

Liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry 57 (LC–MS/MS) quantification of each of these fat soluble vitamins, includ-58 ing separation of epi-25(OH)D3, is now established [3–5]. Serum, and 59 also undiluted plasma, are the validated matrixes for analysis of vitamins 60 A (retinol), D (25(OH)D3) and E (α -tocopherol). However the diluted 61 plasma matrix, which is widely used in protocols for the collection and 62 isolation of viable mononuclear cells, has not been validated for use in 63 the LC–MS/MS analysis of small molecules. Given the limited volumes 64 of blood available in birth cohort studies, and the implicit value of these 65 in the context of a research intensive large-scale epidemiological projects, 66 it is of interest to determine whether vitamins D, A and E may be ade-67 quately measured in diluted plasma from umbilical cord blood (UCB). 68

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2015.04.014

0009-9120/© 2015 The Canadian Society of Clinical Chemists. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article as: Albarhani AA, et al, Vitamins D and A can be successfully measured by LC–MS/MS in cord blood diluted plasma, Clin Biochem (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2015.04.014

Abbreviations: 25(OH)D3, 25-hydroxy vitamin D3; BIS, Barwon Infant Study; LoQ, limit of quantification; LC–MS/MS, liquid chromatography coupled with mass selective detection; MNC, mononuclear cell; MRM, multiple reaction monitoring; PFP, pentafluorophenyl; RCPAQAP, Royal College of Pathologists of Australasia Quality Assurance Programs; TM, transport medium; 25(OH)D3-d3, tri-deuterated 25-hydroxy vitamin D3; UCB, umbilical cord blood.

^{*} Corresponding author at: Room 3.42, Level 3, Bayliss Building, Plant Energy Biology, ARC Centre of Excellence, School of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, Perth, Western Australia, 6009, Australia.

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

The aim of this study was to validate the measurement of vitamins D
 plus vitamins A and E using LC–MS/MS in diluted UCB plasma versus
 UCB serum.

72 Methods

73 Subjects

74Twenty participants, recruited as part of the Barwon Infant Study 75(BIS), were randomly selected for comparison of matched serum and di-76luted plasma of UCB samples. BIS is a population derived birth cohort study conducted in south-eastern Australia that has been designed to 77 investigate the early life origins of immune dysregulation. UCB was col-78 lected and stored as part of the BIS protocol. The project was approved 79 by the Barwon Health Human Research Ethics Committee (10/24) and 80 written informed consent was obtained prior to collection. 81

82 Sample collection

The primary aim in collection of UCB was to isolate a large num-83 ber of viable mononuclear cells (MNC) that could be cryopreserved 84 for future immune studies. To this end, two separate samples of UCB 85 86 were collected using a 50 mL syringe inserted into the umbilical cord vein. Where there was an adequate volume of UCB, the major-87 ity of the sample was added to a sterile tube containing exactly 88 20 mL of sterile Transport Medium (RPMI-1640) with 10 IU/mL 08 preservative-free heparin (DBL Heparin Injection BP (porcine mu-90 91cous) 5000 IU/5 mL), and the remaining blood added directly to a serum collection tube. Samples of serum were collected and aliquoted 9293 after the tube was centrifuged (2700 g, 10 min at 20 °C). In addition, the 94 volume of anti-coagulated diluted UCB was accurately measured, and 95the tube centrifuged (2700 g, 10 min at 20 °C). The diluted plasma 96 samples were aliquoted and stored with the matched serum samples at -80 °C. 97

98 Dilution of UCB plasma

Once the blood cells were pelleted, the diluted plasma volume was estimated (=total volume anti-coagulated diluted UCB - volume of pelleted blood cells), and then the dilution factor was calculated (=(diluted plasma volume - 20) mL/diluted plasma volume (mL)). Depending on the volume of UCB collected, samples ranged in dilution from 0.26 to 0.43 (mean \pm SEM 0.32 \pm 0.01) of neat plasma.

105 Experimental

106 Twenty UCB sera and 20 diluted plasma de-identified samples were thawed and 150 µL aliquots delivered in a Styrofoam container to labo-107 ratory A (Lab A) [LC-MS/MS laboratory, Clinical Biochemistry Mass 108 Spectrometry Laboratory, RMIT University, VIC, Australia] and to labora-109tory B (Lab B) [UWA Centre for Metabolomics, Metabolomics Australia, 110 111 University of Western Australia, WA, Australia]. Both laboratories were 112 blinded to the sample pairs for analysis and results were returned to the BIS coordinator (FC) for pair identification. Samples were analysed in 113two non-consecutive runs (R1 and R2) in random order to consider be-114tween run effects in the two laboratories. 115

116 The two LC–MS/MS methods are briefly described below:

117 Laboratory A

This LC–MS/MS method was established for the simultaneous quantification of fat soluble vitamins [25(OH)D3, vitamin A (retinol) and E (α -tocopherol)] and utilised an Agilent-1200 LC coupled with an Agilent-6410 Triple Quadrupole Mass Spectrometer (Agilent Technology Inc., VIC, Australia).

123Samples (100 μL) were prepared using a routine liquid–liquid hexane124extraction which incorporated tri-deuterated 25-hydroxy vitamin D3

Electrospray ionisation (positive-mode) in association with mul- 131 tiple reaction monitoring (MRM) was utilised to quantify 25(OH)D3 132 and its isomer (401 \rightarrow 383), retinol (269 \rightarrow 93) and α -tocopherol 133 (431 \rightarrow 165). The 25(OH)D3-d3 (404 \rightarrow 386) was used as the internal **Q10** standard for 25(OH)D3 and retinol (its match stable internal standard 135 was unavailable, thus, and 25-(OH)D3-d3 was used as the closest retention time to retinol) whilst hexa-deuterated α -tocopherol (437 \rightarrow 171) 137 was the internal standard for α -tocopherol [6]. Vitamin D was calibrated 138 using a Recipe Calibrator set (Recipe, Munich, Germany) which is reported to be traceable to NIST-SRM972. Vitamins A and E were calibrated 140 using the Bio-Rad Calibrator (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Munich, Germany) which is traceable to NIST-SRM968e [7].

Method imprecision for 25(OH)D3 is 2.6%, 3.1% and 4.7% at 150, 68 143 and 25 nmol/L, respectively; for vitamin A 2.9%, 3.8% and 4.7% at 3.4, 144 1.7 and 0.5 μ mol/L, respectively; and for vitamin E is 4.4%, 4.0% and 145 5.5% at 54, 22 and 6 μ mol/L, respectively. The LOQ was 3.5 nmol/L for 146 25(OH)D3 and its epimer, 0.16 μ mol/L and 3 μ mol/L for vitamins A 147 and E, respectively [8]. Independent ongoing peer review of this method 148 is conducted through participation in the Royal College of Pathologists 149 of Australasia Quality Assurance Programs (RCPAQAP) (Fig. 1a) [9].

Laboratory B

This LC–MS/MS method is for the analysis of 25(OH)D3 and it 152 epimer. Analysis was performed on an Agilent-6460 coupled to a 153 2-dimensional 1290 UPLC system. The method uses 50 µL of serum 154 and has a run time of 8 min. Vitamin D was calibrated using a 155 Chromsystems Calibrator set (Chromsystems, Munich, Germany) 156 which is reported to be traceable to NIST-SRM972. The imprecision 157 of the method for 25(OH)D3 at 75 nmol/L and 18 nmol/L is 0.5% 158 and 2.2%, respectively. The LOQ for 25(OH)D3 is 2 nmol/L [10]. Inde-159 pendent ongoing peer review of this method is conducted through 160 participation in the Vitamin D standardisation programme run by 161 the CDC and NIH [11] (Fig. 1b).

Statistical analysis

Passing–Bablok regression and Bland–Altman difference plots were 164 used to compare the results of vitamin measurements in UCB serum 165 and diluted UCB plasma. Spearman correlation was used to examine the 166 group of results. A p-value was calculated using the Mann–Whitney 167 two-tailed test, and p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Percentage mean differences were calculated based on the average percentage differences of the overall peer results. All statistical calculations and 170 comparison plots were conducted using XLSTAT software [12]. 171

Allowable total error (TEa) for vitamins A and E was taken from the 172 Ricos Biological Variation database [13]. TEa for vitamin D was calculat- 173 ed as follows [14]: 174

$TEa\% = Z \times X \times CVw + B$	176
Where: $Z = 1.65$; $X = 0.5$; $B =$ desirable specification for inaccu-	177
racy (bias).	178
Bias can be calculated from: $\mathbf{B} = 0.25 \times [\text{CVw}_2 + \text{CVg}_2]^{1/2}$.	179
From reference [14]: Within subject biological variation	180
(CVw) = 8% ; and between subject biological variation	181
(CVg) = 20%.	182
Then $B = 0.25 \times [8^2 + 20^2]^1/2 = 5.4\%$.	183
Hence the allowable total error for 25(OH)D3 is ${ m TEa\%}=1.65 imes$	184
$0.5 \times 8 \pm 5.4 = 12\%$	185

151

163

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8317174

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8317174

Daneshyari.com