# **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

[Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, Part A xxx \(2014\) xxx](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2014.05.003)–xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, Part A



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/cbpa

## <sup>1</sup> Ecophysiology of native and alien-invasive clams in an ocean warming context

Q1 Patrícia Anacleto a,b,c,\*, Ana Luísa Maulvault <sup>b,c</sup>, Vanessa M. Lopes <sup>a</sup>, Tiago Repolho <sup>a</sup>, Mário Diniz <sup>d</sup>, 4 Maria Leonor Nunes <sup>b,c</sup>, António Marques <sup>b,c</sup>, Rui Rosa <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Laboratório Marítimo da Guia, Centro de Oceanografia, Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa, Av. Nossa Senhora do Cabo 939, Cascais 2750-374, Portugal<br><sup>b</sup> Divisão de Aguacultura e Valorização (DivAV) Institut

<sup>b</sup> Divisão de Aquacultura e Valorização (DivAV), Instituto Português do Mar e da Atmosfera (IPMA, I.P.), Av. Brasília, Lisboa 1449-006, Portugal

7 <sup>c</sup> Centro Interdisciplinar de Investigação Marinha e Ambiental (CIIMAR), Universidade do Porto, Rua dos Bragas, 289, Porto 4050-123, Portugal

<sup>d</sup> REQUIMTE, Departamento de Química, Centro de Química Fina e Biotecnologia, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Caparica 2829-516, Portugal

### 9 ARTICLE INFO ABSTRACT

10 Article history:

- 11 Received 16 January 2014
- 12 Received in revised form 4 May 2014
- 13 Accepted 7 May 2014
- 14 Available online xxxx
- 15 Keywords:
- 16 Biological invasions<br>17 Metabolism
- **Metabolism**
- 18 Oxidative stress
- 19 Ruditapes decussatus
- 20 Ruditapes philippinarum<br>21 Thermal tolerance Thermal tolerance

UNCORRECTED PROOF Both climate change and biological invasions are among the most serious global environmental threats. Yet 22 mechanisms underlying these eventual interactions remain unclear. The aim of this study was to undertake a 23 comprehensive examination of the physiological and biochemical responses of native (Ruditapes decussatus) 24 and alien-invasive (Ruditapes philippinarum) clams to environmental warming. We evaluated thermal tolerance 25 limits (CTMax), routine metabolic rates (RMRs) and respective thermal sensitivity ( $Q_{10}$  values), critical oxygen 26 Q3 partial pressure (P<sub>crit</sub>), heat shock response (HSP70/HSC70 levels), lipid peroxidation (MDA build-up) and anti- 27 oxidant enzyme [glutathione-S-transferase (GST), catalase (CAT) and superoxide dismutase (SOD)] activities. 28 Contrary to most studies that show that invasive species have a higher thermal tolerance than native congeners, 29 here we revealed that the alien-invasive and native species had similar CTMax values. However, warming had a 30 stronger effect on metabolism and oxidative status of the native R. decussatus, as indicated by the higher RMRs 31 and HSP70/HSC70 and MDA levels, as well as GST, CAT and SOD activities. Moreover, we argue that the alien- 32 invasive clams, instead of up-regulating energetically expensive cellular responses, have evolved a less demand- 33 ing strategy to cope with short-term environmental (oxidative) stress-pervasive valve closure. Although efficient 34 during stressful short-term periods to ensure isolation and guarantee longer survival, such adaptive behavioural 35 strategy entails metabolic arrest (and the enhancement of anaerobic pathways), which to some extent will not be 36 advantageous under the chronically warming conditions predicted in the future. 37

38 © 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. Q4

40

41

### 4243 1. Introduction

 Estuaries are among the most socio-economically and ecologically important coastal ecosystems and are known to be constantly subjected to anthropogenically derived pollution and natural stressors (e.g. tem- perature, pH, dissolved oxygen, salinity). Additionally, it has been re- cently shown that these coastal areas are warming at a faster rate in comparison to many other ecosystems (MacKenzie and Schiedek, [2007\)](#page--1-0). According to the most recent report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), it is expected that by the end of the 21st century global mean surface temperature will increase by 0.3–4.8 °C ([IPCC, 2013\)](#page--1-0). Since many coastal organisms already live close to their thermal tolerance limits [\(Stillman and Somero, 2000;](#page--1-0) [Helmuth et al., 2006; Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007; Tewksbury et al.,](#page--1-0) [2008\)](#page--1-0), ocean warming is expected to negatively impact their perfor-mance and survival [\(Rosa et al., 2012, 2013, 2014\)](#page--1-0). As a consequence, organisms (e.g. bivalve molluscs) since their metabolism is constrained 59 by oxygen supply at high (and low) temperatures with a progressive 60 transition to an anaerobic mode of energy production [the "oxygen- 61 and capacity-limitation of thermal tolerance" concept [\(Pörtner and](#page--1-0) 62 Knust, 2007)]. The changes in aerobic scope of ectotherms with global 63 warming are assumed to not be caused by lower levels of ambient  $\overline{Q5}$ oxygen, but rather by limited capacity of oxygen supply mechanisms 65 (ventilatory and circulatory systems) to meet an animal's temperature- 66 dependent oxygen demand [\(Pörtner and Knust, 2007\)](#page--1-0). There is increasing evidence that climate change will influence the 68

this future thermal challenge will especially affect marine ectothermic 58

dynamics of biological invasions, by affecting alien species entry path- 69 ways, establishment, spreading and colonization of new habitats 70 [\(Capdevila-Argüelles and Zilletti, 2008\)](#page--1-0). It is expected that, with global 71 warming, inter-specific competition will occur with the more warm- 72 adapted species replacing native species. The latter usually display 73 lower thermal tolerance and, consequently, are unable to physiological- 74 ly respond to extreme conditions [\(Calosi et al., 2008; Somero, 2010](#page--1-0)). 75 Thus, the differential biological responses to future warming will have 76 serious ecological (e.g. impact on ecosystem structure and function) 77

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2014.05.003> 1095-6433/© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article as: Anacleto, P., et al., Ecophysiology of native and alien-invasive clams in an ocean warming context, Comp. Biochem. Physiol., A (2014), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2014.05.003>

<sup>⁎</sup> Corresponding author at: Portuguese Institute of Sea and Atmosphere (IPMA, I.P.), Av. Brasília, 1449-006 Lisbon, Portugal. Tel.: +351 213 027 025; fax: +351 21 3015948. E-mail address: [panacleto@ipma.pt](mailto:panacleto@ipma.pt) (P. Anacleto).

### 2 P. Anacleto et al. / Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, Part A xxx (2014) xxx–xxx

 and economic implications [\(Hellmann et al., 2008\)](#page--1-0). In Portugal, an ex- ample of native and alien species interactions is the closely related clam species: the grooved carpet shell clam Ruditapes decussatus (native species in the Atlantic and Mediterranean waters) and the Manila clam Ruditapes philippinarum (native species from the Indo-Pacific region). The latter was introduced in the beginning of the 1970s for aquaculture purposes in North European Atlantic and Mediterranean coastal waters [\(Flassch and Leborgne, 1992](#page--1-0)). This species has been recognized as one of the most successful invaders, being among the "100 worst invasive species in the Mediterranean" [\(Streftaris and Zenetos, 2006](#page--1-0)). Its high potential for dispersal, fast growth and ability to adapt new environ- ments can have a major impact on local macrobenthic fauna and flora since it competes for food and space with other filter-feeding inverte-brates [\(Otero et al., 2013\)](#page--1-0).

 Studies suggesting that invasive species are more eurythermal (ability to maintain physiological function over a wide range of tem- peratures) than native species have typically relied on latitudinal range as a proxy for both habitat temperature ranges and physiolog- ical temperature tolerance (Rejmánek, 1995, 1996; Rejmánek and [Richardson, 1996](#page--1-0)). Yet although there is a growing interest in the study of physiological responses to environmental stress between alien-invasive and native organisms (Braby and Somero, 2006; [Henkel et al., 2009; Lockwood and Somero, 2011; Zerebecki and](#page--1-0) [Sorte, 2011; Coccia et al., 2013\)](#page--1-0), the mechanisms underlying the in- teraction between climate change and successful biological inva-sions remain unclear.

 The aim of this study was to undertake, for the first time, a compre- hensive examination of the physiological and biochemical responses of native (R. decussatus) and alien-invasive (R. philippinarum) clams to thermal stress. More specifically, we investigated possible differences in: i) thermal tolerance limits (CTMax), ii) routine metabolic rates 109 (RMRs), ii) thermal sensitivity  $(Q_{10}$  values), iii) critical oxygen partial 110 pressure  $(P_{\text{crit}})$ , iv) heat shock response (HSP70/HSC70 levels), v) lipid peroxidation (MDA buildup) and vi) antioxidant enzyme [glutathione-112 S-transferase (GST), catalase (CAT) and superoxide dismutase (SOD)] activities.

### 114 2. Materials and methods

### 115 2.1. Specimen collection and stocking conditions

116 Specimens of R. decussatus (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation; total 117 weight: 6.7  $\pm$  1.1 g; soft-tissue weight: 3.0  $\pm$  0.9 g; shell length: 118 31.2  $\pm$  1.8 mm; shell width: 14.8  $\pm$  0.9 mm; shell height: 22.5  $\pm$ 119 1.2 mm) and R. philippinarum (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation; total 120 weight: 13.2  $\pm$  3.9 g; soft-tissue weight: 2.3  $\pm$  0.4 g; shell length: 121 35.3  $\pm$  3.0 mm; shell width: 18.8  $\pm$  1.8 mm; and shell height:  $26.6 \pm 2.3$  mm) were harvested up to 10 and 30 m, respectively, through diving in active bivalve fishing areas of the Sado and Tagus estuaries (Western coast of Portugal; see Supplemental Fig. S1), during summer season (August–September 2012). Addi- tionally, collection of sediment from clam harvest sites was also per-127 formed. After collection, Ruditapes sp. specimens were immediately transported in thermal boxes, to Guia Marine Laboratory (Centre of Oceanography, Faculty of Sciences, University of Lisbon, Cascais, Portugal) and randomly placed in 14 flat-bottom cylindrical fibreglass tanks (10 L capacity each and 4 cm bottom filled (height) with collection site sediment), within a recirculating aquaculture system, filled with natural seawater (0.2 μm and UV filtered). The recirculating aquaculture system was equipped with biological (ouriço®, Fernando Ribeiro Lda, Portugal), mechanical (100 μm, TMC-Iberia, Portugal) and physical (ReefSkimPro 850, TMC-Iberia, Portugal) filtration, in addition to UV disinfection (Vecton 600, TM-Iberia, Portugal). Ammonium and nitrite levels were deter- mined daily by means of colorimetric test kits (Aquamerk, Merck Millipore, Germany) and kept below detectable levels. Additionally, salinity was daily checked and kept at  $35 \pm 1$  PSU (V2 refractometer, 141) TMC, UK). Water temperature was maintained at 22.0  $\pm$  0.2 °C, by 142 means of a water chiller (Frimar, Fernando Ribeiro Lda, Portugal) and sub- 143 mersible heaters (300 W, Eheim GmbH & Co. KG, Germany), while pH 144 was kept at 8.2  $\pm$  0.1. Up and down pH regulation was performed 145 through a  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and filtered atmospheric air (soda lime) injection system, 146 controlled by a Profilux control system (Profilux 3.1N, GHL, Germany). Q6 Photoperiod was kept to 14-h light–10-h dark. The acclimation tempera- 148 ture (22 °C) was chosen since it reflects the average thermal value that 149 both clam species face throughout the summer months in the estuaries. 150 Clams were acclimated during seven days and fed  $4\times$  a day, with a com- 151 mercially available microalgal mix (Isochrysis, Pavlova, Tetraselmis, 152 Thalassiosira and Nannochloropsis spp.; Acuinuga, Coruña, Spain) with 153 the exception for the day prior to the experimental assays (respirometry 154 and thermal tolerance experiments). 155

2.2. Thermal tolerance limits 156

Thermal tolerance was determined by the dynamic method de- 157 scribed in Mora and Ospína (2001). The measured parameter was the 158 Critical Thermal Maximum (CTMax given in degrees Celsius), defined 159 as the "arithmetic mean of the collective thermal points at which the 160 end-point is reached" (Mora and Ospína, 2001). 161

For the investore was under the research of the the system and Mondow and Soly and species are more energy<br>here are the experimental decay proportion by the experimental and the<br>map of the experimental and the energy of t In order to determine the CTMax, organisms were subjected to a 162 thermostable bath and placed into separated plastic containers, 20 spec- 163 imens of each species, comprising three replicates (total  $n = 60$ ). The 164 bath temperature was set to the acclimation temperature and main- 165 tained for 30 min. Thereafter, temperature was increased at a rate of 166 1 °C per 30 min and clams were observed continuously, until they 167 reached the end-point. Every 30 min, seawater was aerated and tem- 168 perature in each container was checked, using a digital thermometer 169 (TFX 430, Ebro, Germany). Afterwards, for each temperature gradient 170 (from 22  $\degree$ C to the temperature at which 50% of the clams died–LT50)  $\degree$ Q7 and species, four individuals were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen 172 and stored at −80 °C for subsequent biochemical analyses. In order to 173 distinguish between live and dead specimens, inactive individuals 174 were mechanically stimulated. All dead clams showed the valves 175 completely open and no reaction to the stimulus (end-point). Since 176 environmental variables that could influence results (e.g. oxygen levels, 177 salinity, pH, feeding and temperature) were monitored during the accli- 178 mation and experiments, it is assumed that the observed results were 179 due to temperature. 180

### 2.3. Routine metabolic rates, valve closure behaviour and thermal sensitivity 181

Oxygen consumption rates (routine metabolic rates; RMRs) were 182 determined according to previously established methods [\(Rosa and](#page--1-0) 183 Seibel, 2008, 2010; Aurélio et al., 2013). Individual clams were placed 184 within an intermittent flow-through respirometry system (250 mL 185 chambers; Loligo Systems, Tjele, Denmark). Five specimens of each 186 species were used per temperature (from 22 °C to LT50). Respiration Q8 chambers were placed in thermostable water baths (Lauda, Lauda- 188 Königshofen, Germany) in order to control the temperature. Oxygen 189 concentrations were recorded with Clarke-type  $O<sub>2</sub>$  electrodes (SI130 190 microcathode oxygen electrode, Strathkelvin instruments Limited, 191 North Lanarkshire, Scotland) connected to a multichannel oxygen 192 interface (929, Strathkelvin Instruments Limited, North Lanarkshire, 193 Scotland), during 2 h for each temperature gradient. System calibra- 194 tion was performed using oxygen-saturated seawater and checked 195 for electrode drift before each run and at each experimental temper- 196 ature. Due to weight dissimilarity between specimens of both spe- 197 cies, RMRs were standardized to 2.5 g of soft-tissue wet weight 198 assuming a scaling coefficient of  $-0.25$  (3/4 power law; see also 199 [Rosa et al., 2009](#page--1-0)). 200

During respirometry runs, valve closure behaviour was also mon- 201 itored, i.e., clams were continuously observed in order to detect opened 202

Please cite this article as: Anacleto, P., et al., Ecophysiology of native and alien-invasive clams in an ocean warming context, Comp. Biochem. Physiol., A (2014), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2014.05.003>

Download English Version:

# <https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8318600>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8318600>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)