International Journal of Biological Macromolecules xxx (2014) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal of Biological Macromolecules



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijbiomac

Determination of the glass-transition temperature of proteins from a viscometric approach

Karol Monkos* 3 01

Department of Biophysics, Medical University of Silesia, H. Jordana 19, 41-808 Zabrze 8, Poland

ARTICLE INFO 80

Article history:

Received 11 March 2014

Received in revised form 29 August 2014 10 Accepted 27 November 2014

11 Available online xxx

- 12
- 13 14 Keywords:
- Viscosity
- 15 Albumin
- 16

Q2

- 17 Avramov's model
- 18 Glass-transition temperature

Gordon-Taylor equation 19

ABSTRACT

All fully hydrated proteins undergo a distinct change in their dynamical properties at glass-transition temperature T_{g} . To determine indirectly this temperature for dry albumins, the viscosity measurements of aqueous solutions of human, equine, ovine, porcine and rabbit serum albumin have been conducted at a wide range of concentrations and at temperatures ranging from 278 K to 318 K. Viscosity-temperature dependence of the solutions is discussed on the basis of the three parameters equation resulting from Avramov's model. One of the parameter in the Avramov's equation is the glass-transition temperature. For all studied albumins, $T_{\rm g}$ of a solution monotonically increases with increasing concentration. The glasstransition temperature of a solution depends both on T_g for a dissolved dry protein $T_{g,p}$ and water $T_{g,w}$. To obtain $T_{g,p}$ for each studied albumin the modified Gordon–Taylor equation was applied. This equation describes the dependence of T_g of a solution on concentration, and $T_{g,p}$ and a parameter depending on the strength of the protein-solvent interaction are the fitting parameters. Thus determined the glasstransition temperature for the studied dry albumins is in the range (215.4-245.5) K.

© 2014 Published by Elsevier B.V.

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

1. Introduction 21

The temperature at which the properties of material change 22 from liquid-like to solid-like is called glass-transition temperature 23 $T_{\rm g}$. In this temperature the viscosity exceeds a value of 10^{13} poise (or 24 10^{12} Ns/m²). The glass-transition behavior of synthetic polymers 25 and molecular liquids is well known. Recently, the glass-transition 26 27 behavior of biopolymers, including proteins and polysaccharides, has received increased attention [1–16]. Most of globular proteins 28 have an ordered three-dimensional flexible structure. This struc-29 tural, or conformational flexibility is a key component in proteins 30 functions. The functions of proteins like for instance ligand bind-31 ing, require some flexibility because all interactions lead to at least 32 small rearrangements of atoms and, in consequence, to conforma-33 tional changes. The energy of the protein is changed with change 34 of its conformation, and like in glasses this can be described by 35 the conformational energy landscape. According to the concept 36 developed by Frauenfelder [17], a protein molecule can assume a 37 very large number of nearly isoenergetic conformational substates, 38 valleys in the protein energy landscape. Protein dynamics involve 30 transitions between its conformational substates. As temperature 40 decreases these transitions become increasingly slower, and at a 41

Tel.: +48 32 2720142.

E-mail address: monkos@sum.edu.pl

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2014.11.029 0141-8130/© 2014 Published by Elsevier B.V.

certain temperature the protein becomes frozen in a specific substate. The freezing of transitions between different conformational substates involves a change in the thermal energy of the protein, and consequently, a change in the heat capacity. As a result, the protein undergoes a glass transition. In the vicinity of glass transition temperature the sharp change in temperature dependence of various physical properties, such as heat capacity, density, elastic modulus, etc. is observed. This allows experimental determination of $T_{\rm g}$. The glass transition temperature in hydrated proteins has mainly been estimated by calorimetric and rheological measurements [10,15]. However, it is difficult to measure glass transition temperature experimentally for globular proteins in the dry state. In the present paper $T_{\rm g}$ for several dry mammalian serum albumins has been obtained from viscosity measurements of aqueous solutions of the albumins, the Avramov's model and the modified Gordon-Taylor equation.

Mammalian serum albumins are moderately large proteins, with nearly identical molecular mass of about 66.5 kDa [18]. Their primary structure is constituted by a single polypeptide chain of about 580 amino-acid residues. Albumins from different mammals exhibit high amino-acid sequence identity with each other [19]. However detail determination of amino-acid sequences for several of them showed some differences [19]. The differences in amino-acid sequences, in turn, cause some differences in the three-dimensional structure of the albumins and considerable differences in their physicochemical properties in solution. It was

2

68

69

70

71

72

K. Monkos / International Journal of Biological Macromolecules xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

demonstrated by different experimental techniques such as dielectric spectroscopy [20], liquid chromatography [21], electrophoresis [22], viscometry [23–25], calorimetry and fluorescence anisotropy [26,27], circular dichroism [28] or fluorescence spectroscopy and modeling [29].

The study presents the results of viscosity measurements on 73 aqueous solutions of human serum albumin (HSA), equine serum 74 albumin (ESA), ovine serum albumin (OSA), porcine serum albu-75 min (PSA) and rabbit serum albumin (RSA) at temperatures ranging 76 from 278K to 318K and over a wide range of concentrations. 77 For each albumin the viscosity-temperature dependence, for a 78 fixed concentration, is analyzed on the basis of equation result-79 ing from the Avramov's model [30]. One of the parameter in 80 this equation is the glass-transition temperature. It appears that 81 the glass-transition temperature of a solution, for each studied 82 albumin, increases with increasing concentration. To establish the 83 glass-transition temperature of the studied dry albumins, in turn, 84 a modified Gordon-Taylor formulae is applied. 85

2. Materials and methods 86

The following products of the Sigma (USA) were used in the 87 study: HSA at pH 7.0 (A 1653), ESA (A 9888), OSA (A 3264), PSA (A 2764) and RSA (A 0639). HSA at pH 4.7 was purchased from Polish 89 Chemical Reagents factories. Albumins were used without further 90 purification for all measurements. Aqueous solutions were pre-91 pared by dissolving the crystallized albumins in distilled water. To 92 remove possible undissolved fragments the solutions were treated 07 with filter papers. The samples were cooled in a refrigerator (up 94 to 277 K) until just prior to viscometry measurements, when they 95 were warmed from 278 K to 318 K. The pH values of thus prepared 96 solutions were as follows: 7.0 or 4.7 for HSA, 7.4 for ESA, 7.05 for 97 OSA, 6.6 for PSA and 7.0 for RSA. The isoelectric point pI of the stud-98 ied albumins is: (4.7-4.95) for HSA, (4.65-4.9) for ESA, (4.6-4.9) for 99 100 OSA, (4.6–4.9) for PSA and (4.6–5.3) for RSA [22]. The pH values of the solutions changed slightly in the whole range of concentrations. 101 The above given values are the average pH. 102

The viscosity measurements of albumins solutions were con-103 ducted by using an Ubbelohde-type capillary microviscometer with 104 105 a flow time for water of 28.5 s at 298 K. It was placed in a waterbath controlled thermostatically with a precision of ± 0.1 K and was 106 mounted so that it always occupied the same position in the bath. 107 Flow times were recorded to within 0.1 s. The microviscometer was 108 calibrated using cooled boiled distilled water and the same micro-109 viscometer was used for all measurements. Measurements started 110 after a few minutes delay to ensure that the system reached equilib-111 rium. For each concentration, the solution was passed once through 112 the microviscometer before any measurements were made. For 113 most concentrations the viscosity measurements were taken from 114 278K to 318K mainly by steps of 5K. At temperatures slightly 115 higher than 318K the thermal denaturation of the studied albu-116 mins occurs and the lower the protein concentration the higher 117 the denaturation temperature. 118

The viscosity of the studied albumins is discussed here in the 119 mono-disperse range, i.e. from 8.2 kg/m³ up to 369 kg/m³ for HSA 120 at pH 7.0, from 9.5 kg/m³ up to 328 kg/m³ for HSA at pH 4.7, from 121 13 kg/m^3 up to 367 kg/m^3 for ESA, from 36 kg/m^3 up to 317 kg/m^3 122 for OSA, from 34 kg/m³ up to 195 kg/m³ for PSA and from 14 kg/m³ 123 up to 300 kg/m^3 for RSA. For higher concentrations the aggrega-124 tions of albumins occur and solutions become poly-disperse. The 125 problem is discussed in detail elsewhere [23,24]. The above con-126 centration ranges may be expressed by a suitable range of weight 127 fractions as follows: from 0.0082 up to 0.34 for HSA at pH 7.0, from 128 129 0.0096 up to 0.306 for HSA at pH 4.7, from 0.0128 up to 0.333 for ESA, from 0.0359 up to 0.293 for OSA, from 0.0341 up to 0.185 for 130

PSA and from 0.0138 up to 0.277 for RSA. Solution densities were measured by weighing. Albumin concentrations were determined using a dry weight method in which the samples were dried at high temperature for several hours.

3. Results and discussion

One of the models of viscous flow for glass-forming systems is the Avramov's model [30]. According to the model molecules in a flowing liquid jump from the holes formed by the nearest neighbors to one of the adjoining holes. During the jumps the molecule has to overcome some energy barrier which, in general, is different for different molecules. The frequency of the jumps decreases exponentially with increasing the energy barrier. The assumption that the jumps frequency follows a Poisson distribution allows calculation of the average jump frequency. It depends on a dispersity and a maximum value of the energy barrier. Moreover, in the model one assumes that viscosity of the liquid is inversely proportional to the average frequency of these jumps. As a final result the temperature dependence of liquid viscosity is obtained. For solutions, when viscosity depends both on temperature and concentration, this dependence can be written in the following way:

$$\eta(c,T) = \eta_{\infty}(c) \exp\left[\frac{\Theta(c)}{T}\right]^{\alpha(c)}$$
(1)

where $\eta_{\infty}(c)$, $\Theta(c)$ and $\alpha(c)$ are concentration dependent parameters.

To fit the viscosity from the Avramov's relation to the experimental values of viscosity, the numerical values of the parameters $\eta_{\infty}(c), \Theta(c)$ and $\alpha(c)$ are needed. The calculations of these parameters were conducted by applying a non-linear regression procedure in the computational statistical program. Fig. 1 shows the results of viscosity measurements for HSA at pH 4.7, ESA and OSA aqueous solutions for high concentrations. The curve shows the fit to the experimental points according to relation (1), with the parameters obtained by the mentioned above method. As seen this function gives very good fit over the whole range of measured temperatures. For the smaller concentrations the situation is the same. This is also the case for the other albumins discussed here. In Avramov's relation (1) the parameter $\Theta(c) = T_g(c)\varepsilon^{1/\alpha(c)}$. The parameter $T_g(c)$ denotes the glass transition temperature for a solution and the quantity ε means the ratio of the activation energy corresponding to its value at the maximum of the probability distribution function to a dispersity of the activation energy.



Fig. 1. Temperature dependence of the viscosity of HSA at pH 4.7 (\bullet), ESA (\triangle) and OSA (\blacklozenge) aqueous solutions for concentrations: c = 328, 367 and 317 kg/m³, respectively. The curves show the fit obtained by using Eq. (1) with the parameters: $\eta_{\infty}(c) = 2135 \text{ cP}, \Theta(c) = 3058 \text{ K} \text{ and } \alpha(c) = 9118 \text{ for HSA}; \eta_{\infty}(c) = 5761 \text{ cP}, \Theta(c) = 3424 \text{ K}$ and $\alpha(c)$ = 5302 for ESA; $\eta_{\infty}(c)$ = 318 cP, $\Theta(c)$ = 3308 K and $\alpha(c)$ = 5233 for OSA.

134 135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

131

132

133

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8331600

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8331600

Daneshyari.com