

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Soil Biology and Biochemistry



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/soilbio

# Potential dual effect of nitrification inhibitor 3,4-dimethylpyrazole phosphate on nitrifier denitrification in the mitigation of peak $N_2O$ emission events in North China Plain cropping systems



Di Wu<sup>a,b,1</sup>, Zichao Zhao<sup>a,c,1</sup>, Xiao Han<sup>a</sup>, Fanqiao Meng<sup>a,\*</sup>, Wenliang Wu<sup>a</sup>, Minghua Zhou<sup>b</sup>, Nicolas Brüggemann<sup>b</sup>, Roland Bol<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Beijing Key Laboratory of Farmland Soil Pollution Prevention and Remediation, College of Resources and Environmental Sciences, China Agricultural University, Beijing, 100193, China

<sup>b</sup> Institute of Bio- and Geosciences, Agrosphere (IBG-3), Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, 52425 Jülich, Germany

<sup>c</sup> Institute of Agricultural Resources and Environment, Shandong Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Jinan, 250100, China

#### ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Nitrous oxide Isotopomer Nitrification inhibitor Nitrifier denitrification

#### ABSTRACT

The winter wheat-summer maize rotation system in the North China Plain is a major source of nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) emissions due to high nitrogen (N) fertilizer and irrigation water inputs. However, a detailed understanding of the contribution of N<sub>2</sub>O production sources is still limited because of the complexity of N<sub>2</sub>O generation in soils and a lack of relevant field studies. Moreover, the efficiency and mechanisms of N2O mitigation approaches in this area, i.e. the use of nitrification inhibitors, remains poorly understood. To elucidate the N<sub>2</sub>O production pathways from this rotation system and to evaluate the effect of a widely used nitrification inhibitor 3,4-dimethylpyrazole phosphate (DMPP) on mitigating N2O emissions, we monitored N2O fluxes and analyzed isotopomer ratios of soil-emitted N<sub>2</sub>O during one rotation year. Results indicate that the application of DMPP significantly reduced N<sub>2</sub>O emissions by 67% in the winter wheat season and 47% in the summer maize season. Isotopomer analysis revealed that in the N-fertilized treatment, nitrification and/or fungal denitrification accounted for up to 36% of the N<sub>2</sub>O emission peaks observed after fertilization and irrigation events, whereas the nitrifier denitrification pathway was likely to be the major source, accounting for the remaining N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. The high effectiveness of the nitrification inhibitor on mitigating N<sub>2</sub>O emissions at high soil moisture may be attributed to the dual inhibitory effect on nitrifier denitrification, i.e. reducing the supply of nitrite, which is the substrate of nitrifier denitrification and inhibiting ammonia-oxidizing bacteria activities, which carry nitrifier denitrification.

#### 1. Introduction

Emissions of nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) have had a great impact on global warming and stratospheric ozone depletion (Bouwman et al., 2002; Ravishankara et al., 2009). Agricultural soils are the major source of atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>O (IPCC, 2014). High nitrogen (N) application levels generally lead to large N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (Bouwman et al., 2002). However, the sustainability of high agricultural productivity strongly depends on the use of synthetic N fertilizers (Sutton et al., 2011). To secure crop production, the winter wheat–summer maize rotation system in the North China Plain, which accounts for about 40% of wheat and maize yield in China (National Bureau of Statistics of China, 2016), has been amended with large amounts of N fertilizer, leading to a number

of environmental problems, such as groundwater pollution and greenhouse gas emissions (Ju et al., 2004; Shi et al., 2013). Nitrous oxide emissions represent an important pathway for gaseous N loss in the North China Plain (Meng et al., 2005). In soil, N<sub>2</sub>O production is mainly related to the type and activity of the microbial processes involved. Nitrification and denitrification have been found to be the key sources of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (Baggs, 2011; Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2013). Previous studies have suggested that nitrification accounted for 80–90% of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in the North China Plain due to the large supply of ammonium-based N fertilizers and weak denitrification potential in the soil (Ju et al., 2004; Wan et al., 2009; Ding et al., 2010).

The natural abundance of  $^{15}N$  and  $^{18}O$  of  $N_2O$  can provide an indication of the  $N_2O$  production processes, since  $N_2O$  produced during

\* Corresponding author.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.03.010

E-mail address: mengfq@cau.edu.cn (F. Meng).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work.

Received 26 April 2017; Received in revised form 5 March 2018; Accepted 6 March 2018 0038-0717/ @ 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

nitrification is more depleted in <sup>15</sup>N and <sup>18</sup>O relative to substrates than that produced during denitrification (Yoshida, 1988). However, this approach is unreliable for source partitioning of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions as both  $\delta^{15}$ N and  $\delta^{18}$ O are affected by precursors, which can vary temporally and spatially (Baggs, 2011). The difference between <sup>15</sup>N at the central ( $\alpha$  position) and the terminal N atom ( $\beta$  position) in the asymmetric N<sub>2</sub>O molecule has been found to differ among different N<sub>2</sub>O source pathways (bacterial nitrification and fungal denitrification: 34-37‰, nitrifier denitrification and bacterial denitrification: -11 to 2%) (Sutka et al., 2006; Frame and Casciotti, 2010; Toyoda et al., 2015), and it is assumed to be independent of the  $\delta^{15}N$  value of the precursor species (Tovoda et al., 2011; Decock and Six, 2013). Thus, it has the potential to be used to gain information about the underlying N<sub>2</sub>O source processes. Compared to commonly used acetylene inhibition and isotope labeling techniques, the main advantages of N<sub>2</sub>O site preference source partition technique are as follows: it is non-invasive, low-cost gaseous sampling and facilitates investigation of both incubation and field-scale experiments (Lewicka-Szczebak et al., 2017). In addition to the conventional laboratory-based isotope-ratio mass-spectrometry in combination with a flask-sampling approach, newly developed laser spectroscopic techniques, such as quantum cascade laser absorption spectroscopy, have enabled real-time analysis of N<sub>2</sub>O isotope signatures in both laboratory and field studies (e.g. Heil et al., 2014; Yamamoto et al., 2017). Nevertheless, deploying N2O site preference (SP) values for N<sub>2</sub>O source partitioning to nitrification and denitrification processes is complicated by the similar SP values for fungal denitrification and nitrification and for nitrifier denitrification and bacterial denitrification (Sutka et al., 2006; Rohe et al., 2014). Furthermore, the isotopic fractionation during N<sub>2</sub>O reduction to nitrogen gas (N<sub>2</sub>) tends to enrich <sup>15</sup>N at the  $\alpha$  position of the N<sub>2</sub>O molecule, thereby increasing SP values (Sutka et al., 2006). Therefore, the nitrification process as a source of N<sub>2</sub>O could be overestimated if the fractionation effect of N<sub>2</sub>O reduction is not considered. Moreover, there are other microbial N<sub>2</sub>O production pathways, such as archaeal nitrification, anammox (anaerobic ammonium oxidation) or DNRA (dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium), for which hardly any characteristic isotopic N<sub>2</sub>O signatures have yet been identified. Considering the limitations mentioned above, at this moment the N<sub>2</sub>O site preference source partition approach should be treated as numerical rather than analytical models for rough estimation of N<sub>2</sub>O emission sources (Decock and Six, 2013).

Nitrifier denitrification carried out by ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB) has been identified as another key process responsible for N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in soil, which is supported by an increasing number of studies based on a multi-isotope tracing approach (Kool et al., 2011; Zhu et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2014). However, the contribution of nitrifier denitrification to soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions remains unclear due to a lack of field measurements. Indeed, several recent studies pointed to the overlooked major role of nitrifier denitrification on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in the North China Plain (Zhang et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2014), thereby suggesting that the contribution of ammonia oxidation on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions has been overestimated, since most previous studies did not distinguish between the contribution of ammonia oxidation and nitrifier denitrification.

Nitrification inhibitors (NI) are a group of compounds that can decrease the bacterial oxidation of ammonium ( $NH_4^+$ ) to nitrite ( $NO_2^-$ ) by inhibiting the activity of *Nitrosomonas* sp. in the soil (Zerulla et al., 2001). Different inhibition mechanisms were believed to be involved for various NIs. Nevertheless, commercially utilized NIs such as nitrapyrin, dicyandiamide (DCD) and 3,4-dimethylpyrazole phosphate (DMPP) were found to inhibit the first enzymatic step of nitrification through the removal of co-factors by chelating compounds like Cu (Subbarao et al., 2006; McCarty, 1999; Ruser and Schulz, 2015). The use of NIs has repeatedly been shown to effectively reduce N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from cropland soils, such as in the North China Plain, with mitigation efficiency of 38–44% as suggested by recent meta-analysis studies (Qiao et al., 2015). Different factors, e.g. soil moisture (Menendez et al., 2012), atmospheric oxygen content (Hatch et al., 2005), soil available C (Wu et al., 2017), have been found to affect the mitigation effect of an NI on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. This indicated that the extent to which the NI inhibits N<sub>2</sub>O emissions might depend on the pathway of N<sub>2</sub>O production. Among the various types of NI, only a few have been widely tested and used commercially, e.g. DCD and DMPP. Compared with DCD, DMPP has been shown to have several distinct advantages, including higher N<sub>2</sub>O mitigation effect, longer active duration and lower application rates (Weiske et al., 2001; Zerulla et al., 2001).

The objectives of our study were (a) to evaluate the effect of application of DMPP with urea to reduce  $N_2O$  emissions during one winter wheat–summer maize rotation in the North China Plain, and (b) to illustrate the main processes contributing to  $N_2O$  emissions by investigating the isotopic signature of  $N_2O$  during peak emission events.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Study site and field management

The experiment was conducted in Huantai County, Shandong province, North China (36°57.75'N; 117°59.21'E). Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) was planted on 10th October 2015 and harvested on 13th June 2016, while maize (*Zea mays* L.) was planted on 20th June 2016 and harvested on 2nd October 2016. The average air temperature and precipitation for winter wheat season and summer maize season was 10.3 °C/234 mm and 26.4 °C/481 mm, respectively. The soil was classified as aquic inceptisol (a calcareous, fluvo-aquic clay loam) and consisted of 38% clay (< 0.002 mm), 32% silt (0.002–0.02 mm) and 30% sand (0.02–2 mm). The soil had a bulk density of 1.4 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, pH in water of 7.7, soil organic carbon (C) of 10.0 g kg<sup>-1</sup> and total N content of 1.1 g kg<sup>-1</sup> (0–20 cm).

A randomized block design was employed with three replicates  $(8 \times 7.5 \text{ m}^2)$  for each of three treatments: CK (no fertilizer N input), U (urea), and DMPP (urea plus DMPP). Urea was applied at a rate of 300 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> season<sup>-1</sup> (50% as basal fertilization and 50% as top-dressing fertilization) for both wheat season and maize season. The NI (DMPP) was thoroughly mixed with urea and then spread onto the soil surface at a rate of 1% of the applied urea N. The straw of wheat and maize were both returned to the field after harvest. Irrigation was carried out immediately after fertilization twice in the wheat season and once in the maize season (75 mm each).

#### 2.2. Gas sampling and flux measurement

The static chamber-gas chromatography technique was used for measuring N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes (Shi et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2014). The N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were measured once every day for one week immediately following fertilization and irrigation events, and then twice a week afterwards. At 0, 15, 30, 45 and 60 min after chamber closure (chamber area:  $0.5 \times 0.5 \,\mathrm{m}^2$ , the height was adjusted according to the plant growth), five gas samples from the chamber headspace were obtained using a 60-mL polypropylene syringe, and relevant information (including specific sampling time, air temperature and chamber temperature) was recorded. Gas sampling for flux measurements were performed between 9:00 and 11:00 a.m. local time and analyzed on a gas chromatograph (7820 A, Agilent, Shanghai, China) within 24 h. The flux was calculated as a linear slope of the concentration evolution over the chamber closure time (Shi et al., 2013). Gas samples for isotopomer analyses were collected from the static flux chamber after 90 min closure time.

#### 2.3. Isotope analysis

The N<sub>2</sub>O  $\delta^{15}$ N<sub>bulk</sub> (i.e. the average  $\delta^{15}$ N over the N<sub>2</sub>O molecule),  $\delta^{15}$ N<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> (i.e.  $\delta^{15}$ N at the central position of the N<sub>2</sub>O molecule), and  $\delta^{18}$ O isotope signatures were determined by analyzing *m*/*z* 44, 45, and 46 of intact N<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup> molecular ions, and *m*/*z* 30 and 31 of NO<sup>+</sup> fragment ions

Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8362800

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8362800

Daneshyari.com