G Model PSL91361-8

Plant Science xxx (2015) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Plant Science



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/plantsci

Review

The evolution of drought escape and avoidance in natural herbaceous populations

Nicholas J. Kooyers* 4 **Q1**

Department of Biology, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22904, USA

ARTICLE INFO 72

Article history:

10 Received 31 October 2014

Received in revised form 4 February 2015 11 Accepted 19 February 2015

- 12 Available online xxx
- 13
- 14 15 Keywords:
- Adaptation 16
- Plasticity 17
- 18 Drought resistance
- 19 Water-use efficiency
- Gene by environment interactions 20
- Flowering time 21

ABSTRACT

While the functional genetics and physiological mechanisms controlling drought resistance in crop plants are well studied, less research has examined the genetic basis of adaptation to drought stress in natural populations. Drought resistance adaptations in nature reflect natural rather than human-mediated selection and may identify novel mechanisms for stress tolerance. Adaptations conferring drought resistance have historically been divided into alternative strategies including drought escape (rapid development to complete a life cycle before drought) and drought avoidance (reducing water loss to prevent dehydration). Recent studies in genetic model systems such as Arabidopsis, Mimulus, and Panicum have begun to elucidate the genes, expression profiles, and physiological changes responsible for ecologically important variation in drought resistance. Similar to most crop plants, variation in drought escape and avoidance is complex, underlain by many OTL of small effect, and pervasive gene by environment interactions. Recently identified major-effect alleles point to a significant role for genetic constraints in limiting the concurrent evolution of both drought escape and avoidance strategies, although these constraints are not universally found. This progress suggests that understanding the mechanistic basic and fitness consequences of gene by environment interactions will be critical for crop improvement and forecasting population persistence in unpredictable environments.

© 2015 Published by Elsevier Ireland Ltd.

Contents

4	1.	Introduction	00
5	2.		00
5		2.1. Prevalence	00
7		2.2. Fitness consequences	00
8		2.3. Genetic basis	00
9	3.	The evolution of drought avoidance in natural populations	00
D		3.1. Prevalence	00
1		3.2. Fitness consequences	00
2		3.3. Genetic basis	00
3		3.4. Resource reserves as drought avoidance adaptations	00
4		3.5. Genetic tradeoffs in the evolution of drought resistance	00
5	4.	A path toward understanding drought resistance adaptations in natural populations	00
6		Acknowledgements	00
		References	00
7			

* Tel.: +1 434 924 0162.

E-mail address: njk5k@virginia.edu

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2015.02.012 0168-9452/© 2015 Published by Elsevier Ireland Ltd.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

N.J. Kooyers / Plant Science xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

8 1. Introduction

Climate models forecast an increase in both the severity and 3002 frequency of drought in the coming 50 years [1]. This change is 40 especially difficult for sessile organisms such as plants, which 41 must be able to respond to wide fluctuations in growing sea-42 son conditions while still maintaining the ability to correctly time 43 developmental processes in response to environmental cues. At 44 a population level, increasing aridity and drought should lead 45 to strong directional selection for plants with higher fitness 46 under drought conditions (i.e., drought-resistant plants); how-47 ever, a more nuanced understanding of genes and traits under 48 selection is limited by an incomplete knowledge of the mech-40 anisms that plants use to resist drought stress [2]. Without 50 understanding the innate resistance mechanisms plants possess, 51 it is difficult to accurately assess future population persistence. 52 Determining the prevalence and variation in the mechanisms 53 underlying stress resistance and adaptation is a key goal for plant 54 biologists. 55

Unlike in natural populations, responses to drought stress have 56 been widely studied in a few major crop plants [3–7]. This litera-57 ture has resulted in an improved understanding of the physiological 58 pathways involved in drought perception and response as well as 59 identified major-effect genes controlling drought resistance [3]. 60 However, wild populations often harbor large pools of genetic and 61 phenotypic diversity that can provide insights into novel mech-62 anisms of acquiring drought resistance. These insights can range 63 from characterizing new phenotypes to identifying new roles for genes involved in abiotic stress-response pathways. While understanding the diversity and prevalence of mechanisms underlying drought resistance in natural populations clearly benefits evolutionary biologists, these results can also help agronomists more effectively improve or develop crops. Here I synthesize recent 69 progress describing how drought resistance has evolved in natural 70 populations of herbaceous plants. I focus on studies that identify the 71 genetic basis of drought strategies as well as describe the evidence 72 that these strategies are advantageous in natural populations. 73

Adaptation to soil water availability is common across the 74 ranges of plant species and is associated with the formation of 75 ecotypes [8,9]. Adaptations conferring drought resistance have his-76 torically been divided into three alternative strategies: drought 77 escape, drought avoidance, and drought tolerance [10]. Each of 78 these strategies may evolve as a constitutive response that occurs 79 independently of environmental cues such as water deficit, or can 80 evolve as a heritable plastic response that is dependent on one 81 or more environmental cues. Drought escape occurs when plants 82 develop rapidly and reproduce before drought conditions become 83 severe. Cession of vegetative growth may or may not accompany 84 a drought escape response. In contrast, drought avoidance occurs 85 when plants increase water-use efficiency (WUE) by reducing transpiration, limiting vegetative growth, or increasing root growth, 87 and avoid dehydration during transient periods of drought stress. 89 Drought avoidance has also been referred to as dehydration avoidance in recent literature. Finally, drought-tolerant plants are able 90 to withstand dehydration through osmotic adjustment and pro-91 duction of molecules that stabilize proteins (Fig. 1; [10]). These 92 strategies are coordinated physiological syndromes that involve 93 many physiological and structural traits [11]. For instance, drought 94 avoidance through increased WUE is mediated by lowering sto-95 matal conductance, which in turn can be influenced by a number 96 of different potentially correlated traits such as leaf area, leaf lob-97 ing, succulence, or stomatal density. Here, I will focus on recent 98 advances understanding drought escape and avoidance. These 99 advances are largely limited to studies examining flowering time 100 as a measure of drought escape and leaf-level WUE as a measure 101 102 of drought avoidance as these are the traits that have received

the most attention. Mechanisms of drought tolerance have been covered in detail elsewhere [3,12].

103

104

105

106

107

108

100

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

Although each of these strategies is predicted to evolve in areas of frequent drought stress, they are often viewed as alternative strategies or syndromes that can be optimally employed in specific seasonal contexts for plants with specific life history strategies (Fig. 1; [6,13]). For instance, drought escape may be optimal for annual plants in environments with short growing seasons that are ended by severe terminal drought; whereas drought avoidance may be more optimal if the growing season is punctuated by transient droughts. These strategies are unlikely to evolve together because plants devoting all of their resources to rapid reproduction need to have high rate of carbon fixation and thus also high stomatal conductance. However, plants typically avoid drought by lowering stomatal conductance to conserve water and thus reducing the rate of carbon fixation and growth. The literature has largely supported this view with the most detailed examples pointing toward the independent evolution of drought escape and avoidance strategies [14,15]. There is limited evidence in some systems that suggests that there are not genetic constraints to the concurrent evolution of both strategies within individual populations [16]. The environmental conditions that favor evolution of specific strategies is still an open topic and identifying the genetic constraints and fitness ramifications associated with each strategy is an area of strong interest.

While phenotypes associated with escape or avoidance strategies have often been studied (e.g., [14,17,18]), obtaining a detailed understanding of the genetic and physiological mechanisms that plants use to escape or avoid drought in natural populations has been challenging. Recreating realistic drought conditions in an experimental setting is difficult and may not necessarily reflect field conditions [19]. Drought can combine the effects of water deficit and possible heat stress. Manipulating water availability is complicated in dry-down experiments because water uptake is greater in bigger plants; a problem that can create heterogeneity in the timing of water deficits [20]. An additional challenge is finding species with populations that thrive across a range of aridity conditions and that also possess a genetic toolbox amenable to exploring the genes and pathways responsible for adaptive divergence in morphology and physiology. In model genetic species where the genetic basis of drought escape or avoidance has been characterized, there are often multiple QTL (quantitative trait loci), each of small effect that underlie variation in drought resistance. This makes it difficult to identify the phenotypic effects of a given locus [21,22]. Further, drought escape and avoidance can both be dependent on environmental context where a water deficit or other environmental cue may induce rapid flowering or changes in WUE [23,24]. This inherent plasticity can complicate linkage mapping and make it difficult to predict drought resistance and fitness consequences in a seasonal environment

Nevertheless, development of new ecological model systems such as Mimulus guttatus, Avena barbata, and Panicum hallii as well as renewed efforts to study Brassica rapa and Arabidopsis sp. in ecological contexts has begun to provide new insights into the genetics underlying adaptive drought escape and avoidance strategies. Specifically, work in these systems has begun to address longstanding questions about ecophysiological traits regarding the prevalence, adaptive value, and genetic architecture underlying variation in these traits in natural populations [6]. Here I review the advances made in the last decade toward identifying the fitness benefits and genetic basis of drought escape and avoidance strategies as well as the constraints that limit concurrent evolution of both strategies. This large body of the literature establishes that variation in drought escape and avoidance traits is prevalent and adaptive, and highlights promising systems where QTLs and genes responsible for this variation are known. In addition, this review

2

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8357769

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8357769

Daneshyari.com