



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Seminars in Cancer Biology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/semcancer



Review

Metabolic reprogramming in cancer cells, consequences on pH and tumour progression: Integrated therapeutic perspectives with dietary lipids as adjuvant to anticancer treatment

Jean-François Dumas^{a,b,1}, Lucie Brisson^{a,1}, Stéphan Chevalier^{a,b}, Karine Mahéo^{a,b},
Gaëlle Fromont^{a,c}, Driffa Moussata^{a,d}, Pierre Besson^{a,b}, Sébastien Roger^{a,e,f,*}

^a Inserm UMR1069 Nutrition, Croissance et Cancer, Université François-Rabelais de Tours, 10 Boulevard Tonnellé, 37032 Tours, France

^b UFR Sciences Pharmaceutiques, Université François-Rabelais de Tours, Avenue Monge, 37200 Tours, France

^c Service d'anatomie et cytologie pathologiques, CHU de Tours, 37044 Tours cedex 9, France

^d Service d'hépatogastroentérologie et de cancérologie digestive, CHU de Tours, 37044 Tours cedex 9, France

^e Département de Physiologie Animale, UFR Sciences et Techniques, Université François-Rabelais de Tours, Avenue Monge, 37200 Tours, France

^f Institut Universitaire de France, 1 rue Descartes, 75231 Paris, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 29 December 2016

Received in revised form 10 March 2017

Accepted 13 March 2017

Available online xxx

Keywords:

Cancer cell metabolism

pH

Cancer cell invasiveness

Resistance to treatments

N-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids

ABSTRACT

While tumours arise from acquired mutations in oncogenes or tumour-suppressor genes, it is clearly established that cancers are metabolic diseases characterized by metabolic alterations in tumour cells, and also non-tumour cells of the host organism resulting in tumour cachexia and patient weakness. In this review, we aimed at delineating details by which metabolic alterations in cancer cells, characterized by mitochondrial bioenergetics deregulations and the preference for aerobic glycolysis, are critical parameters controlling the aggressive progression of tumours. In particular, metabolic alteration in cancer cells are coupled to the modulation of intracellular and extracellular pH, epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition and associated increased invasiveness, autophagy, and the development of anticancer treatment resistance. Finally, based on mechanistic, pre-clinical and clinical studies, we proposed the adjuvant supplementation of dietary n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids for a complementary holistic treatment of the cancer disease.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Contents

1. Introduction.....	00
1.1. Cancer progression.....	00
1.2. Cancer as a metabolic disease.....	00
2. Metabolic switches in cancer cells and consequences on cancer cell properties.....	00
2.1. Hypoxia and the selection of cancer cells with high glycolytic activity.....	00
2.2. Roles of mitochondrial bioenergetics in cancer progression.....	00
2.2.1. Mitochondrial activity, cancer cell invasiveness and metastases.....	00
2.2.2. Mitochondrial activity in the resistance to anticancer treatments.....	00

Abbreviations: α -SMA, α -smooth muscle actin; AMPK, AMP-activated protein kinase; bHLH, basic Helix-Loop-Helix; DHA, docosahexaenoic acid (22:6n-3); Drp1, dynamin-related protein 1; ECM, extracellular matrix; EMT, epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid (20 5n-3); ERK1/2, extracellular signal-regulated kinase; ¹⁸FdG, 18-fluorodeoxyglucose; 5-FU, 5-fluorouracil; HIF, Hypoxia-Inducible Factor; IFP, interstitial fluid pressure; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; mCAT, mitochondrial catalase; MCT, monocarboxylate-H⁺ co-transporter; MDR, multidrug resistance; MMP, matrix metalloproteinases; n-3 PUFA, n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid; Nav, voltage-gated sodium channels; NHE, sodium-proton exchanger; NMO, N-nitroso-N-methylurea; OXPHOS, oxidative phosphorylation; PDH, pyruvate dehydrogenase; PET, positron emission tomography; P-gp, P-glycoprotein; PPAR, peroxisome proliferator activated receptor; PPI, proton pump inhibitor; ROS, reactive oxygen species; TCA, tricarboxylic acid cycle; TMZ, temozolomide; UCP-2, mitochondrial uncoupling protein 2.

* Corresponding author at: UMR Inserm 1069, Université François-Rabelais de Tours, 10 Boulevard Tonnellé 37032 Tours, France.

E-mail address: sebastien.roger@univ-tours.fr (S. Roger).

¹ These authors participated equally as first authors.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.semcan.2017.03.004>

1044-579X/© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article in press as: J.-F. Dumas, et al., Metabolic reprogramming in cancer cells, consequences on pH and tumour progression: Integrated therapeutic perspectives with dietary lipids as adjuvant to anticancer treatment, *Semin Cancer Biol* (2017), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.semcan.2017.03.004>

2.3.	pH regulation as a consequence of metabolic reprogramming, effect on cancer progression and resistance to anticancer treatments	00
2.3.1.	pH regulation, cancer cell invasiveness and metastases	00
2.3.2.	pH regulation and resistance to anticancer treatments	00
2.4.	Autophagy and resistance to anticancer treatments	00
3.	The perspectives of using dietary lipids for an integrated strategy in the treatment of cancers	00
3.1.	Primary tumour growth and resistance to treatment	00
3.2.	Cancer cell metabolism and autophagy	00
3.3.	EMT and invasive properties	00
4.	Conclusions	00
	Conflict of interests	00
	Acknowledgements	00
	References	00

1. Introduction

Cancer is a leading cause of death, and it was estimated in 2012 that 14.1 million new cancer cases and 8.2 million cancer deaths occurred in the world [1]. These numbers are expected to increase rapidly in the next few years because of the growth and aging of populations, the changes in lifestyle and dietary behaviours, and/or the exposure to environmental conditions that are known or supposed to increase cancer risk. Sometimes, cancer is considered as merely being a genetic disease. The tumour mainly takes its origin in the occurrence of sporadic mutations leading to the amplification, or activation, of various oncogenes (such as *SRC*, *AKT*) [2,3], the increased expression (or gain-of-function mutations) of proto-oncogenes (such as *RAS*, *MYC*, *WNT*) [4–6], or the acquisition of loss-of-function mutations in tumour-suppressor genes (such as *RB1*, *TP53*, *PTEN*, *APC*) [7–9]. A small proportion of cancers (5–15% of cases depending on cancer types) are hereditary, *i.e.* due to the transmission of germline mutations in tumour-suppressor genes, such as the breast-ovarian hereditary cancers associated with mutations in *BRCA1/2* genes encoding for DNA repair enzymes [10]. These mutations, along with the stochastic accumulation of multiple others with undefined role(s), lead to the selection of some cancer cell clones [11]. The growth of the primary tumour and its progression towards an aggressive phenotype result from mutual interactions between cancer cells and their microenvironment in the host organism. This microenvironment comprises stromal, endothelial and immune cells, extracellular matrices, as well as soluble factors, such as cytokines, growth factors, and can be submitted to fluctuations in ionic composition, nutrients availability and oxygen tension.

1.1. Cancer progression

At the cellular level, the acquisition of extensive migration and extracellular matrix invasion potencies by cancer cells are critical steps in cancer progression, in the metastatic cascade [12,13] and eventually in patient death [14]. It is generally accepted, that the acquisition of pro-invasive capacities is associated with the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT), which is a reversible phenotypical and functional programme, reminiscent of physiological mechanisms involved in embryonic development or tissue repairing [15]. During the EMT, cancer cells of epithelial origin dedifferentiate: they lose their apico-basal polarity to the profit of a rear-to-front cell polarity, lose intercellular junctions (especially tight and adherens junctions), remodel their intracellular cytoskeleton, and gain mobility and resistance to apoptosis (Fig. 1). They also overexpress and secrete ECM-degrading proteases, and express mesenchymal markers (such as vimentin, N-cadherin, α -smooth muscle actin (α -SMA)) [16]. The EMT is proposed to favour the acquisition of stemness characteristics in cancer cells [17,18], to support their survival in the bloodstream and their extravasa-

tion in metastatic sites [19,20]. Increasing evidence suggests that the EMT, and the reverse process called mesenchymal-to-epithelial transition (MET), may be better described as a spectrum of intermediate states that might depend on the physical and chemical nature of the microenvironment. The initiation of the EMT programme is driven by several signalling pathways including those mediated by transforming growth factor β (TGF- β) [21], bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) [22] or integrin signalling [23], that stimulate EMT-inducing transcription factors (Snail1/2, Zeb1/2, Twist) which bind to the promoter region of critical genes such as those regulating cell–cell adhesions [24–27]. In a primary tumour, the induction of EMT is generally visualized in areas of hypoxia [28]. In fact, low oxygen tension induces transcriptional, metabolic and phenotypic changes that directly induce, or synergize with, signalling pathways inducing EMT (see paragraph 2.1–“Aerobic glycolysis, pH regulation and consequences on cancer cell invasiveness and resistance to treatments”), hence linking this phenotypical switch to metabolic parameters.

Over the last decade, important knowledge on cancer cell migration and invasiveness has emerged, leading to the classification of different types of migrations, individual *versus* collective, and different migrating cell phenotypes, mesenchymal *versus* amoeboid [29,30]. These specific phenotypes are not mutually exclusives and can be combined depending on the nature of the microenvironment. In the mesenchymal mode of invasion, cancer cells harbour an elongated fibroblast-like morphology, with a rear-to-front lamellopodial cell polarity. Their motility is dependent on the interaction of integrins, at focal sites, with components of the substratum. In this mode of invasion, cancer cells self-generate a path through the participation of invadosomal structures that perform the proteolytic remodelling of the ECM by both membrane-associated and extracellularly-released soluble proteases, such as MMP2, MMP9 or cysteine cathepsins, for which extracellular release and activation are promoted by extracellular acidification [31–34].

In the “amoeboid” mode of invasion, cancer cells generally present a rounded morphology, but their shape changes in order to move through small gaps of the ECM, with no need to degrade it, and they display a high speed of migration due to strong actomyosin contractions [35]. While different cancer cell types may preferentially engage into one mode or the other, the most aggressive cancer cells show high plasticity, with transitions called MAT, for mesenchymal-to-amoeboid transition, and AMT for amoeboid-to-mesenchymal transition [35]. These transitions, orchestrated by RhoGTPases family members (such as Rac1/2, RhoA/B, cdc42) [36–38], offer selective advantages and complementary mechanisms to invading cancer cells, by counteracting and adapting to changes in the microenvironment (matrix composition and stiffness, accessibility to oxygen and nutrients), and are also proposed to abrogate the efficacy of some anticancer treatments [39–41].

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8361940>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8361940>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)