Accepted Manuscript

Single pixel quantitative phase imaging with spatial frequency projections

Patrick A. Stockton, Jeffrey J. Field, Randy A. Bartels

 PII:
 S1046-2023(17)30175-5

 DOI:
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2017.10.007

 Reference:
 YMETH 4332

To appear in: *Methods*

Received Date:17 August 2017Revised Date:22 October 2017Accepted Date:23 October 2017



Please cite this article as: P.A. Stockton, J.J. Field, R.A. Bartels, Single pixel quantitative phase imaging with spatial frequency projections, *Methods* (2017), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2017.10.007

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Single pixel quantitative phase imaging with spatial frequency projections

Patrick A. Stockton^a, Jeffrey J. Field^{a,b,c}, Randy A. Bartels^{a,c,d,*}

^aDepartment of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523 ^bDepartment of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523 ^cMicroscope Imaging Network Foundational Core Facility, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523 ^dSchool of Biomedical Engineering, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523

Abstract

We introduce a new single pixel imaging technique that automatically co-registers quantitative phase and incoherent image modalities through the simultaneous acquisition of identical object spatial frequency information. The technique consists of using a time varying groove density diffraction grating to produce a reference and scan beam. The interference between the beams produce time varying spatial frequencies in the sample. The collected light on a single pixel detector produces a time trace that allows easy recovery of coherent and incoherent contrast mechanisms. We derive theory for the quantitative phase and show excellent agreement with experimental data and numeric model. Additionally, we derive a general theory of single pixel quantitative phase theory that can be applied broadly to general methods that use a sequence of modulated light patterns for single pixel phase imaging.

27

54

55

56

Keywords: Single pixel imaging, Spatial frequency microscopy, Label-free, Microscopy, Quantitative phase imaging, Tomography 2010 MSC: 92C05, 92C55

1. Introduction

Optical imaging is a powerful technique for probing the physical organization, chemical composition, and temporal dynam- 30 ics of a wide range of objects, from biological specimens to 31 precision metrology of nanomaching. Numerous scientific endeavors rely on collecting high fidelity images of the system 33 6 under study - covering microscopic and macroscopic scales. 34 7 Regardless of the spatial scale involved in an optical imaging 35 8 process, the light collected from an object is re-imaged to a 36 9 detector and recorded. When possible, it is advantageous to 37 10 record light with a segmented optical detector that serves as a 38 11 camera detector chip, such as a charge-coupled device (CCD). 20 12 To produce a high quality image, the light emitted by the spec- $_{40}$ 13 imen must be minimally perturbed to prevent distortions of the 41 14 image incident on the detector. This limits the scope of optical 42 15 microscopy with cameras to objects that are weakly scattering. 43 16 In many cases, specimens imaged in a microscope display 44 17 weak absorption and small refractive index changes, render-45 18 ing them nearly transparent when illuminated by visible light. 19 Weak absorption becomes particularly problematic for thin tis-20 sue slices or cell cultures due to the small interaction volume. 48 21 Fluorescent and phase contrast modalities are the most common 49 22 methods to image transparent biological specimens [1-3] with 50 23 high contrast. Fluorescence allows for visualization of cellu-24 lar dynamics with high specificity, while phase imaging probes 52 25 endogenous contrast mechanism [3, 4]. 26 53

Fluorescence microscopy is a mature technology whose use in recent years has been propelled by high sensitivity detectors that allow single molecule detection. While some natively expressed biochemicals display strong autofluorescence, the introduction of exogenous or transgenic fluorescent labels has greatly expanded the impact of fluorescent microscopy by enabling particular biochemicals to be targeted and observed in biological specimens [5]. Yet fluorescent imaging is limited because not all molecules fluoresce, and the broad optical absorption and emission spectra can make it difficult to distinguish particular target molecules. In addition, fluorescent molecules are subject to photo-bleaching that can permanently degrade the ability to image a specimen for long periods of time, thus limiting the ability to perform long-term studies.

An alternative approach to fluorescence microscopy, that requires no external fluorescent probes and yields complementary information, is phase contrast microscopy. Phase contrast microscopy records spatial phase distortions of a light wave passing through a specimen due to spatial variations in optical path length (OPL). The phase accumulated by the illumination beam upon propagation through a specimen is proportional to the local index of refraction variations of the sample integrated along the direction of propagation. The vast potential of extracting information from biological specimens without adding exogenous contrast agents has motivated significant development in methods for quantitative phase imaging [6, 7].

Although optical detectors cannot directly record the rapid oscillations of the electric field, and thus fail to record optical phase, interference between two coherent fields can convert phase differences into optical intensity variations – enabling the

^{*}Corresponding author Email address: randy.bartels@colostate.edu (Randy A. Bartels)

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8340100

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8340100

Daneshyari.com