



Original Article

Application of cell growth analysis to the quality assessment of human cell-processed therapeutic products as a testing method for immortalized cellular impurities

Nozomi Hasebe-Takada^{a, b, 1}, Ken Kono^{a, 1}, Satoshi Yasuda^a, Rumi Sawada^a, Akifumi Matsuyama^b, Yoji Sato^{a, c, d, e, *}^a Division of Cell-Based Therapeutic Products, National Institute of Health Sciences, Tokyo, Japan^b Platform of Therapeutics for Rare Disease, National Institutes of Biomedical Innovation, Health and Nutrition, Amagasaki, Japan^c Department of Quality Assurance Science for Pharmaceuticals, Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Nagoya City University, Nagoya, Japan^d Department of Cellular and Gene Therapy Products, Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan^e Department of Translational Pharmaceutical Sciences, Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 18 March 2016

Received in revised form

6 June 2016

Accepted 29 June 2016

Keywords:

Regenerative medicine

Cellular therapy

Tumorigenicity

Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cell

Adipose-derived stem cell

Quality assessment

ABSTRACT

In human cell-processed therapeutic products (hCTPs) for clinical application, tumorigenic cellular impurities in the manufacturing process are a major concern. Because cellular immortalization is one of the prerequisite steps in tumorigenesis, we tested whether cell growth analysis can be employed to check for immortalized (and potentially tumorigenic) cellular impurities in hCTPs. We monitored the growth of human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (BMSCs) mixed with HeLa cells at a ratio of 1/10⁶ or more and compared their growth rates with that of BMSCs alone. The cell growth analysis detected a significant increase in the growth rate of the BMSCs spiked with 0.0001% HeLa within 30 days at a probability of 47%. When human adipose-derived stem cells (ADSCs) were spiked with ASC52tel cells, a human telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTERT)-immortalized adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cell line, at a ratio of 0.001% or more, their growth rates were significantly increased within 15 passages, compared with that of ADSCs alone. These results indicate that cell growth analysis for the detection of immortalized cellular impurities in human somatic stem cells is simple and can be useful for the quality assessment of hCTPs in the manufacturing process.

© 2016, The Japanese Society for Regenerative Medicine. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

It is strongly expected that human cell-processed therapeutic products (hCTPs) will be developed to treat diseases that are currently life-threatening or incurable. The tumorigenic cellular

impurities of hCTPs are a major concern for the clinical application of hCTPs. Unlike human pluripotent stem cells (i.e., embryonic stem cells and induced pluripotent stem cells [1,2]), human somatic cells are thought to have little tumorigenicity, regardless of the *in vitro* cell processing [3,4]. To the best of our knowledge, only three studies (therapies of ataxia telangiectasia with human fetal neural stem cells, spinal cord injury with olfactory mucosal cells, and full-thickness burn with cultured epidermal autograft) have reported tumor formation following the transplantation of human somatic cells into patients [5–7]. Four individual groups have reported the spontaneous transformation of human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) after long-term *in vitro* culture [8–11]. However, two of these research papers were later retracted due to the cross-contamination of hMSCs with tumorigenic cells [12,13]. In the other two papers, the immortalization of hMSCs was initially found in the *in vitro* culture, which is closely associated with tumorigenicity [10,11]. These observations suggest that avoiding

Abbreviations: hCTP, human cell-processed therapeutic product; BMSC, bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cell; ADSC, adipose-derived stem cell; hTERT, human telomerase reverse transcriptase; hMSC, human mesenchymal stem cell; FBS, fetal bovine serum; DMEM, Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium; PBS, phosphate buffered saline; *P* = *n*, passage *n*; qRT-PCR, quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction.

* Corresponding author. 1-18-1 Kamiyoga, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo, 158-8501, Japan. Fax: +81 3 3700 9373.

E-mail address: yoji@nihs.go.jp (Y. Sato).

Peer review under responsibility of the Japanese Society for Regenerative Medicine.

¹ These authors contributed equally to this work.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reth.2016.06.005>

2352-3204/© 2016, The Japanese Society for Regenerative Medicine. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

cross-contamination with tumorigenic cells and monitoring the growth of immortalized cells without senescence is critical for the quality control of hCTPs derived from human somatic stem cells. In fact, the European Medicines Agency has stated that the evaluation of *in vitro* cell senescence after serial passaging is sufficient to prove the absence of immortalized/tumorigenic cells in human somatic cell-based products [14].

In a previous study, we examined the growth rates of human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (BMSCs) spiked with various doses of HeLa cells to determine the sensitivity of cell growth analysis for the detection of immortalized (and potentially tumorigenic) cells contained in somatic stem cells as impurities. The results indicated that as little as 0.001% of HeLa cells as impurities were detectable by cell growth analysis [15]. Here we attempted to detect 0.0001% of HeLa cells spiked into BMSCs to further confirm the sensitivity of cell growth analysis. We also characterized the performance of the cell growth analysis as a testing method for immortalized cellular impurities that show more modest growth, compared with HeLa cells, using human adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells (ADSCs) and immortalized human telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTERT)-transduced ADSCs. Our data suggest the usefulness of cell growth analysis for the quality assessment for hCTPs.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cells

All of the cell cultures were maintained in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ and 95% air at 37 °C. BMSCs at passage 2 ($P = 2$) and ADSCs at $P = 1$ were purchased from Lonza. ASC52telo cells, hTERT-immortalized adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells, were obtained from ATCC. HeLa cells were obtained from the Health Science Research Resources Bank (Osaka, Japan). BMSCs were cultured in an MSCGM BulletKit™, a mesenchymal stem cell basal medium supplemented with mesenchymal cell growth supplement, L-glutamine, and gentamycin/amphotericin-B (Lonza). ADSCs and ASC52telo cells were cultured in an ADSC-BulletKit™, an ADSC basal medium supplemented with the necessary supplements (Lonza). HeLa cells were cultured in Eagle's minimum essential medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Sigma), 0.1 mM non-essential amino acids (Gibco), 50 U/ml penicillin, and 50 µg/ml streptomycin (Gibco). Until they were used for cell growth analysis, cells were maintained in the medium as described above and passaged upon reaching 90% confluence.

2.2. Cell growth analysis

At $P = 5$ of BMSCs and ADSCs, 1×10^6 cells of BMSCs and ADSCs were spiked with HeLa cells (10 or 1 cells) and ASC52telo cells (1000, 100, or 10 cells), respectively. The spiked cells were prepared by the serial dilution of counted cells. The cell suspensions were seeded into T175 flasks (Corning) and maintained in 40 ml of Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; Gibco) supplemented with 10% FBS (Sigma), 50 U/ml penicillin, and 50 µg/ml streptomycin (Gibco). Upon reaching approximately 90% confluence, the cells were rinsed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS; Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan) and treated with 0.05% trypsin-EDTA solution (Gibco) for detachment from the flasks. The cells were centrifuged at $450 \times g$ for 5 min and suspended with the fresh culture medium. Aliquots of the suspended cells were stained with 0.4% trypan blue solution and counted using a Countess automated cell counter (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. One million cells in the suspension were re-seeded into T175 flasks and cultured until the next passage. These procedures were

repeated by $P = 11$ and $P = 20$ in the experiments using the BMSCs spiked with HeLa cells and ADSCs spiked with ASC52telo cells, respectively. The growth rate (R_n) at $P = n$ was calculated by the following equation:

$$R_n = [\log_2(N_{n+1} - N_n)] / (D_{n+1} - D_n),$$

where N_k and D_k are the number of accumulated cells and the date at $P = k$, respectively.

2.3. Quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)

Total RNA was isolated from ASC52telo cells spiked into ADSCs using an RNeasy Mini Kit with DNase I treatment (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA concentration was measured using the NanoDrop ND-1000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). qRT-PCR was performed with a QuantiTect Probe RT-PCR Kit (QIAGEN) on a 7300 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). The PCR condition was as follows. After initial incubations at 50 °C for 30 min and 95 °C for 15 min, 40 cycles of amplification were carried out at 95 °C for 15 s and 60 °C for 1 min. Total RNA (5 ng per sample) was used for analysis. The levels of hTERT expression were normalized by those of GAPDH expression, which were quantified using TaqMan human GAPDH control reagents (Applied Biosystems). Primers and probes incorporating 5'-FAM reporter dye and 3'-TAMRA quencher dye for qRT-PCR were obtained from Sigma–Aldrich. The primer and probe sequences targeting hTERT gene were as follows: 5'-CCTGTTTCTGGATTTCAGGTG-3' (forward primer), 5'-GCACACATGCGTGAACCTG-3' (reverse primer), and 5'-CAGCCTCCAGACGGTGTGCACCAAC-3' (probe).

3. Results and discussion

In our previous cell growth analysis, 10 HeLa cells spiked into 1×10^6 BMSCs (0.001%) showed a significant increase in the growth rate within 30 days [15]. Here we added a single HeLa cell (HeLa 1) or ten HeLa cells (HeLa 10) to 1×10^6 of BMSCs at $P = 5$ and monitored the number of cultured cells until $P = 11$. Although equal proportion assay of spiking 10 cells into 1×10^7 cells (0.0001%) appears to be more reproducible than that of spiking a single cell into 1×10^6 cells, we had technical difficulties in handling 1×10^7 cells of BMSCs with one culture dish. Considering the varied growth efficacy of a single HeLa cell, we performed experiments with ten samples of HeLa 1 for each lot of BMSCs. In contrast, we have previously reported that the results of HeLa 10 and BMSCs alone (HeLa 0) were convinced [15]. Here we determined to use BMSCs at $P = 5$ for the spike experiments because over 70% of clinical trials have used hMSCs from 1 to 5 passages [16]. BMSCs alone (HeLa 0) proliferated at almost constant rates during our observation (Fig. 1). As expected, the cell growth of HeLa 10 was distinct from that of HeLa 0 within 30 days. Several samples of HeLa 1 also indicated that their cell growth curves were rapidly accelerated within 30 days compared with those of HeLa 0. In contrast, cell growth in some samples of HeLa 1 did not differ from HeLa 0. The incidence rates of accelerated cell growth were $47 \pm 9\%$ when one HeLa cell was spiked into three lots of 1×10^6 BMSCs at the ratio of 0.0001% (Table 1). Next, we calculated the growth rates (R_n) according to the formula described in the Materials and methods section, and performed a statistical analysis to determine the passage number that showed a significant difference in growth rates compared with HeLa 0 at $P = 5$. The growth rates in HeLa 1 with accelerated cell growth gradually increased at $P = 8$ and were significantly higher than that in HeLa 0 at $P = 5$ (Fig. 2). These results indicated that cell growth analysis detects HeLa cells as 0.0001% impurities of

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8360914>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8360914>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)